QADYANIATIN THE EYES OF LAW

Historical Judgments of High Courts, Todoral Shariat Court and Supreme Court of Pakistan



Compiled by: MUHAMMAD MATEEN KHALID

Act No. VI IV of 1974

An Act further to amend the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan

WHEREAS it is expedient further to amend the - Constitution of the Islamic Repoblic of Pakistan for the purposes hereinafter appearing:

It is hereby enacted as follows:

Short title and commencement

 (1) This Act may be called the Constitution (Second Amendment) Act, 1974.

(2) It shall come into force at once.

Amendment of Article 106 of the Constitution

 In the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, hereimather referred to as the Constitution, in Article 106, in clause (3), after the word "communities", the words and brackets "and persons of he Qadiani group or the Lahori group (who call themselves "Ahmadis")" shall be inserted.

Amendment of Article 260 of the Constitution

- In the Constitution, in Article 260, after clause (2), the following new clause shall be added, namely:
 - (3) "A person who does not believe in the absolote and unqualified finality of the Prophethood of Muhammad (peace be upon him) the last of the Prophets or claims to be a prophet, in any tense of the word or of any description whatsoever, after Muhammad (peace be upon him), or recognizes such a claimant as a prophet or a religious reformer, is not a Muslim for the purposes of the Constitution or law."

- 260(3) In the Constitution and all enactments and other legal instruments, unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context:
 - (a) "Muslim" means a person who believes in the unity and oneness of Amighty Allah, in the absolute and unqualified finality of the Prophethood of Muslammad (peace be upon him), the last of the prophets, and does not believe in, or recognize as prophet or religious reformer, any person who claimed or claims to be a prophet, in any jearned of the word or of any

be upon him) and

costes.

(b) "non-Muslim" means a person who is not a Muslim and includes a person belonging to the Christian, Hindu, Sikh, Budhist or Parsi Community, a person of the Qadizni group or the Lahori group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name), or a Bahai, and a person belonemus to any of the scheduled

Act No. XLIX of 1974

An Act further to amend the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan

WHEREAS it is expedient further to amend the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan for the purposes hereinafter appearing:

It is hereby enacted as follows:

Short title and commencement

- (1) This Act may be called the Constitution (Second Amendment) Act, 1974.
 - (2) It shall come into force at once.

Amendment of Article 106 of the Constitution

 In the Constitution of the Islamie Republie of Pakistan, heremafter referred to as the Constitution, in Article 106, in elause (3), after the word "communities", the words and brackets "and persons of he Qadiani group or the Lahori group (who call themselves "Ahmadis")" shall be inverted.

Amendment of Article 260 of the Constitution

 In the Constitution, in Article 260, after clause (2), the following new clause shall be added, namely:

(3) "A person who does not believe in the absolute and unqualified finality of the Prophechood of Muhammad (peace be upon him) the last of the Prophets or claims to be a prophet, in any sense of the word or of any description whatsoever, after Muhammad (peace be upon him), or recognies such a claimant as a prophet or a religious reformer, is not a Muslim for the purposes of the Constitution or law."

- 260(3) In the Constitution and all enactments and other legal instruments, unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context:
 - (a) "Muslini" means a person who believes in the unity and oncease of Aninghy Allah, in the absolute and unquilified finality of the Prophethood of Muslammad (peace be upon him), the last of the prophets, and does not believe in, or recognize as a reported or religious reformer, any person who claimed or claims to be a prophet, it any sense of the word or of any description whatsower, after Muslammad (peace be upon him) and
 - (b) "noer-Musilim" means a person who is not a Musilim and includes a person belonging to the Christian, Hildu, Sikh, Budhist or Paris Community, a person of the Qudiani group or the Lahor: group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name), or a Basia, and a person belonging to any of the scheduled exists.

PAKISTAN PENAL CODE

295(C) Use of derogatory remark etc. in respect of the Holy Prophet.

Whoever by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation, or by any imputation, innuendo, or

(1)

insinuation, directly or indirectly, defiles the sacred name of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) shall be punished with death, or imprisonment for life, and shall also be liable to fine

298(B) Misuse of epithets, descriptions and titles, etc., reserved for certain holy personages or places. Any person of the Oadiani group or the Lahori group

- (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name) who by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation. refers to, or addresses, any person, other than a (a)
 - Caliph or Companion of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him), as 'Ameer-ul-Mumincen', 'Khalifat-ul-Mumineen' 'Khalifat-ul-Muslimeen, 'Sahaabı' or 'Razi
 - Allah Anho': (b) refers to, or addresses, any person, other than a wife of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be
 - upon him), as Ummul-Muninnen: refers to, or addresses, any person, other than a (c) member of the family (Ahle-bait) of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), as Able-but or
 - (d) refers to, or names, or calls, his place of worship

as Masiid: shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years.

and shall also be liable to fine

(2) Any person of the Qudinit group or Lahori group (who call themselves 'Ahmads' or by any other name) who by words, either spects or written. or by staitle property of the property of the call of the property of the call of the c

298(C) Person of Qadiani group, etc., calling himself a Muslim or preaching or propagating his faith. Any person of the Qadiani group or the Lahori group

(sho call themselves 'Ahmala' or by any other mane), who directly or indirectly poses himself as a Mualimo, or calls, or refers to, his faith as Islam, or preaches or prospects his faith or invites others to accept his faith, by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representations or in any manner whatsoever oursespace herdigous feedings of Muslims shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may exceed to three years and shall also be lable to the

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN "So, if any Ahmadi is allowed by the administration or

the law to display or chant in public, the 'Shaa'ire Islam, it is like creating a Rushdl' out of him. Can the administration in that case guarantees his life, liberty and properly and it is oal what cost? Again, if this permission is given to a procession or assembly, on the streets or a public place, it is like permitting civil war."

Mr. Justice Abdul Qadeer Ch.
Mr. Justice Muhammad Afzal Lone
Mr. Justice Wali Muhammad Khan
Mr. Justice Saleem Akhtar
Mr. Justice Shafi-ur-Rehman

(1993 S.C.M.R 1718)

PAKISTAN PENAL CODE

295(C) Use of decoratory remark etc. in respect of the Holy Prophet.

Whoever by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation, or by any imputation, inquendo, or instnuation, directly or indirectly, defiles the sacred name of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) shall be punished with death, or imprisonment for life, and shall also be liable to

fine

(a)

298(B) Misuse of epithets, descriptions and titles, etc., reserved for certain holy personages or places.

- (1) Any person of the Oadiani group or the Lahori group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name) who by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation.
 - refers to, or addresses, any person, other than a Caliph or Companion of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon bins), as 'Ameer-ul-Mumineen'. 'Khalifat-ul-Mumineen'.
 - 'Khalifat-ul-Muslimeen, 'Sahaabı' or 'Razı Allah Anho':
 - (b) refers to, or addresses, any person, other than a wife of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), as Ummul-Muninnen: (c) refers to, or addresses, any person, other than a
 - member of the family (Ahle-bait) of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), as Able-bait: or
 - (d) refers to, or names, or calls, his place of worship as Masjid;

shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years. and shall also be liable to fine

(2) Any person of the Qualiani group or Laborn group (who call therethe's "Ahmadic" or by any other nanch who by the control of the property of the control of the presentation, refer to the mode or form of call to prayers followed by his faith as "Azan" or recites Azan as used by the Muslims, shall be punished with imprisonment or either description for a term which may extend to three vears and shall also be lable to fine.

298(C) Person of Qadiani group, etc., calling himself a Muslim or preaching or propagating his faith. Any person of the Oadiani group or the Lahori group

(who call themselves 'Ahmasia' or by any other name, who directly or indirectly opose himself as a Muslims, or calls, or refers to, his faith as Islam, or preaches or prospages has faith, or invites others to accept his faith, by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representations or an any manner whatoever oursest the religious feetings of Muslims shall be pusished with imprisonment of orther description for a term which may extend to three years and shall also be liable to line.

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

"So, if any Ahmad is allowed by the administration or the law to display or chant in public, the "Shaa'ire Islam, it is like creating a Rushdl" out of him. Can the administration in that case guarantees his life, liberty and property and if so at what cost? Again, if this permission is given to a procession or assembly, on the streets or a public place, it is like permitting civil war."

Mr. Justice Abdul Qadeer Ch.
Mr. Justice Muhammad Afzal Lone
Mr. Justice Wali Muhammad Khan
Mr. Justice Saleem Akhtar
Mr. Justice Shafiaur Behman

(1993 S.C.M.R 1718)



QADYANIAT IN THE EYES OF LAW

So, if an Ahmadi is allowed by the administration or the law to display or chant in public, the 'Shad're Islam, it is like creating a Rushdi' out of him. Can the administration in that case guarantee his life.

it is like creating a Rushdi' out of him. Can the administration in that case guarantee his life, liberty and property and if so at what cost? Again, if this permission is given to a procession or assembly, on

the streets or a public place, it is like permitting civil war.

QADYANIAT IN THE EYES OF LAW

Historical Judgments of High Courts, Federal Shariat Court and Supreme Court of Pakistan



Compiled by: MUHAMMAD MATEEN KHALID



Ilm-o-Irfan Publishers
Al-Hamd Market 40-Urdu Bazar, Labore

Name of Book:

QADYANIAT IN THE EYES OF LAW

Compiled by: MUHAMMAD MATEEN KHALID

Composed by: WASIM AZAM

First Edition: 2008

Price: Rs. 600/-

Published by:

Ilm-o-Irfan Publishers
Al-Hamd Market 40-Urdu Bazar, Lakore
Ph: 7352332-7232336

CONTENTS

DEDICATION

DLDICH 1011 mmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm	0,
PREAMBLE	09
ANTI QADYANIAT, PLD,Qari Muhammad Imran Khan, Advocate	14
WHAT COURTS SAY ABOUT QADYNIAT,	21
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	23.

- - Mr. Justice Maulana Abdul Quddus Qasmi

 SHARIAT APPELLATE BENCH, 1988......213
 - Mr. Justice Muhammad Afzal Zullah, Chairman Mr. Justice Nasim Has- 1 Shah,
 - Mr. Justice Shaffur Rahman, Mr. Justice Pir Muhammad Karam Shah.
 - Mr. Justice Pir Munammad Karam Snan, Mr. Justice Maulana Muhammad Taqi Usmani,
 - LAHORE HIGH COURT, 1987......22
 - BALOCHISTAN HIGH COURT (QUETTA), 1987.235 Mr. Justice Amir-ul-Mulk Mengal

FEDERAL SHARIAT COURT, 1990
LAHORE HIGH COURT, 1992295 Mr. Justice Mian Nazir Akhtar
LAHORE HIGH COURT, 1992305 Mr. Justice Mian Nazir Akhtar
LAHORE HIGH COURT, 1992321 Mr. Justice Khalil-ur-Rehman Khan
LAHORE HIGH COURT, 1994
SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN, 1993407 Mr. Justice Shaffur Rahman, Mr. Justice Abdul Qadeer Chaudhry, Mr. Justice Muhammad Afzal Lone,
Mr. Justice Saleem Akhtar, Mr. Justice Wali Muhammad Khan
SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN, 1999483 Mr. Justice Saiduzzaman Siddiqui.C.J. Mr. Justice Irshad Hassan Khan Mr. Justice Raja Afrasiab Khan Mr. Justice Raja Afrasiab Khan Mr. Justice Ruhammad Bashir Jehangiri Mr. Justice Nasir Aslam Zahid



DEDICATED

Mchar Muhammad Aslam Nasir Advocate High Court

Who has devoted his life for Tahaffuz-e-Khatm-e-Nabuwwat May Allah (Almighty) shower His eternal blessings on him.

PREAMBLE

He has been described by the second of the s

After the Holy Prophet M passed away from this mortal world, many Improvident persons, greedy of fame and honour, vir. Musalina Kazzab, Talba bin Khuwelid, Aswad Anai, Sajah binte-Haris etc., claimed to be Allah's apostles who were exterminated by the Muslim Lumnah in spite of limited sources and unfavourable circumstances, to safe guard the "Khatme-Nabuswat" belief by laying down their lives for the apolte cause.

It was in the year 1857 after the Independence Was to by Musllins in undivided India when the British plotted conspiracy against Islam / Muslim community to extinguish the flame of Jihad from minds of Muslims. To achieve their satanit / evil designs, the British elected one staryed amongst Muslims, a government servaint Mr. Ghulam Ahmad from the Indian town O Qualam and order to damage the britis of Khalum-Nabuwwat and also of Jihad for the purpose. He (Mitza Ghulam Ahmad Galaini) in Just of material benefits / status, agreed to

claim himself as apostle of God in continuity of Prophethood of Hazrat Muhammad A under the umbrella of British Imperialistic rule and created a self-styled Muslim community with the title "Jama'at-e-Ahmadiya" to encounter the teachings of True Islam. As such, his followers declare / term themselves as "Ahmadi" (also named as Mirzaie / Qadiyani) who, besides Indo Pak sub continent, have dispersed in Africa and Europe to preach their beliefs based on the teachings of their self-styled Prophet. Their goal is to tarnish the image of True Islam and discourage the Muslims from participation in Jihad for protection of their belief in "Khatm-e-Nabuwwat" and fight in the name of Allah to stop them guarding against the teachings of Islam. Qadiani beliefs are not only against Islam in totality but also detrimental to the noble cause of Islam. They fall under the purview of BLASPHEMY. The teachings aimed at harming Basic Islamic Principles, are being publicized / propagated by Ahmadles through Books, Journals and Electronic media to provoke Muslim sentiments and create unrest amongst the masses at the behest of their Foreign Masters. Our renowned poet and Muslim Scholar Allama Muhammad Iobal, categorically mentioned in his letter to Hindu leader Mr. Jawaher Lal Nahroo that "I have no doubt in my mind that the Ahmadis are traitors both to Islam and country." (Thoughts and Reflections o. (qbai Page 306, by Syed Abdul Vahid).

He further said:

"I became suspicious of the Quadiani movement when the claim of new prophethood, specier even to the prophethood of the Founday, special bilan, was definitely put forward, and Muchella, the special declared "Kafir" (infidel). Later, my suspicion declared "Kafir" (infidel). Later, my suspicion developed into a positive reveal when I beade with my own ears an adherent of the movement mentioning the Holy Prophet of Islam in a dispassing language". See "Thoughts and Reflection of Igha, page 287-1975 Edition).

Muslims can not even think to recognize Mirza

Qadiani as Apostle of God, the same being not in line with the belief of "Khatm-e-Nabuwwat", Whereas Oadvanis, while condemning the Muslims as non believers, pose themselves to be a sect of Muslims rather true Muslims, all over the world. Oadlanis are not only making mockery of Islamic rituals and beliefs through vocal /print media but also openly preach their false / non-divine beliefs through their literature being published in their newspapers, designs which causes severe tension among Muslims and create Law and Order situation in the country. A movement for declaration of status of Oadianis as "NON MUSLIMS" began in the year 1953 which resulted in great massacre of agitators leaving above 10,000 Muslims martyred only in Labore. The movement remained dormant for quite sometime and regained momentum in the year 1974 in the regime of Mr. Zulfigar Ali Bhutto, the then Prime Minister of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, which embraced success. After a thorough debate in the Elected Parliament for 13 days having listened to the Qadianis' point of view in detail, they were declared as "NON MUSLIMS" through consensus vote on 7th September, 1974, It was a unique historical decision made by the Pakistan Parliament, not on the strength of cruel majority, but by consensus of all parliamentarians. Oadianis did not accept this verdict and continued their anti-Islamic activities aimed at ridiculing Islamic rit. . . , resulting in promulgation of "Prohibition of Oadiyaniat Ordinance" and addition of Sections 298-B and 298-C in Pakistan Penal Code in the year 1984. The aforesaid Penal Provisions expressly prohibit Qadianis from indulging in Anti-Islamic activities, to pose themselves as Muslims or declare their religion as Islam.

Qadianis not only refused to accept the ban but also flouted the said ordinance at behest of hier Khalifa Milar Tahir by daringly violating the provisions of the ordinance. They termed the ordinance as "Violation of Human Rights" and challenged the same in the courts at higher level. The competent courts decloded the case on merit and gave the verdict by unbodding that the Ordinance directing the

Qadianis to refrain from using Islamic guise in line with the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan was justified.

Decisions made by the honorable Apex Courts are binding and applicable to all. It is a pity that least number of Police Officers and Elite Bureaucracy of our country are aware of the contents of the Section 298-B and 298-C of PPC which are binding on the Oadjanis to shun their anti-Islam activities forthwith. It appears that none of the Elite Bureaucracy has bothered to go through the historical decision of the Apex Court in case titled "Zaheer ud Din Versus State 1993 SCMR 1718" which has clearly directed the Oadianis to refrain from BLASPHEMY, in individual as well as collective capacity. This can provide guarantee for the maintenance of Law and Order in the country. The decision is available in the relevant Law Books but it is regretted to observe that even partial observance of this law is not visible anywhere due to the lethargic and ignorant. rather un-Islamic, attitude of the high ups in Bureaucratic hierarchy. It is a matter of great concern that although a law passed by the parliament with consensus and Section 298-C of PPC which do exist in Law Books, empowering the Apex Administration to curb the anti-Islamic activities of Oadianis, yet their daringly flouting of legal provisions is over looked. Muslim community has repeatedly requested the Govt, of Pakistan to fulfill their innocent/harmless and legitimate demand to direct the Qadianis to abide by the law in letter and spirit to ensure strict compliance of decisions of Apex Courts of the country and to bring an end to the prevailing anxiety among the masses.

Quálanis feel proud to claim that they have distributed translation of Holy Quran (according lost their own faite beliefs and concepts) in 100 languages of the world to mislade naive Mustlins in less developed countries but it remains an astenishing feet that they have not created translations of the books of their self-relyied to the control of the

can challenge without even an iota of doubt that if only the English translations of books written by Miraz Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani are distributed among Europeans and like, the people there will become areas of the fastual position, and not only laugh at the Qadianis and their apostile but, also doubt their mental health I must quote one important excerpt from a book written by Miraz Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani wherein he has attempted to prove himself as the

God named me Maryam, I remained in the character of Maryamilya (Female character) and was developed in veil. Spirit of Jesus was induced in me like Maryam and I was improgranted as metaphor; then labour pains compelled Maryam (meaning me) to move towards the stem of Dates tree, and after many months, not beyond 10 months, I was transformed the Maryam to Jesus; and as such I stand for the Maryam to Jesus; and as such I stand for the

(c.f Kashti-e-Nooh, Pages 47 48, contained in the book titled Rohani Khazain, Vol 19 pages 50-51 by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani).

All the books written by said Mirza Qadiani are quite absurd / stupid and ridiculous which only a maniac can believe, as the same are against logic and established ethics / norms.

Readers are welcome to contact me through surface mail/Email to confirm the references from the Qadyani books, If they find any confusion during study of this book. Scan/photocopies of references will be provided immediately.

May Allah accept this effort and make it a source of inspiration and guidance for all of us. (Ameen)

MUHAMMAD MATEEN KHALID Lahore. Pakistan 18th luly. 2008

F-mail: mateenkhalid@hotmail.com

E-mail: mateen

ANTI QADYANIAT, PLD

Blessings and Peace be upon the Final Messenger .

In the Name of Allah & The Most Gracious, The Most Merciful.

The concept of 'Finally of Prophet hood', is one of the fundamental beliefs without which nobody can be called a muslim. The rejection of this concept and the belief of a muslim. The rejection of this concept and the belief of a muslim. The rejection of this concept and the solid of the Holy Prophet is good and the formation of the Holy Prophet is good to the fact that this belief a fit was unanimously agreed on the fact that this belief of the insulty of the prophet hood is fundamental to Islam as built on this very belief. This is such a sensitive and central susce, that if there is even the silpetter doubt deviation in excluded from the community of the followers of the Holy Problet is the Holy Ouran clearly states:

Muhammad W is not the father of any of your men, but (he is) the Messenger of Allah & and the Seal of the Prophets and Allah & has full Knowledge of all things. In another verse, it has been stated. "اليوم اكملت لكو دينكم واقمت عليكم نعمة, ورطبت لكسم

الأسلام دينا" • (المائلة: ٣)

"This day have I perfected your religion for you, completed my favour upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion."

In the light of the following Hadith, since religion is aiready completed to perfection, it is clear that no amendments whatsoever may be done to it and no changes in religion are permissible or acceptable:

الاموضع لبنة من زاوية فجعل الناس يطوفون به ويعجبون له ويقولون هلا وضعت هذه اللبنة قال فانا للبنة وانا خاتم البيين"

(۱۶۶۱ری و مسلم)

Hazzat Abu Huzziz 28. narraes that the Messenger of Allah 567 on one occasion said. C-trialny ny example and the example of the Peophets before me is like the analogy of a besultful and fabilous building constructed by a person except that he left agap in one of its corners for a brick. People would come in great numbers to look at it and would be delighted with its construction, and she in anascerond with that of the construction and she in anascerond with that of the construction and one of the outpet for building was considered by we and I am the Said of Problets.

It comes in another Hadith

"عن انس بن مالك رضى الله عنه قال قال رسول الله صلسى الله عليه وسلم ان الرسالة والنبرة قد انقطعت فلا رسول بعدى و لانم."

(تر مذی)

Hazatā Anas Bin Malik 22 is reported to have said that "fixalal and Nabuwwat have been terminated, so there shall neither be a Rasool nora Nabi that will come after me.

(Note: Every prophet is called a 'Nabi', whether or not he was given a 'Shariat', while a prophet who has been given a 'Shariat' is termed a 'Rasool')

This belief of the Seal of Prophethood has been proven from 100 Quranic verses and 210 Ahadith. Now the question arises that according to the Holy Quran, Prophet hood has ended, so how did Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Oadiyani become a promple.

As already mentioned above, Allah & himself explicitly states in the Quran that Prophet hood has terminated on the Holy Prophet & and there shall be no Prophet after him.

The Holy Prophet is also saying in Ahadith that,
"I am the final Prophet. No prophet shall come after me."

While contrary to this, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiyani claims to be a prophet. It is absolotely obvious and crystal claer that Allah & and Jiis Messenger are true in their sayings. Therefore, how did Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiyani become a prophet and who gave him that rank? Let's analyze this.

In spite of conquering and ruling the Muslims, the British government was still fearful of the spirit of Jihad flourishing in them. They had learn the fact from Island flourishing in them. They had learn the fact from Island the the continuation of Jihad and their dishnooner was in their abandoning it. Hence they planned to divide the Muslim Lummah and eradicate Jihad by creating a false prophet. For this purpose, they britted a meager circk named Mirtza in the Muslim State of the State of the

Ghulam Ahmad Qadiyani planted the filthy weed of Qadiyanism.

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiyani himself writes: " بر انذہب جس کوشی بار بار گیا ہوں بکی ہے کہ اسلام کے دوجے اس ایک بہ

کر خدا تعالی کی اطاعت کریں وومرے اس سلفت (اگریز) کی جس نے اس قائم کیا ہوا ہے جس نے عالموں کے ہاتھ ہے اسیع سابید بھی جس پناہ دی ہو۔ سو وہ سلفت محومت برطانیہ

ہے۔" (شہادت القرآن م 84 رومانی ترائن جلدہ م 880 از مرزا آادیانی)
"My religion which I openly declare, is that Islam is

divided into two portions. One deals with the submission to Allah (SWT) and the other deals with submission to the government that has maintained peace and granted us asylum from the oppressors, and that is the British government.

('Shahadatul Quran', Page 84, 'Roohani Khazain' Vol. 6, Page 380 by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad)

" میں میں برس تک بچی تعلیم اطاعت گورنمنٹ انگریزی کی ویتار ہلادبا ہے مریدوں ش یکی حابقی جاری کرتارہا۔"

بی جائیس جاری کرتار ہا۔" (تریاق القلوب س28 رومانی نوزائن جلد 15 مس 156 از مرز ا آقادیانی)

"For twenty years, I have been preaching submission to the British government and have been advising my

disciples accordingly."

(Tarvagul Ouloob', Page 28, 'Roohani Khazain', Vol.

15 Page 156, By Mirza Ghulam Ahmad)
Mirza always used to instruct the Ummah to bow their
heads in front of the commands of the British government
and then passed a legal ruling prohibiting lihad. In this
context, one can himself read what Mirza Ghulam Ahmad
Oadiyani words as following.

''جری عرکا اکو حداس سلندند آگریزی کی تا نیدادرمایت شرگزدان بسیار می نے ممالف جہادادرآگریزی اطاعت کے بارے بھی اس قدر کرناچی بھی چی ادرا خیجار شائع کیے جرک اگرد درساک ادرکا چی اعمال کی جا کی آج بچاکی الحار بال ان کے جرکنی جی ''

(ترياق القلوب ص 27 روماني فزائن جلد 15 من 155 ازمرز ا قادياني)

"The major portion of my life has passed in supporting and helping the British government and I have written so many books and published so many articles regarding obeying the British government and prohibition of Jihad that if all the journals and books were collected they would fill up to fifty bookshelves."

('Taryaaqul Quloob', Page 27, 'Roohani Khazain'

" پاد رہے کہ سلمانوں کے قرقوں ش سے بیر قرق ش کا بھارے گھے ام اور دی اور اور رہیز شرقر بالیا ہے۔ ایک بیزاائم ان کو تاقان اسیانیا کی قدام کی اس کا دیا ہوا با اس تھی اور دائر کی انتقال ہے۔ بھی بیر مہارک فرقہ نہ کا مرفور کی اور فرج کا دی تیجاد مالوں جہاد کی تشیم

یا انگرانش اور شاس کی انتظار ہے۔ بلکہ میر مهارک فرقہ نظام طور مجاورت بیشیدہ طور پر جہاد کی عظیم کو برگز جائز فیمن مجمعة اور قصفات باب شکرونام جانا ہے کہ ویس کی انتظامت کے لئے لا انتظام کی سائنس ''

المرازية ال

which God has made me the leader and guide carries a distinctive symbol with it. It is that this sect does not permit Jihad by way of the sword and neither shall we adopt that path in the future. Infact his blessed sect neither openly nor secretly deems the teaching of Jihad to be permissible, and strong the considers fighting for the sake of spreading the religion to be impermissible.

(Majmuah Ishtiharaat' Vol.3, Page 357 by Mirza Chulam Ahmad Qadiyani) For this reason, Hazrat Allama Iqbal stated that, "Ahmadis are traitors both to Islam and to country." He had reached the conclusion that to expect the tree planted by the British government to bear fruit for the Muslims is utter foolishness.

Agha Shorash Kashmiri had also said that, "Qadiyaan is the birthplace of Mirzaism, Rabwa is its capital, Israil is its training centre, London is its shelter, Moscow is its teacher and Washington is its bank.

Qadiyaaniat is a conspiracy and political move taken by the enemies of Islam, while Miraz Qadiyani tined to disguise it in a religious outfit. However, thanks to the efforts of the sincere scholars of Islam, May Allah & reward them, for breaking the backhone of the movement of Mirzaism. Indeed they proved themselves as the rightful heirs of the Promoto the.

Side by side to the scholars of Islam, passionslet, sincere and religious minded people also kept forfering their services and did not stay behind them.Mr. Mahammad Marken Khalld is amongst some of the foremost of those Mujahldeen who fought valiantly to defend the movement of protecting the Seal of defend the movement of protecting the Seal of state of the Seal o

ا فيهت الذي كفر "

"Thereupon he who was bent on denying the truth remained dumbfounded..."

The book in your hands "Qadyaniat in the eye of Law" is actually part of a judicial war where, in addition to the innumerable disgraces faced by the Qadiyanis in the nast, this is vet another shameful defeat for them.

"And say truth has [now] arrived and falsehood has perished, for verily, falsehood is bound [by its nature] to perish." Indeed, it was the dire need of time that these historical judicial rulings which have now reached the level of becoming part and parcel of the law, be compiled at one place for easy future reference. For this noble cause, Allah & has chosen His slave, Muhammad Mateen Khalid. May Allah & accept his untiring efforts and be pleased with him.

In the end, I pray that Allah & accepts us and all our future generations to defend this noble mission of protecting 'Khatam-e-Nabuuwat' and accept each and every moment which has been spent for this purpose.

QARI MUHAMMAD IMRAN KHAN Advocate, retary Khatm-e-Nabuwwat Lawyers Form.

General Secretary Khatm-e-Nabuwwat Lawyers Form, Lahore 19, June. 2008

WHAT COURTS SAY ABOUT

O, bravo, you have really rendered considerable services towards the Muslim Umma by exposing the real face of the un Islamic Ideas and anti-Islamic activities of the Qadianies during the last about two decades through meaningful surgical Post Martum of anti-Islamic beliefs of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani and his followers.

Yes! I mean, Majahideene-Khatam «Nabuwwat, Mr.
Mahamand Mahers Khalid and Muhamand Tahi, Abdul
Razzaq the true falcons at the border of Tehreekchaffure-Khatame-Nabuwwat. I am proud of the characteristic of the control of the latinet Republic of the control of

According to Article 260(3) (a) and (b) of the Constitution of Pakistan "Musilin" means a person who believes in the unity owners of almighty Allah and in the absolute and un-dispersable prophethod of Hazral Muhammad 267; the 1 t of prophets and do not believe in creogate as any port hor crigious reformer, any person any other descriptions reformer, and person any other description.

AND a Non Muslim means a person who is not a muslim and belongs to the Christian, Hindu, Sikh, Buddhist or Parsi community a person of the Qadiani or Lahori Group, who call themselves AHMADI or by any other name or Bahal and a person belonging to any of scheduled caste but there are persons who do not believe in God are called ATHEST.

The Quelain Geoup and Labori group, for their unlainnit thought and beliefs had been deared Non-Moulin by the Apex courts of Pakistan. If any 'Quelain or Ahmadi' claims to be or periends to be or give out publically to be a Muslim then he would be acting in violation of the contitutional prevision of Islandie Republic of Pakistan, and may be proceeded against under the law. Moreover the use of Shainer-fashion are the exclusive right related to the Muslims only, which the Non-Muslims or Quelaines have whatevery, also they are problemed to use in any way whatevery, also they are problemed to the conindirectly posing as Muslims or claiming legal right of Muslims by low.

In this context my dear friend Mr. Muhammad Matena Khalid have written a lot in the past and now has consolidated all the important judgments of high courts and Apex Courts of Pakistan in his book entitled 'Qadyanlat in the eyes of Law' which is undoubtedly a great achievement and will prove to be a model collection of the context of

Ever yours.

MUHAMMAD TAHIR SULTAN KHOKHAR

Advocate

Chairman Khatam-e-Nabuwwat Lawyers Forum, t ahore.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I find myself absolutely handicapped and incomplete in my personal efforts to compile this book. With heart fell gratitude 1 owe my thanks to those who gave me their un-ending help and support in more than one way.

- Mr. Wagar Ahmad
 - Mr. Aamir Khurshid
- 3. Mr. Muhammad Yar Nangiana
- 4. Mr. Nisar-ul-Hao
 - Mr. Qari Muhammad Imran Khan Advocate High Court
 - Mr. Muhammad Tahir Sultan Khokhar Advocate High Court
 - Mr. Mehmood-ul-Hassan Bhatti A dvocate High Court
 Mr. Muhammad Hashim Tahami Advocate High Court
 - Mr. Muhammad Mansoor Advocate High Court
 - Mr. Muhammad Mansoor Advocate High Court
 Mr. Muhammad Nawaz Shahid Advocate High Court
- 10. Mr. Muhammad Nawaz Shahid Advocate High Co
- 11. Mr. Tariq Ali Jathol Advocate High Court
- 12. Mr. Muhammad Shafique Advocate High Court
- Ch.Muhammad Arshad Advocate High Court
 Mr. Liagat Ali Khichi Advocate High Court
- 15. Mr. Badee-uz-Zaman Advocate High Court
 - Mr. Badee-uz-Zaman Advocate High Cor

- 16. Mr. Talib Hussain Ch., Advocate Ex-Senior Civil Judge
- Mr. Muhammad Naveed Shaheen Advocate High Court
 Ch. Ghulam Mustafa Advocate High Court
- Dr. Munir Ahmad (R) Professor Electrical Engineer, Pennsylvania (U.S.A).
- 20. Mr. Irfan Khawar, Advocate High Court.
- My thanks also due to the publisher Mr. Gulfraz Ahmad who published this book with Great Spirit and love.

May Allah Almighty reward everyone from His unending and unlimited compassion for forgiveness for the love of His beloved holy prophet Muhammad 微心.

MUHAMMAD MATEEN KHALID



QADYANIAT IN THE EYES OF LAW



FEDERAL SHARIAT COURT 1984

- → Mr. Justice Fakhr-c-Alam
 (Chief Justice)
- Mr. Justice Ch. Muhammad Siddique
- → Mr. Justice Maulana Malik Ghulam Ali
- J Mr. Justice Maulana Abdul Quddus Qasmi

FEDERAL SHARIAT COURT

Mr. Justice	Fakhre Alam	Chief Justic
Mr. Justice	Ch. Muhammad Siddique	-
Mr. Justice	Maulana Malik Ghulam Ali	
Mr. Iustice	Maulana Abdul Ouddus Oasmi	

Shariat Petition No. 17/i of 1984

Muijbur Rehman and three others Petitioners Versus

Federal Government of Pakistan through ... Respondent Attorney General of Pakistan

Shariat Petition No. 2/L of 1984

Capt. (Retd) Abdul Waiid and another .. Petitioners

Attorney General of Islamic Republic of .. Respondent

Versus For the Petitioners... .. Mr. Muiibur Rehman. .. Advocate (in S.P. No. 17/i of 1984) (one of the Petitioners)

Pakietan

For the Patitioners .. Capt. (Retd) Abdul Waiid 30

(in S.P. No. 2/L of 1984) For the Respondent .. (one of the Petitioners) Haji Shaikh Ghias Muhammad Advocate.

Mr. M.B. Zaman Advocate and Dr. Syed Riazul Hassan.

Gillani, Advocate.

Dates of hearing at Lahore. 15-7-1984, 16-7-1984, 17-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-1984, 18-7-

177-1994, 18-7-1984, 19-7-1984, 22-7-1984, 22-7-1984, 22-7-1984, 22-7-1984, 26-7-1984 29-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30-7-1984, 30

29-7-1984, 07-7-1984, 31-7-1984, 01-8-1984 02-8-1984, 05-8-1984 06-8-1984 07-8-1984

09-8-1984, 11-8-1984, 12-8-1984.

Date of Decision 12-8-1984

JUDGMENT

FAKURE ALAM. C.J. Ordinance No. XX of 1984 called the Anti Islamic Activities of Quadiani Totales of Quadiani Cities of Pakistan (Extraordinary) Issue, dated the 26th April, 1984. The Ordinance amended certain provisions of the Palistan The Ordinance amended certain provisions of the Palistan Procedure. 1989 (Act V of 1898) and the Press and Publications Ordinance, 1985.

 The Quadianis who are followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad of Quadian (hereinafter to be called Mirza Sahib) are divided into two groups, both of whom are, however, called by the name of Ahmadis.

- 3. One group which is generally known as Quadiani group believes that Mirza Sahib was the promised Medhi, the promised Messiah and a Prophet. The Lahori group says that he was a Mujaddid (revivalist), the Promised Mehdi and the promised Messiah.
- 4. Two Petitions one by some members of the Quadiani group and another by two members of Lahori group bearing Nos. 17/i, of 1984 and 2/L of 1984 were filedto challenge the Vires of the Ordinance viz-a-viz the Quran and the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (P.S.H.)
- 5. The matter was heard in detail for more than four weeks. Mr. Majbur. Rehman one of the Petitioners in Shariat Petition No. 17th of 1984 and Cape-(Retd) Abed. of 1984, argued the case on behalf of the Petitioners. Shakin Claim Agreed the case on behalf of the Petitioners. Shakin Chias Muhammad, Advocate and Pr. Rizzul Hassa Gillani argued the matter on tehalf of the Government. The following just-focusitis and Ulema belonging to the Gourt declaration of the Company of the Court o
 - (1) Oazi Mujibur Rehman
 - (2) Prof. Mahmud Ahmad Ghazi
 - (3) Maulana Sadar-ud-Din Al-Rifai
 - (4) Allama Tajuddin Haidri (5) Prof. Muhammad Ashraf
 - (6) Allama Mirza Muhammad Yousuf
 - (7) Prof. Maulana Tahir-ul-Oadri.
 - 6. The Constitution of 1973 was amended by the Constitution (Second Amendment) Act, 1974 (Act-XLIX of 1974) to amend Article 106 and Article 206 thereof. Clause (3) was added to Article 206 to declare those persons as non-muslims who do not believe in the "absolute and unqualified finality of Prophet or claims to be a Prophet in any sense of the word or of any description whatsoever.

after Muhammad & or recognises such a claimant as a Prophet or a Religious Reformer. The Quadlanis of the two groups are inter alia covered by this definition and they were thus declared non-Muslims.

P. Article 106 dealt with the constitution of Provincial Assemblies which specified the number of Members to be elected for the Assemblies, their qualifications and also the additional seats in those Assemblies reserved for non-Muslims, i.e. Christian, Hinda, Sikh, Boulsti and Paris (communities. To these communities were added by the second Constitutional Assembliems of Pay Teperson of the Quadrual Croup or the

8. Thus effect of Article 106 was given by declaration made in Sub-Article 3 of Article 260 and Ahmadis of either persuasion were placed in juxtaposition with other minorities.

9. Despite, these provisions of the Constitution, the Ahmadis persisted in calling themselves Muslims and their faith, as Islam. They remained impetuously apathetic and insensitive to the perturbation of the Muslims of Pakistan/ However, their violation of the above. Constitutional provisions and of continuing to defile the epithets, descriptions and titles like Ummul Momincon (Mother of the Muslims). Ahle-Bait (Members of the family of the Holy Prophet S Sahaaba. (Companions) Khulafa-e-Rashideen (the rightful Caliphs) Ameerul Momineen. Khalifat-ul-Momineen. Khalifat-ul-Muslimeen (epithets used generally for the Muslim Rulers and for the rightful Caliphs) which are exclusive for the Muslims and had never been used by the non-Muslims, for the-wife, members of the family, companions, and successorsrespectively of Mirza Sahib, For this reason use of derogatory remarks in respect of the Holy personages was made a criminal offence punishable under Section 298-A of the Pakistan Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860) (recently added by Ordinance No. XLIV of 1980). The Section is as followe:-

298-A

"Use of derogatory remarks, etc. in respect of holy personages. Wheever by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation or by any importation, inneared or insituation, directly or importation of the spoken of the family (Albeitshit, of the fally Prophet (feace be upon him), or any of the rightrous Calipts (Khulafas-Rashideen) or companions (Salasha) of the Holy Prophet feace he companions) that the spoken is the spoken of the respective of the spoken of the

10. This Section was couched in general terms and was not made applicable to Ahmada, only, On account of the agitation of the Muslims over the persistence of the Ahmadis, the impugend Ordinance was promulgaded. It added Section 298-8 and 298-Cto the Pakistan Penal Code (Act XIV of 1890) and made consequential amendments in the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1996 (Act VIV of 1990) and the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1996 (Act VIV) of 1996 and 200 (Act VIV) of 1996 (Act VIV) of

298-R

"Misuse of epithets, descriptions and titles, etc. reserved for certain holy personages or places.

(1) Any person of the Quadiani group or the Lahori group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name) who by words either spoken or

written or by visible representation;

'Sahaabi' or 'Razi-Allah-Anho':

(a) refers to or addresses, any person, other than a Caliph or companion of the Holy Prophet Muhammad 意, as 'Ameerul Mumineen', 'Khalifat-ul-Mumineen', 'Khalifat-ul-Muslimeen', 'Khalifat-ul-Mu

- (b) refers to, or addresses, any person, other than a wife of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), as 'Ummul-Mumineen.;
 (c) refers to, or addresses, any person, other than a.
- member of the family (Ahle-bait) of the Holy Prophet Muhammad A., as Ahle-bait; or. (d) refers to or names, or calls, his place of worship
- as Masjid'; shall be punished with imprisonment of either
 - shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years, and shall also be liable to fine.
- (2) Any person of the Quadiani group for Lahori group (who call themselves' Ahmadis' or by any other name) who by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation, refers to the mode or form of call to prayers followed by his faith as 'Azan', or recites Azan as used by the cither description for a term which may extend to three vears, and shall sale to liable to fine."

298 - C

"Any person of Quadiani group etc. calling himself a Muslim or preaching or propagating his faith. — Any person of the propagating his faith. — Any person call momentum control of the propagating his propagating the propagating the propagating Muslim, or calls, or refers to, his faith as laisun, or preaches or propagates his faith, or invites others to accept his faith, by words either specken or written, or by visible representations, or in any manner whatsoever outrage the religious feelings of Muslims, shall be presented to the propagating the propagating of the propagating the prop

- These Sections made it a criminal offence for an Ahmadi.—

 (a) to call or nose himself directly or indirectly as a
 - (a) to call or pose himself directly or indirectly as a Muslim or refer to his faith as Islam:

 (b) to preach or propagate his faith or to invite others.
 - to accept his faith or in any manner whatsoever to outrage the religious feelings of Muslims;
 - (c) to call people to prayer by reciting Azan or to refer to his mode or form to call to prayer as Azan;
 - (d) to refer or call his place of worship as Masjid:
 - (e) to refer any person other than a Caliph of companion of the Holy Prophet Muhammad's as Ammeerul Mumineen, Khalifat-ul-Mumineen, Khalifat-ul-Muslimeen, Sahabil or Razi-Allah-Anho, any person other than the wife of the Holy Prophet d²⁸ as Unmul Mumineen and any both than a member of the family of the Holy Prophet d²⁸ as Alhel-bair.
- Frophet 20' as Ante-part."

 12. The main ground on which these Petitions have been filled and which was argued from different angles is that the impugned Ordinance violates the Sharia and the Constitutional rights of the Ahmadis to profess, practise and oreach or corpospace their religion.
- 13. It is prefinent to note that despite the Constitutional Provisions, the Petitioners in their arguments Instituted upon calling themselves Muslims and calling their fields as Islam and submitted that the Constitutional Amendment was not a declaration of their being non-Muslims by a religious body but was the Act of the Ruling Party of that time. It was pointed out to the Ruling Party of that time. It was pointed out to the Petitioners that the Constitutional Amendment was unanimently passed by all parties and the Parliament had both sides including the head of the Ahmadia community.
- 14. Mr. Mujibur Rehman stated that since the Court cannot decide against the Constitutional provisions be

would not like to raise the question whether Quadianis are Muslims or non-Muslims. He. however, persisted in emphasising that the Quadianis as such are not non-Muslims but have been declared so by the lqtidar-e-Aala.

15. He, then, clarified that if the Counsel for the Government argued that the Quadianis are non-Muslims according to Shariah too he would like to refute that argument in detail.

We enquired from Mr. Rizzul Hasan Gillani, counsel for the Federal Government whether he would like to proceed only on the assumption that Quadiants have been Constitutionally declared non-Muslims or would like to argue the point of their status independently in the light of the Sharish. He exped in Javano of the later proposition. On this Mr. Mujlour Rehams submitted that he would like to in the light of the light of the later proposition in the light of the liquid control of th

The arguments of Mr. Mujibur Rehman on the assumption of the Ahmadis being Muslims is an invitation to this Court to go into this question. This Court cannot thus avoid giving its finding on this point. The point was fully arcued and shall be dealt with in the ludgment.

The assertion in the written arguments filed at the end that the petitioners themselves did not wish to raise the question of their belief is thus only partly correct.

Before elaborating the points involved in this petition as well as the effects of different provisions of the imprehensive of the second of the point of the second of Muhammad & W. which is the main theme of the difference between the Muulima and Ahmadis and which was the base of Constitution (Second amendment) Act 1974 (Act XLIV of 1974) according to which the Ahmadis were declared non-

The Muslims of all schools of thought believe in the absolute finality of the prophethood of Muhammad & and consider it an article of their faith. This unanimous belief is

Muslims.

and its meaning, interpretations and explanations are reproduced as under: —

النبيين وكان الله بكل شنى عليما"

Muhammad is not the father of any man among you but he is the Messenger of Allah and the seal of the Prophets and Allah is aware of all things.

(Q:33:40)

The word Khatam-un-Nablyin has been the subject matter of interpretation from the very beginning, it suit interpreted in the traditions of the Holy Prophet & & suit as by the commentation of the Holy Quran, learned scholars and renowned jurists. It is established that this expression can be read as Khatimun-Nablyin. The Repression can be read as Khatimun-Nablyin the Contraversy on the point that if the word is Khatim-un-Nablyin it would mean one on whose Prophethood, the chain of Prophets terminates.

The word Khatam means seal and Khatam-un-Nabiyin means seal to Prophets. The well established meaning on which there has been a consensus is that the expression seal to Prophethood means last of the Prophets who seals Prophethood and after whom no Prophet can come, and the cessation of advent of Prophets is absolute. This meaning was accepted by Mirza Sahib also (Izala-e-Auham, vol. 2 page 511). However, after his claim to Prophethood he altered the meaning of the expression and interpretted it as the seal of Prophet Muhammad & for continuing the Prophets whose advent is destined later which means that the advent of Prophets is not a matter past and closed but is subject to the condition that after Prophet Muhammad & whoever arrives as a Prophet must bear the seal of Prophet Muhammad A which means that he is a Prophet sent to this world under his seal of approval for rejuvenating his Sharia as laid down in the Ouran and the Sunnah.

This interpretation, as will be clear from the above is a departure from the interpretation regarding the absolute cessation of Prophethood oo which there had been a consensus which is also reflected in the earlier writings of Mirza Sahit.

In the above mentioned verse the word 'Khatam' $\langle P^{ij} \rangle$ has remove mead in two nameres i.e., with an " λ " after "i" or "i" after the same letter. According to the hair and Assimi t is read as 'Khatam' $\langle P^{ij} \rangle$ with fiath $\langle \rho_i \rangle$ on the hair and Assimi t is read as 'Khatam' $\langle P^{ij} \rangle$ with fiath $\langle \rho_i \rangle$ on the elter " $\langle \rho_i \rangle$ hair take as it is a soon meaning the last'. As such the word 'Khatam-un-Nabipin' $(\omega_{i} = P^{ij})$ means the last of the Prophets. According to others it is read as 'Khatam' $\langle P^{ij} \rangle$ with 'i' after 'i' (Kasra $\langle \rho_i \rangle$) under the letter 'i' $\langle \rho_i \rangle$ which makes it a subject $\langle P^{ij} \rangle$ meaning 'He who finishes'. As such makes it a subject $\langle P^{ij} \rangle$ meaning 'He who finishes'. As such Katam'-un-Nabipin' $\langle \rho_i = P^{ij} \rangle$ means who terminates the (chain on) 'Prophets i.e., the 'Prophethood cases with him (Maximul' Taral' by frama Baghwi, Vol. 4, page 218.)

In Lisanul Arab, it is stated that Khatama $(\ell^{i\omega})$ means to finish as it said, $((\omega^i)^{i\omega})^{i\omega})$ may Allah resolve (finish) his affairs beneficially). The end of everything is called Khatam $(\ell^{i\omega})$ and its plural is Khawatim $(\ell^{i\omega})$ which means the angle

Farra said that Khatam (السب) and Khatam (المساق) and Khatam (المساق) and the second is an infinitive verbal noun. (السب) and the second is an infinitive verbal noun. (المساق) and Khatam (السب) are the names of the Holy Prophet (المساق) are the simulation of the Holy Prophet (المساق) المساق) المساق)

Khatam (شم) also means to prevent. It usually means the protection of a thing from mixing with other things.

Khatam means seal too which means to prevent another thing from mixing with the sealed thing. Khatam also means the ring. (Lisanul Arab, Vol. 18, pages 53—55).

(متم على قليه) (He scaled his heart) means he made him to be such that he understood not, and such that nothing proceeded from him; or he made his heart, or mind to be such that it understood not [Lane "Khatama".

نه سنيا (Allah sealed their, hearts) and (به أس التراكية) للمنظمة المنظمة الم

رضام البيري) means a Prophet on whose arrival the (chain of) prophethood came to an end. (Al-Mufradat by Raghib Asphahami, pages 142-143).

In Tajul Urus it is stated

"ومن اسمائه صلى الله عليه وسلم الخاتم والخاتم وهيم السلم،

خيد الدوة عجيته"

and Khatim (خسم) which means that Prophethood was put to an end with his advent. (Tajul Urus, Vol. 4, page 186; Also see Majmaul Bihar, Vol. 8, page 194).

Thus the dictionary meaning of the word Khatam (seal

On this very basis, all the lexicographers and commentations have unanimously taken Khatam-un-Nabiyin to mean Akhitu-m-Nabiyin (last of the Prophest). From the viewe point of Arabite usage and lexicon, Khatam does not imply the postal stamp which is put on the envelope for issue but implies to the seal put on the record to the what is in tenant come out on anything can enter it unless the seal is broken.

The Quantic verse 33 : 40 has been similarly interpreted by all the ennowned commentation, who also dealt with a most queeton. There are some traditions about traditions have been held by some to be weak being repugnant to the floly Quarts and the Sunnah but a large majority believes in their authorities), in the view and interpretation of the sun and a Prophet had been commissioned as Prophet long before the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse refers to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse refers to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse refers to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse frees to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse frees to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse frees to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse frees to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse frees to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse frees to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse free to the advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse free to the Advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse free to the Advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse free to the Advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse free to the Advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse free to the Advent of the Holy Prophet 85° while the verse free the Advent 85° while the verse free the Advent 85° while 10° whi

(1) Allama Ibn-e-Jarir Tabari (224—310 A.H.) in his well-known commentary of the Quran, explained the meaning of this verse thus: "He brought the Prophethood to a close and sealed it: -Now this door will not open to anyone till Resurrection". (Tafsir Ibn-2-jarir, Vol. 22, page 12).

- (2) Imam Tahawi (239—231 A.H.) writes in his Ariqiaha Salla's regarding the beliefs of the righteous, especially of Imam Abu Hanifa, Imam Abu Yasuf and Imam Muhammad daya Allah show mercy to all of their man was to the second on the second of Prophethone. And that Muhammad da'i is the town severate of Allah His Frophet and favourite Application of the second of
- (3) Alloma Ibne-4-larm Undlasi (384-456 A,H), writes: Most certainly the transmission of the revelation has ceased after the death of the Holy Prophet (87), the reason being that the revelation comes down to none but a Prophet, and Allah Himself has said: Muhammad is nor the father of any of your men, but he is the Messenger of Allah and the last of the Prophets (Al-Mahalla, Vol. I, page 26).
- (4) Imam Chazzali (450—505 A.H.) ays: There is no Prophet after the Holy Prophet Mushamad & The World of the Holy Prophet Mushamad & The World of the Holy Prophet Mushamad & The World of the Holy Prophet Mushamad & The Holy Prophet Musham the Holy Mu
- (5) Muhy-us-Sunnah Baghvi (d. 516 A.H.) writes in his commentary Ma'alim-ut-Tanzil: "Allah closed the Prophethood through the Holy Prophet Muhammad (**); thus he is the Jast of the Prophets....... And

- Ibn-e-Abbas says that Allah Almighty decreed (in this verse) that after him there would be no Prophet". (Ma'alim-ut-Tanzil, Vol. 3, page 106.
- (6) Allama Zamakhshari (167—538 A.H.) writes in his commentary A.H. Sashshari (147 uses 8: How can the Holy Prophet & be the last of the Prophets when there is the belief that Prophet Jesus will come down during the last days before Resurrection? I shall say: The Holy Prophet is the sense that so other person will be used as a Prophet after him. As for Prophet jesus, he before the adverted of the Holy Prophet for the Adverted to the Holy Prophet for the Adverted to the Holy Prophet distance to the Holy P
- (7) Oazi Avaz (d. 544 A.H.) writes: "He who lays a claim to Prophethood for himself, or holds that one can acquire it and can attain the rank of Prophethood through the purification of the heart, as some philosophers and socalled suns assert, and likewise he who does not claim to be a Prophet but claims that he receives revelation ... all such people are disbelievers and deniers of the Holy Prophet for he informed us that he was the last of the Prophets and that ... Prophet would come after him. And this news was a communication from Allah that he has closed the Prophethood and that he has been sent to all mankind; and the whole Limmah is unanimous that these words have no other but the apparent meaning. There is no room for a different interpretation or special meaning. Therefore, there can be absolutely no doubt about such people's being unbelievers (Kafir) both according to the consensus and the traditions". (Shifa, Vol. 2, pages 270-271)
- (8) Imam Razi (543—606 A.H.) explaining the verse of Khatam-un-Nabiyin says in his Tafsire-Kabir: "In this context, the reason for saying Khatam-un-Nabiyin is that if a Prophet be succeeded by another Prophet he leaves the

mission of admonition and explanation of Injunctions somewhat incomplete and the one coming after him has to complete it. But the Prophet who is never to be succeeded by another Prophet is by far more compassionate to his people (Ummah) and provides for them explicit and complete guidance, for he is like a father who knows that after him his son has no guardian and patron to look after him." (Tafsire-Kabir, Vol. 6, page 581).

(9) Allama Shehrastani (d. 548 A.H.) writes in his book Al-Milal-wan-Nihali "And likewise the one who says that another Prophet (except for the Prophet Jesus) will be raised after the Holy Prophet Muhammad 続 is a Kafir and there is no difference of opinion about this even between two mem." (Al-Milal-wan-Nihal, VO, 3, page 249).

(10) Allama Baldawi (d. 685 A.H.) writes in his commentary Anwar-ul-Tanzli: "the Holy Prophet & is the last of the Prophet, who closed their line, or through whom the line of the Prophets was sealed. And the Prophet Jesu's second advent does not contract the Holy Prophet & & being the last Prophet, for when he comes, he will be a collower of his Sharia", (Anwar-ul-Tanzli, Vol. 4, page 164)

(11) Allama Hafiz-ud-Din Nasafi (d. 710 A.H.) writes in his commentary Madarik-ul-Tanzii "that the Holy Prophet # is Khatam-un-Nabiyin, i.e. the last of the Prophet After him no other person will be appointed as a Prophet.

As for the Prophet Jesus, he is one of those who had been appointed Prophets before him, and when he comes the second time, he will come as a follower of the Sharia of Muhammad (5), and as a member of his Ummah". (Madarik-ul-Tanzil, Vol. 5, page 471).

(12) Allama Ala-ud-Din Baghadadi (d. 725 A.H.) writes in its commentary Khazin: "Wa Khatam-un-Nabiyni, i.e. Allah closed the line of Prophethod on the Holy Prophet Muhammad & Now there is neither any Prophethod after him nor any association or partnership with him in this regards. Allah has the knowledge that there is no Prophet after him." (Lababut Tawil fi Maanit Tanzil, Vol. 5, pages 471-472)

(13) Allama [bn.-ckathir (d. 774 A.H.) writes in his well-known commentary: "This, this verse is an express injunction in this regard that after the Holy Prophet there is no Prophet (w) and when there is no Prophet after him, there can be no Messenger (b) of their, for Messengernihip is specific and Prophethood general : every Messenger is a Prophet but every Prophet is not a Messenger....

Anyone who lays a claim to this office after the Holy Prophet & is a liar and imposter and deviator and unbeliever, no matter what supernatural and magical spells and charms and sorcery he practises ... The same is the position of every such person who lays a claim to this office till Resurrection." (Tafsir-Ibne-Kathir, Vol. 3, pages 493-194).

(14) Allama Jalal-ud-Din Suyuti (d. 911 A.H.) writes in jalalayn: عنسات المالية المساقة المن المساقة المساقة

(15) Allama Ibn-e-Nujaim (d. 970 A.H.) writes in his book Al-Ashbah-wan-Nazair, "If a person disbelieves that Muhammad Å\(\bar{\bar{\psi}} \) is the last of the Prophets, he is not a Muslim, for this is one of the fundamentals of the faith." (Al-Ashbah-wan-Nazair, 1948 179).

(16) Mulla Ali Qari (d. 1016 A.H.) writes in Sharh Figh Akbar: "There is complete consensus of the Ummah on the point that laying claim to Prophethood after the Holy Prophet Muhammad (85" is Kufr (heresy)". (Sharh Figh Akbar, nase 2021

(17) Shaikh Ismail Haggi (d. 1137 A.H.) explaining the above verse in his commentary Ruh-ul-Bayan, writes ; "Asim read the word as Khatam, which is the sealing instrument with which things are sealed. It implies that the Holy Prophet & came at the end and on him the line of the Prophets was closed and sealed Some people have read it as Khatim, which means the one who puts a seal. Thus, Khatim also is a synonym of Khatam Henceforth the saintly scholars of his Ummah will be his successors in Walayat (spiritual eminence) since the succession to Prophethood has been brought to a close. And the second coming of the Prophet Iesus does not affect the Holy Prophet's & being the last of the Prophets, for Khatamun-Nabivin means that no other Prophet will be raised after him. And Jesus has been raised as a Prophet before him. On his second coming he will come as a follower of the Sharia of Muhammad . He will offer the prayer with his face towards his Oiblah, like any other man belonging to his Ummah. He will be a Caliph of the Holy Prophet Muhammad Mit

And the followers of the Sunnah believe that there is no Prophet after our Holy Prophet \$\frac{1}{2}\$ or Allah has asid:
"But he is the Messenger of Allah and the last of the Prophets", and the Holy Prophet \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and the Holy Prophet \$\frac{1}{2}\$ on the Holy Prophet \$\frac{1}{2}\$ or Allah and the last of the Prophet after our likely Prophet \$\frac{1}{2}\$ or Will be declared a first of the has denied a fundamental article of the faith filter the has denied a fundamental article of the faith likewise, the one who doubts is, will also be declared a Kafir, for the Truth has been made distinct from falsehood. And the claim of the one who doubts is, will also be depoted after the Holy Prophet Muhammad \$\frac{1}{12}\$ can be nothing but imposture (Ruth-albayan, Vol. 22, page 186).

(18) According to Fatawa Alamgiri, a compilation of the Cath century Hijrah, compiled by a board of eminent scholars under the orders of Aurangueb 'Alamgir' the Emperor of India: "If a person disbelieves that Muhammad be Is the least of the Prophets, he Is not a Muslim; and if he claims that he is Allah's Messenger or Prophet he will be declared a Kafir. (Fatawa Alamgiri, Vol. 2, page 263).

(19) Allama Shaukani (d. 1255 All), writes in his Tafisir Fathen-Ugadis: "The majority of the scholars have read the word as Khatim and Asim as Khatam. According to the first reading, it would mean this: The Holy Prophet (Wednesd the line of the Prophets, i.e. he came at the end of them, and according to the second reading; it was also as the continuous terms with white their time was scaled, and with their group was called, (Jacobs-Ugadis) (

(20) Allama Alusi (d. 1270 A.H.) writes in his commentary Ruh-ul-Maani : "The word Nabi (Prophet) is general and Rasool (Messenger) specific. Therefore, the Holy Prophet's A being Khatam-un-Nabivin by itself requires that he should also be Khatam-ul-Mursalin; and his being the last of the Prophets and Messengers implies that after his being blessed by Allah with the Prophethood in this world, the office of Prophethood for any linn or human being has been abolished". (Ruh-ul-Maani, Vol. 22, page 32). "Whoever after him claims to be the recipient of revelation of Prophethood will be declared a Kafir and there is no difference of opinion among the Muslims in this regard". (Ruh-ul-Masni, Vol. 22, page 38). The Holy Prophet's being the last of the Prophets has been explicitly stated by the Book of Allah, clearly enunciated by the Sunnah and fully agreed upon by the entire Ummah. Therefore, whoever claims something contrary to it, will be

declared a Kafir". (Ruh-ul-Maanl, Vol. 22, page 39).

The same view about the finality of Prophethood has

also been taken by the following Shla commentators:

1. All bin Ibrahim (329—941 A.H.) Tafseer-al-

Kumml, page 532, printed Najaf, (Iraq)

2. Shaikh Abu Jafar Mohammad Ibin-e-Hasan Ibin-e-Ali Tusi (died 460 A.H.) Tafseer-ul-Tibyan, Vol. 8. page 314. printed Najaf (Iraq)

- Mulla Fatehullah Kashani (died 488 A.H.) Tafseeri Manhaj-us-Sadiqiin, Vol. 7, page 333, printed Najaf (Iraq).
 Abu Ali Fazal bin-e-Husain Tabrasi (died 548
- Abu Ali Fazal bin-e-Husain Tabrasi (died 548 A.H.) Tafseer Majmaul Bayan, Vol. 2, page 289, printed Najaf (Iraq).
- Mulla Muhsin Kashi : Tafseer-us-Safi, page 491, printed Najaf (Iraq).
- Hashim bin-e-Sulaiman bin-e-Ismail Husaini (died 1107 A.H.) Tafseer-ul-Burhan, Vol. 3, page 327, printed Oum (Iran).
- Allama Husain Bakhih: Anwarun Najaf, Vol. 11, page 211, printed Lahore.
- Maulana Syed Ammar Ali: Tafseer Umdatul Bayan, Vol. 12, printed Delhi.
- Maqbool Ahmad: Translation and Explanation of Holy Quran. page 507, printed Lahore.
- Hatiz Farman Ali: Translation and Explanation of Holy Quran, page 585.

Zamakhshari (467-538 A.H.) in Tafseer-i-Kashshaf, Razi Baidawi (died 685 A.H.) in Anwarul Tanzil, Imam Razi (543-606 A.H.) in Tafseer-i-Kabir, Vol. 3, page 343, Imam Nawawi (631-576 A.H.), in Sharh-i-Muslim, Vol. 2 page 189, Sharh-i-Muslim, Vol. 18, page 75, Alaudin Baghdadi (d. 725 A.H.) in Tafseer i-Khazin, page 471-472. Taftazin (722-792) in Sharh Aqaid-ì-Nasafi, page I; lbn-e- Hajar Asqalaiii (d. 449 A.H.) in Fateh-ul-Bari, Vol. 6, pages 315, 117, Badruddin Aini (d. 855 A.H.) in Imdat-ul-Oari, Vol. 16, page 40. Oastalani (851-923 A.H.) in Irshad-ul-Sari, Vol. 6. page 18, Ibn-e-Haisami (909-973 A.H.) in Fatawa Hadisia, nages 128-129. Sh. Abdul Haq Mohaddis Dehlvi (958-1052 A.H.) in Ashat-ul-Lamaat, Vol. 4, page 373, Zarqani (d. 1162 A.H.) in Sharh-Mawatif-ul-Ladunnia, Vol. 3, nace 116. favour the view that there is no repugnance between the Ouran and the traditions about the second coming of Jesus.

These elucidations have been made by the eminent Scholars, Jurists, Traditionists and Commentators of every Muslim country consistently in every age. A glance at their dates of birth and death will show that they included eminent authorities in every century of the history of Islam from the first to the 13th century Hijra.

The Holy Prophet (2) also confirmed these meaning of the 'last of the Prophets in many of his traditions, some of which are reproduced as under:

قال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم كانت بنو اسسرائيل تسوسسهم

الإبياء كليه هلك في خلقه في وانه لا في بعدى وسيكون خلفاء ' (1) The Holy Prophet औ said, "The children of Israel were guided by the Prophets. When a Prophet died. another succeeded him However, there will

be no Prophet after me; there will be only Caliphs", (Bukhari : Kitab-ul-Anbiya Vol. 2, page 257, printed Darul Maarifah, Beruit, Labanon). "قال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم ان مثلي و مثل الالبياء من قبلي

كمثل رجل بني بينا فاحسته واجمله الا موضع لبنة من زاويسة فجعسل الناس يقلوفون به يعجبون له ويقولون هلا وضعت هذه اللبنة قانا اللبنة بانا عبد الندن"

(2) The Holy Pophet (P.B.I.) said, "My position in relation to the Pophets who come before me can be understood by a parable: A person constructed a great building and decorated and adorned it will, but in a corner he left niche or an empty property of the property of the control of the property per building and morned, call the beauty, but said 1 Why was not a brick laid here? So, I am that brick and I am the last of the Pophets. (That is, with my advent the edifice of Prophethood has been completed. Now there is no empty niche. (Bukhari : Kitab-ul-Manaqib, Vol. 2, page 270, printed Darul Maarifah, Berult).

In Musnad Abu Daud Tayalisi, this tradition is repotted on the authority of Jabir bin Abdullah, and its last words are to the effect "المسلم السمالية Through me the Prophethod was brought to a close.

In Musnad Ahmad Traditions on the subject with a slight difference in wording have been reported on the authority of Ubayy bin Ka'b. Abu Sa'ld Khudri and Abu Hurairah.

"ان وسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال فضلت على الانبياء بست اعطيت جوامع الكالم ونصرت بالرعب واحلت في الغنسائم وجعلست في

الارض مسجداً وطهورا وارسلت الى الخلق كافة وختم بي النيبيون"

(3) The Holy Prophet diff said: "I have been distinguished from the other Prophets in six matters (i) I have been endowed with eloquent speech, (ii) I have been endowed with eloquent speech, (ii) I have been made tawful for me, (iv) The whole earth has been made a Monque for me as well as a means of obtaining purity, (v) I have been appointed a Messenger for the entire world, and (vi) The office of Prophet ceases with me, (Muslim, Vol. 2, have 25, ordered Dent Units, Berults.)

انقطعت فلا رسول بعدى ولا نبي"

(4) The Holy Prophet in said: "The line of

end: After me there will neither be a Prophet nor Messenger." (Tirmidhi, Vol. 2, page 53, printed H.M. Saeed Company, Karachi).

"قال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم انا محمد وانا احمد وانا المسساحي

الذي يمحى الله بي الكفروانا الحاشر الذي يحشر الناس على عقبي وانا العاقب والعاقب الذي ليس. يعده نبي."

(5) The Holy Prophet ** and : "I am Muhainmad, I am Ahmad, I am the eraser; disbelief will be erased through me, I am the assembler, the people will be assembled in the plain of Resurrection behind me, and I am the last one after whom there is no Prophet." (Muslim, Vol. 2, page 26), printed Dehli).

"قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ان الله لم يبعست نبيسا الا حف امته الدجال وانا آخر الانساء وانتم آخر الامم وهو الحا، ج فيكم

حدرامته ۱۱ ۱۷ محالة

(6) The Holy Prophet (B) said: "Allah has sent no Prophet who did not warn his people of the coming of Dajjal (the antichrist, but he did not come in their times). Now I am the last of the Prophets and you are the last community. Now he shall appear among you." (Ibn-c-Majah, Vol. 2, page 178).

"عن عبدالرهمز بن جبير قال سمعت عبدالله بن عمرو بن العاص

يقول خرج علينا رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم يوما كالمودع فقـــــال انا محمد النبي الامن ثلاثا ولا نبي بعدى"

الا عمله التي الآمل للات التي العلم للات التي التي العلم اللات (7) Abdur Rehman bin Jubair says : "I heard Abdullah bin Amr bin Aas saying that the Holy Prophet (2) one day came to us in a manner as

though he was taking his leave. He said thrice: I am Muhammad (P.B.H.), the un-lettered Prophet, then said: and no Prophet will come after me. (Musnad Ahmad : Traditions from Abdullah bin Amr bin Aas).

"قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم لا نبوة بعدى الا المبشرات

قيل وما البشرات يا رسول الله قال الرؤيا الحسمنة او قسال الرؤيسا

الصاخة" (8) The Holy Prophet ﷺ said : "There is no

(a) Inc Proly Propher (2e* skid : "There is no Prophethood after me; there will only be hardbagen of good news. He was asked, "who are hardbagen of good news. He was asked, "who are Allah?" He replied: "A true wision," Grading the prophethood with the prophethood with the prophethood of the prophethood with the prophethood of Drivine Revelation now. At the most a person may receive an inspiration, which will be in the form of a true vision, (AbD Band, Vol. 2, page 316).

"قال النبر صِلْ الله عليه وسلم لو كان بعدى نبي لكان عمر بن

اخطاب"

(9) The Holy Prophet said: "If a Prophet had to come after me, it would have been 'Umar bin il-Khattab'. (Tirmidhi Vol. 2, page 209, printed H.M. Saeed and Company, Karachi).

"قال رسول الله صلى عليه وسلم لعلى انت منى بمنسؤلة هارون

من موسى الا انه لا نبي بعدى" (10) The Holy Prophet ﷺ said to Hadrat Ali : "You

are to me as Aaron was to Moses, with the exception that there is no Prophet after me". (Muslim, Vol. 2, page 278, printed Dehli). Bukharl and Muslim have related this tradition in

connection with the Tabuk Expedition. Two traditions on this subject are related in Musnad Ahmad on the authority of Sa'ad bin Abi Waqqas, the last sentence of one of which is to the effect; "But there is no Prophet-hood after me". The detailed traditions related in this connection by Abu Daud Tavalisi, Imam Ahmad and Mohammad bin Ishaq show that on the eye of his departure for the Tabuk Expedition the Holy Prophet (P.B.H.) had decided to leave Hadrat Ali behind for the defence and protection of Madina. The hypocrites thereupon had an opportunity to pass discourteous remarks about him. He went to the Holy Prophet W and asked him : "O Messenger of Allah, are you leaving me behind among the women and children"? On this occasion the Holy Prophet of consoled him. saying: "You are to me as Haron was to Moses". That is, just as Prophet Moses, on his departure for Mount Tur, had left Prophet Aaron behind to look after the children of Israel, so he was leaving him behind for the defence of Madina". But apprehending that Hadrat All's comparison with a Prophet might cause mischief later, the Holy Prophet immediately added the exception "there will be no Prophet after me."

(11) It has been related by Thoban that the Holy Prophet A and a ".....and that 30 imposters will appear in my community each one of whom will claim to be a Prophet, whereas I am the last Prophet; there is no Prophet after me". (Abu Daud, Vol. 2, page 2014.

Abu Daud related another tradition on this subject in Kitab-al-Malahim on the authority of Abu Harairah. Irmidhi also related these two traditions on the same authority and that of Thaub, The second tradition is to the refect: 'So much so that about 30 imposters will arise, each

of whom will claim to be a Messenger of Allah." "قال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم لقد كان فيمن كان قبلكم مــــن

اسراليل رجال يكلمون من غير ان يكونوا انساء فان يكن من امتى احد لكان عمد " (12) The Holy Prophet it "There have been before you among the children of Israel people, who were spoken to (by God) though they were not Prophels. If there be such a one in my Ummah, he would be Umar." (Bukhari, Kitab al-Manaqib, Vol. 2, page 282, printed Darul Maarifah, Beruit).

A tradition on this subject reported in Muslim has the word Muhaddath (مکلنوه) instead of yukallamun (مکلنوه) but "mean the persons who are spoken to by God, or are poken to by the unseen.

"قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم لا نبي بعدى ولا امة بعد امق" (13) The Holy Prophet e said: "There is no Prophet after

me, and there is no Ummah (of any other Prophet) after my Ummah". (Baihaqi, Vol. 5, page 197).

"قال رسولُ الله صلى عليه وسلم الا آخر الانبياء ومسجدى خاتم

المساجد الانبياء"

(14) The Holy Prophet said: "I am the last Prophet and my Mosque is the last Mosque (of a Prophet) (i.e. the Prophet's Mosque of Madina)." (Muslim: Kitab al-Hali, page 202).

"عن عرباضٍ بن سارية عن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم قسسال ان

عندالله مكتوب خاتم النبين وان آدم لنجدل في طينة" (15) It is related from Irbas bin-Saria that the Holy Prophet ﷺ said:"I was the last of the Prophets when

Adam had not yet been born". (Mustadrak of Hakim, Vol. 2, page 418, printed at Hyderabad, Deccan).

"بابي انت وامي (يا رسول الله) لقد انقطع بموتك مسسالم ينقطسع

بموت غيرك من النبوة والانباء و اخبار السمأ"

(16) It is related that Hazrat Ali addressing the Holy Prophet and said: "O Messenger of Allah, your death stopped the thing which was not stopped by the death of anyone else that is Prophethood, revelation from Allah and other prophetic informations." (Nah)ul Balaghah, Vol. 2, page 255, printed at Egypt).

عير إلى جعفر و إلى عبد الله عليهما السلام..... لقد ختم الله

بكتابكم الكتاب و ختم بنبيكم الانبياء"

(17) It is related that Abu Jafar and Abu Abdullah said: "Indeed Allah finished the divine books with your book (Holy Quran) and terminated (the line of) Prophets with your Prophet (Muhammad) (Usul-e-Kafi, Vol. 1, page 103, printed No. Kishwar).

These traditions have been reported by a large number of the companions and related by many traditionists with many strong chains of authorities. A study of these shows that the Holy Prophet AF had on different occasions in different ways and in different words affirmed that he was the last of the Prophets, that no Prophet would come after him, that Prophethood had been finalised in him, and that the people who claimed to be Messengers and Prophets after him would be imposters. There can be no more authentic, reliable and conclusive explanations of the Quranic word Khatam-un-Nabiyin than this. The Holy Prophet's of statement by itself is authoritative and decisive but when it explains a text of the Ouran, it becomes all the more authenticated and conclusive. The question is; who can be better qualified to understand and explain the Ouran than the Holy Prophet himself? Thus, if a person gives a different meaning to Khatam-e-Nubuwwat (Finality of Pronhethood) how can be be held worthy of any attention or consideration, much less worthy of being believed in and followed.

This is an established principle but I may cite from Al-iman by lbn-e-Taimiya. "وتما ينبغي ان يعلم ان الا لفاظ الموجودة في القرآن والحديث اذ

عرف تفسيرها وما اريد بمعناها من جهة النبي صلى الله عليه وسسلم لم

يحتج في ذالك الى الاستد لال باقوال اهل اللغة ولا غيرهم"

"And it must be understood that when the Holy Prophet (%)" relates any meaning or explanation of the words of the Quran and the Sunnah, no weight will be given to the dictionary meaning or any other meaning and explanation." (Al-lman by Ibne-Talmiva. page 271).

The finality of Prophethood is a fundamental of Islam. Allama Ibne-Nujaim wrote (in Al-Ashbah wai Nazair, Kitabu-Sier, Babu Riddah, page 179) that a person who does not acknowledge the belief in the finality of Prophethood is not a Muslim since It is a fundamental of fatith which must be known and acknowledge.

the opinions of Al-Chazall (459—598 A.H.) Qual Ayaz (548 A.H.) Allama Shehrastan (d. 548 A.M.): l'bne-Kathir (d. 774 A.M.). Mulla A.H Qard (d. 101C A.M.), Sheikh Ismail Haqqi (d. 1117 A.M.). Shakani (d. 1358 A.H.) and the view in Fatawa Alampiri that one who does not believe in the finality of Prophethodo or claim to be a Prophetor follows such a person, is an unbeliever not within the pale of the complex of About Hazefa is also reproduced below—

A man in the time of Imam Abu Hanceta (80—150 AM). claimed to be a Prophet and said, "Allow me to present proofs of my Prophethood". The Imam ruled: "Anyone who demands a proof of Prophethood from hin will also turn an unbeliever, for the Messenger of Allah said: "There is no Prophet after me", (Manaqle-Jul-Junam al-Azam Abi Hanceta, Ibn-e-Ahmad al-Makki, Vol. 1, page 161. Hyderabaki

There is no doubt that a person who falsifies a clear and general verse of the Holy Quran by resort to its Taaweel and particularisation is as good as one who denies In our view the verse about Khatam-un-Nabiyyin clinches the issue that all claimants of prophethood after the Holy Prophet will be false Prophets.

It may also be described here that some people have objected to the finality of the Holy Prophet & and have stated that the meaning of Khatam is not the last but it is like calling a person Khatam-ush-shu'ara or Khatam-ul-Mufassirin. These terms do not mean that after such a person, no other Poet, of Jurist, or Commentator would be born, but it means that this particular branch of knowledge was exhausted with that person. But this is a fallacious argument. The use of such a title as an exaggeration does not mean Khatam is usable for "perfect and excellent", and not for "last and final". There is no such rule that the use of a word sometimes in a figurative sense shall deprive that word of its real meaning. If somebody were to say it is n (before an Arab, he shall never understand it to mean that the most perfect man of the tribe had come, but shall understand it to mean that the last man of the tribe came.

One should also note that the titles of Khitam-uniwirar, Khatmu-Lifuqaha, etc. given to some people, were given by human beings, and no human being can ever show that after the person when he is calling Khatam for khatam for home the state of the control of the home. That is the reason why in human language, these titles are no more than evaggerated recognition of efficience. But when Allah says that such and such a quality has terminated on and finalised in a particular person, there is no reason why we should understand it in ambiguity in the language. Therefore, Allah's calling someone Khatam-un-Nabiyin and the man's exaggeratedly calling someone Khatam-ush-shu'ara or Khatam-ul-fuqaha, etc. cannot be regarded at the same level.

An argument against the absolute finality is based on the tradition that his Mosque is the last Mosque. It is argued that it is not the last Mosque, because countless of other mosques have been built after it in the world. These words last mosque were used in the sense of excellence and perfection. The argument is follaclous. The last mosjid means the Prophets' last Mosjid or the mosjid having some special qualities as compared to other mosques.

The tradition related by Imam Muslim in this

connection on the authority of Hadrat Abu Hurairah. Hadrat Abdullah bin Umar and Hadrat Maimunah (wife of the Prophet (Ar) are explicit that there are three such Mosques in the world, which are superinr to all other Mosques In the sense that offering the prayer in them carries a thousand times greater spiritual reward than offering it in other mosques. They are Masiid-ul-Hararn in Makkah, Masjid-al-Aqsa in Jerusalem (Bait-ul-Maqddas) and Masiid-i-Nabawi in Madina. For this reason it is permissible to undertake a journey for the purpose of offering the prayer in these three Mosques. This is something which is not advisable for any other mosque. The merits and spiritual reward for all other mosques whether far or near is equal. What the Holy Prophet & meant was this : Since no other Prophet would come after him, no fourth Mosque would be built in the world offering the prayer in which might carry greater reward than offering the same in other mosques and making of journey to which especially for the purpose of offering the prayer in it might be lawful.

A saying of Hadrat Aishah is cited against the principle of absolute finality of Prophethood. It is to the effect: Do say that the Holy Prophet 😤 is the Khatam-ul-Nabiyin (last of the Prophets) but do not say that no Prophet will come after him. In the first place to cite a

saying of Hadrat Aishah as against the authentic statements of the Holy Prophet after me, "is highly derogatory, Besides, the tradition ascribed to Hadrat Aishah is Istad'i not authentic. No traditionist Hadrat Aishah is Istad'i not authentic. No traditionist Collection. It is only "traced to Durrul Mansur, a commentary of the Quran and Takish Majima uli Bihar, a dictionary of Hadtin but without any reference to its chain of transmitters. It is unreliable and no scholar of removm

Imam Nawawi as stated in Al-Mauraua-ul-Khabir page 58. One of the persons in the chain of transmitters is Abu Shaaba who is not reliable, imam Timizi said that he was not reliable in Hadith. Imam Nasai described him as went in Hadith. Imam Ahmad said about him that no weight can be given to what he said. Imam Abu Hatim called him unreliable in Hodith ("Ankibul Tahiri, bot.1 pages 144-145).

After the description of the Muslim concept of finality of the Prophet-hood of Muhammad , it would be appropriate to refer to the history and evolution of the claim of Mirza Sahib to prophethood.

Mirzz Sahib was born in 1839 or 1840 in village Quadian, District Gurdapur in that part of the Pullage which is now included in India. This is according to the region of the Pullage of the India American to his year of birth among the members of his family. According to the first thesis of Mirza Bashi his family, According to the first thesis of Mirza Bashi his family. According to the first thesis of Mirza Bashi his family, According to the first thesis of Mirza Bashi his family has been supported by the pullage of the Pulla

Volume-3, page 76). According to one calculation the year of birth could be 1831 (Ibid, page 74). (Meraj Din fixed the date as 7th February, 1832 (Ibid, page 302). Others take the year of birth to 1833 or 1834 (Ibid, page 194).

The reason for these discrepant views of Mirza Bashir Ahmad and others who believed Mirza Sahlb to be a Prophet who was imparted divine knowledge by God (and consequently should not have made a mistake about his year of birth) is not far to be seen Mirza Sahib was about sixty nine years old at the time of his death (born 1839 and died 1908). Nemat Ullah Wall, a saint of the sixth century Hiirah who is said to have predicted the future events among the Muslims in a continuous poem is said to have written in that poem some predictions about the coming of someone at the end of the thirteenth century and the beginning of the fourteenth century who would revive Sharia. Mirza Sahib applied that poem to himself. In one couplet it was predicted that that person would remain alive for forty years from the death of his advent i.e. taking over of the mantle of appointment as the chosen of the Lord. Mirza Sahib while commenting upon the meaning of the couplet wrote that he was appointed as such at the age of forty and he will live till the age of eighty years or near about. (Nishan-e-Aasmanl, page 15). He then claimed to have a differentiation

(Allah give you long life-eighty-four or five years more or four or live years less). Thus according to this revelation he had to die any time between the age of seventy-five years or eighty-five years. The attempt to prove him more aged and to bring his life span closer to seventy-five years is directed towards proving the accuracy of the prediction and the revelation.

The anxiety to establish the fulfilment of prophecy is speached by a letter of Molvi Abdul Rahim Dard M.A. a preacher of Qadianism who wrote a letter to Mizza Bashir Ahmad, compiler of Sert-ul-Mehdi commending his research in respect of age of Mirza Sahib. He exhorted him to resolve this matter finally so that the year of birth be fixed between 1836 and 1837. After referring to the revelations of eighty or near about reproduced in Arbain 3, page 36, Tohfa-i-Golarwia, page 29, Izala-i-Auham pages 634 to 638 he wrote:

"The meaning of these revelations were stated by Mirza Sahib as follows:—

"The apparant words of the promise in the revelation fix the age between seventy four and eighty six."

If either according to Hijra or the Gregarian Calendar the age is proved within this, the revelation would be fulfilled. There can be no objection if the birth is proved between 1836 and 1837". (Seerat-ul-Mehadi, Vol. 3, pages 187, 188, No. 763).

The same reason is disclosed at page 76 of Secrt-ul-Mehdi, Vol. 3.

After fixing the date of birth as 13th February, 1835 Mirza Bashir Ahmad calculated the age of Mirza Sahib according to the Hiira Calendar as more than seventy five years.

MITZ Shilt was born in a family of indirect which though propersons and affinent in the away product, reduced to straitlened circumstances at the time of his birth in 1872 his father Challam Mutzach had shown his loyally to the East India Company and had supplied fifty horses and fifty recruits to the British Army to help them in crushing the renters of the war of independence who were called tailates by that Government, in exchange he was called tailates by that Government, in exchange he was cuilogize the British Covernment was, therefore, ingarland in Mitza Sahifi form his bory-hood and continue dill death. He mentions and repeats his father's loyalty to the British Government and his being honoured with a seat in the Government Darbar, with excessive prider, in his various until challenge with the contraction of th

Miraz Sabib had some religious education from some techers. Because of the financial position of the family he had to join service as a cierk in the courts at Sableton as merger salary of Re. 136- per month. This venture lasted became busy in the family Hilgation for the restoration of the family property and in the study of the religious literature. His father died when he was about thisty-live area old (Kilshol-albritysh, pages 146 to 189) At the end was a substantial to the same of the same

In 1879 he advertised through a pamphlet his intention to write a book containing three hundred arguments in support of the superiority of Islam over Christianity and Hundsiam. He abented the Muslims to send their subscriptions and contributions or price of the book in advance since he had no money to publish the same. He wrote in Haqiqat-ui-Wahi, page 337 that when he wrote his first book Bazheen-Is-Ahmaddyya he had no wrote his first book Bazheen-Is-Ahmaddyya he had no shall be the subscription of the wrote letters and received moner from different sources.

The book was first priced at Rs.25/- for others and Rs.10/- for Muslims (See Baraheen-i-Ahmadiyya, Vol. 3, 1970 Ed. on the back of the title page). After the publication of the first two volumes it was priced at Rs.100'- for others and Rs.100'- Rs 13f'- for Muslims (See bld), page 671.

Quite a number of persons paid the price in advance but only four volumes of the book could be published in four years pice 1888. The filth was published in 1985. During the person of the published in 1985. During the person of the published in 1985. During the footness of the published in 1985. During the cipity books but he could not complete the fifth volume depth protests from the contributors of the price of complete only the protests from the contributors of the price of complete only the protests from the contributors of the price of complete. The first volume of the book consisted of \$2 pages only, It wish in the edition of \$790 is continued to \$2 pages only, it was published in 1880 and consisted of preliminaries about the need of the book, list of contributors, some pores and a pamphile tyomising award of a prize of \$8.10,000.1 to one who retated even one-fifth of the arguments through the divinely impired books of their religion. The second volume consisting of fifty-five paces (new edition of pages) of preface only was also published in 1880. The third volume of \$1.2 pages (new ordinor the pages) of preface only was also published in 1880. The third volume of \$1.2 pages (new edition of \$1.2 pages) of preface only was also published in 1880. The third volume are primed in 1884 and the pages of the

It appears from the fifth volume of the book (page 1) that Mirza Sahib had originally intended to publish the book in fifty volumes and advance price of the book had been received from many contributors. But he declared that his promise was fulfilled with the publication of the fifth volume since here was difference of a zero only between the fleures S and 50.

Despite the favourable reaction of the Muslims to the amphiles a devertising the book long before its publication Miras Sahib left no opportunity of complaining against the among them and blaning them for indifference. Only two instances of contributions may be eproduced. A sum of five thousand rupers, which was equal to an amount of several hundred thousands of the present age was contributed by one person alone and another sum of five contributed by one person alone and another sum of five gratients. (See the publishers most, Bankenstand (See the publishers most, Bankenstand (See the publishers most, Bankenstand (See the publishers).

Mirza Sahib claimed that he had more than three housands revelations out of which fifty thousand related to money matters, lee, whether and when the money would be received. This claim would indicate that money matters were uppermost in his mind.

The main theme in Baraheen-i-Ahmadiyya in which three hundred arguments were promised, is that of divine inspirations or revelations which according to Mirza Sahib continue in the followers of the Holy Prophet who qualify for it. The purpose with which the book was promised to be printed may have been served or not but the purpose which may have only been intended but not promised was served abundantly. The predominating theme in volumes three and four are the alleged revelations of Mirza Sahib and the theories which laid the foundation of his future claims of being a promised Massih, promised Mehdi and a prophet. The foundational claim of Mamoor-un-Minallah (an appointer from God) was, however, made in the third volume of the book Seerat-ul-Mehdi, Vol. 2, page 151. In the fourth volume he claimed to have received the sign of Mujaddidiyat (revivalism) (See pages 502 and 503 of Baraheen; Hayat-i-Tayyeba by Abdul Qadir, page 69; Also see Seert-ul-Mehdi, Vol. 2, page 151). The real purpose of the publication of the book at public expense proved to be the propagation of self, the advertisement of his alleged revelations and the publication of his theories which would ultimately help him in making a claim to prophethood. In order to establish the last point a few extracts are given from Baraheen-i-Ahmadiyya.

(1) Ilham is a measure of information about hidden affairs. God always creates such men in the Muhammadan Community who believe in the Holy Quran, and act upon its Injunctions truthfully and aincrety and consider the Holy Popheth & as the true and perfect Prophet of God, more venerable and prominent than other Prophets, the last of the Prophets and his guide leader (1982 131).

(2) It is different from the prophetic revelation which has ended but the above inspiration shall not terminate. This type of inspiration is a great proof of the prophetic

revelation (page 215).

(3) The word Ilham cannot be limited to its dictionary meaning. There is concensus among the Ulema that Ilham is equivalent to Wahi (page 221).

(4) There is a dispute of words amongst us and the Ulema that whatever divine information We call Wahi, is called liham by the Ulema (page 222).

(3) If Ulema are not given the share of hidden knowledge how can they be the inheritors of the knowledge of the Prophet.

(6) Did not the Holy Prophet say that there will be Mohaddas (one who is in communication with God) in this Unmah (page 231).
(2) The deviation from the right path, the extreme

mischief of the age the craftiness, knavery of those who deny the extreme inathention of the indulent and the negligent, the severity in heresy of the opponents demands that the inspired knowledge of such persons should be like that of Messengers رابرول These are the people who have been named Amsal (سحل) in Hadis and Siddiq in Quran (1988 233).

(8) The time of their manifestation or appearance resembles the time of appearance of the Prophets. The advent of both is dependent on the extreme severity of deviation from the path of righteousness and indolence (page 233).

Translation

(9)

O! Abroad I Aliah bless you.

You are the first viceregent of Allah with His order in this age.

And tell that the truth has come and falsehood has

Say thou: If I am llar, on me then be my guilt. He is who has sent his messenger with the guidance and the true religion that he may make it prevail over all religions (page 239).

- O! Ahmad! Allah has overflowed his mercy upon your lips. May Allah raise for you your renown.
- O! thou enveloped, arise and warn and magnify your lord (page 242). I shall raise you upto me and I flow on you

my love (page 242).

(10) At this juncture there should be no such doubt : How an ordinary person in the Ummah of the Holy Prophet for can be associated with the names, qualities and excellences. It is undoubtedly correct that even a Prophet cannot be an equal partner in his pure perfections, the angels can also not boast of such equality. How can any other person have any relation with the perfections of the Prophet W. But O seekers after truth listen to this attentively for this reason that the blessings of the Holy Prophet A be manifest and till eternity the perfect rays of his light and acceptance may silence the opponents. God has made this arrangement with his perfect wisdom and mercy that some persons from the Ummah of the Prophet Who follow the Prophet W most humbly and submissively may manifest the blessings of the Holy Prophet through their insignificant existence. Whatever praise is levelled on them from Allah or whatever signs and bounties become manifest from them the subject of all those praises and the person from whom those blessings emanate is the Holy Prophet A. But on account of his being the follower of the Sunnah of the Prophet W that resplendent person who is the excellent second of the Prophet

(فخص أو راني جو وجود با وجو وحضرت نبوى ب)

stays like a shadow (.b). For this reason whatever Allah's light or splendour appears in that Holy personage also menifests itself in his 2il (shadow). Appearance of that condition and behaviour in the shadow as is that of the cognate is something which is well known to all and is not a secret (pages 243, 244 also see page 30).

(11) O Adam you and your wife stay in paradise; O

Mary you and your husband stay in paradise; O Ahmad you and your wife live in paradise. I blew up in you from my inspiration (34) the spirit of truth (page 496).

This was translated by Mirza Sahib as follows:-

O Adam, O Mary, O Ahmad you and whoever is your collower or comrade enter paradise is.e. neter the cause of true salvation. I have blown up in you the spirit of truthfulness. (He then explained that) the verse describes the cause of the name of the spiritual Adam. As Adam was born without assistance of any cause (father, mother) so the spirit is blown in the spiritual Adam without assistance of certural causes. In fact this blowing in of spirit is octivated causes in fact this blowing in of spirit is certural causes. In fact this blowing in of spirit is certural causes of the spiritual Adam without assistance of certural causes.

Mirza Sahib explained this as follows: -

We made these signs and wonders and this inspiration which is full of meanings and truth descend near Quadian for reason of truth and on account of necessity. Whatever information was given by Allah and His Prophet is fulfilled and what Allah wished had to be accomplished.

These last words are a pointer to this that the Holy Prophet (# had pointed out in his hadith about his appearance and Allah had hinted about the same in his holy Book. That hint has already been mentioned in the

inspiration recorded in the third volume. The divine hint is in the verse: —

(الفتح: 28) (He sent His messenger with guidance and the true

religion that he may make it to prevail on each religion).

This verse is a prediction in favour of the Messiah in

the physical and political sense and the promise of superiority or victory of Islam will be manifest with the victory of Messiah. With the second comine of the Messiah the religion of Islam will spread through him in all the world. But it is manifested on this humble person that on account of his lowliness, humility, trust in God and selflessness and by virtue of the luminous signs, he is the model of Messiah's first sojourn in the world and his nature very much resembles the nature of Messiah as if they are two pieces of the same jewel or two fruits of the same tree..... just as Jesus, a Prophet of high dignity was the follower of Moses and servant of (his) religion and his Bible was a branch of Torah, this humble person is a lowly servant of that grand Prophet who is the leader of all messengers. If he is Hamid he (Mirza Sahih) is Ahmad. If he is Mahmud he (Mirza Sahib) is Muhammad (This اصني الله عليه may be marked that Mirza Sahib puts the words

(pi-y) (P.B.U.H.) when he refers to himself although these words are exclusively used for Prophets). Since this humble ann has complete resemblance with Jeaus, God Included him from the beginning in the prediction about Messalsh. Messalsh is the manifest and physical object of that prediction while this humble person is its spiritual and dependent join irrefutable logic and arguments are destined through this humble self-whether the manifest during his file-time or after his death Topses 498 and 499.

(13) Thus God after creating this humble slave in this age and after conferring upon him hundreds of heavenly signs and (the quality of) penetrability into the hidden affairs and knowledge and after arming him with knowledge of irrefutable arguments, intended that he may publish and make prevalent the Quranic truthful sclence in every nation and in every country (page 501)

(14) Whatever sources of spreading the religion, arguments and reasoning for silencing all excuses have been made available to me were never given to anyone in the earlier Umam (plural of Ummah, i.e. communities of followers of Prophets) (ages 502).

(18) God did not give you up nor is he angry with you. Did not we open you heart. Did not we make everything easy for you that we granted you Bait-ut-l'îte (house for worship). Who ever entere Bait-ut-l'îte (house for worship) which we will be the worship of the house (house house house

is blessed and confers blessings and every blessed act will be committed in it (pages 558, 559).

The following points are made out by the above mentioned extracts from Baraheen-i-Ahmadia, Vol. 3 and 4:

- Mirza Sahib claimed to have direct communication with God and was addressed by Him directly.
- (2) He called his Ilham as Wahi and apprehending the possible objection from the Ulema, he wrote that this was only a dispute over language: He called the divine information as Wahi while the
- Ulema named it Ilham.
 (3) He was the recipient of secret knowledge and
- knowledge about future events.

 (4) In this age of sin such a reformer should be like a messenger and such people were named Amsal in
- Hadis and Siddlq in Quran.

 (5) The appearance of such as he, resembles the
- advent of the Prophets.

 (6) Though no one can equal the Holy Prophet (P.B.H.) but a person on account of his being the staunch follower of the Prophet and his Sunnah
- staunch follower of the Prophet and his Sunnah becomes his Zil (Shadow).

 (7) The manifestation of the State and behaviour in
- the Zil (Shadow) is that of the original leader.
 (8) If the leader is Hamid the Zil is Ahmad. If the first named is Mahmood the other is Muhammad
- and Mirza Sahib who is saying this about himself puts (مثلى نه عله وسلم) (peace be upon him or ألا أنه عله وسلم) (peace be upon him or ألا أنه short) against Muhammad which according to him is his name but he does not put
 - according to him is his name but he does not put such words of prayer, which are reserved for the prophets against the names of the Holy Prophet.

 (9) Mirza Sahib resembled Jesus and the prediction of his coming applied to him in the manifest and

physical sense while it applied to Mirza Sahib in the spiritual sense.

- (11) The verse

was revealed for Mirza Sahib.

(12) Though the above verse is a prediction in favour
of the Messiah in the physical and political sense.

*115

- but Mirza Sahib is the model of Messlah's first sojourn in the world and both are pieces of the same Jewel.

 (13) God sent a revelation to Mirza Sahib that He
- (13) God sent a revelation to Mirza Sahib that He granted him Bait-ul-Fikr and Bait-ul-Zikr, Bait-ul-Fikr was the Chaubara in which he wrote Beraheene-Ahmadia and Bait-ul-Zikr means the Mosquet built adjacent to the Chaubara. According to the Ilham the Mosque is blessed and confers blessings and every blessed act will be committed in the

From these points it will be clear that while laying the foundation for his claim he lay persistent emphasis on libant (inspirations) which for reasons of his own he called while (revealing). Mirza Sahb distance in 1882 that he was for the contraction of the c

Wahi (revelation) in the language and verse of the Quran and that he was the object of the verse O 48; 28. He was Zil of the Prophet and Zil had all the qualities of the cognate. Thus attempt was made to remove all hurdles in respect of future claim of Promised Messiah and Prophet. The manner in which , according to his claim, he had Ilhams were five and two of them very much resembled the manner in which the Holy Prophet (P.B.H.) received the Wahi (revelation).

In these citations there is one quotation in which it is said that Jesus will be coming physically in this world as Messiah. The subsequent development was only an attempt to prove that Messiah had died a natural death in Kashmir and his second coming in a physical sense was impossible. Consequently the Maseel (likeness of) Messiah that is Mirza Sahib had to fulfill the prophecy about the second coming of Messiah.

There is a clear verse in the Holy Quran about Holy Prophet A being the last of the Prophets. This hurdle had to be crossed by discovering a new meaning of the word Khatam that the Prophet shall henceforth be (commissioned from the Muslim Ummah and must hear the seal of authentication of the Holy Prophet A. There is no reference to Mehdi but in view of the

qualifications appropriated by Mirza Sahib for himself this would not be a difficult claim to make. Mirza Sahib claimed to be the Promised Messiah in

1891. He had thereafter disputations with Christian Missionaries also.

Abdullah Atham was a Christian who was considered an adept at disputation or contest by argument رمنساطره). Mirza Sahib had such contest-with him and other Christian Missionaries from 22nd May, 1893 to 5th June, 1893 regarding the truthfulness and superiority of Islam as a religion. On the last day of the contest Mirza Sahib made a

prediction to the effect that:-

last night I prayed to God with much humility and stell abasement that He may decide in this matter. We are humble servants and are helpless in the absence of a decree from you. He gave me this sign as a things of a decree from you. He gave me this sign as a things knowingly is opting for falsehend, abandoning true God and making an humble [pernon] a divinity shall, at the rate of one month per day of contest he thrown in Hawiye (orging fire) and will be much dispraced in Hawiye (orging fire) and will be much dispraced is right and believes in true God will be honoured. And when this prophety comes true some of the blinds will have their vision asstored, some persons and some deal precons shall start hearing.

I declare that if this prediction is proved incorrect and

On 22nd August, 1894 Mirza Sahib wrote a letter to one Munshi Rustam Ali in which he expressed his anxiety that the 'Known person' (Atham) was still healthy and plump. He prayed for being saved from the test (Maktubat-i-Ahmadiyya, Vol. 5, letter No. 3, page 128; Quadiani Marhab, page 234).

In Secri-ui-Medidi, (Vol. 1, pages 157-160) are described the steps taken by Mira Sabils for the fulfillment of his prophecy. It is said that Mian Abdullah Sinousi informed him that a day before the expiry of period of prediction about Atham, the Fromised Messiah saked him and Mian Ahmad All to bring grams in weight which he specified and recited on them such and such Chapter of the Outan in such number (The author did not recollect the

number nor the Chapter of the Quran, Mina Abdullah Shoosi continued that he recited the said Chapter of the Quran for the whole night. After Jinishing the recitation they went to Minra Sahiba ad irected. He (Miraz Sahib) took both of them outside Quadlan probably toward the north and directed them to throw (the grants) in an unroth and directed them to throw (the grants) in an unroth and directed them to throw the grants of an absent from there without looking back. The two acted as they were directed.

On the last day of the prophecy faces of the Ahnada's were withered and they were extremely dejected. Some persons on account of unaware-ness had betted on the death of Atham. There was dejection and disappointment all in ound. People wept bitterly during prayers and prayed to God that they might not be dishonoured (Seerati-Musood by Sh. Yaqub Ali; Quadiani Mazhab, page 325).

Miraz Sahib explained this by saying that the prediction was subject to the condition that Athan fid not withdraw (from his bellef). So in the meeting of disputation itself he had withdrawn the word Dajid (imposter) which he had said about the Holy Propher $\frac{1}{3}$ Propher $\frac{$

Mirza Sahib wrote in Naseem-e-Daawat (printed in 1903, page 91) that sometimes the fulfilment of the prophecy is delayed on account of penitence. Any objection against the completion of the prophecy could be raised only if he himself had died before Atham (Roohani Khazain, Vol. 19, nase 451, published 1907, page 1851.

It may be noticed that there is nothing in the prophecy that Atham had called names to the Holy Prophet . The basis of the prophecy was that Atham was abandoning true God and making a humble man a divinity which refers to his bellef in the gospels. A period of fifteen months fixed for the death of Atham, expired without fulfilment of the prophecy.

Molvi Sanaullah of Amritsar was one of the great opponents of Mirza Sahib, On the 15th April, 1907, Mirra Sahib wrote a letter to him in a state of great exasperation (which is apparent from the letter) in which he reterred to his (Molvi Sanaullah's) propaganda against him that he was an imposter, a liar and Dajjal (a deceiver) and then declared:—

"If I am such a liar and imposters a you paint me in your newspaper, I shall die in your lifetime because! I show that a mischlerous person and a liar do not live during the lifetime of his emeries. In fact it is better that he should perich so that he may not corrupt the ceation of God. And I/I am not a laz imposter and I be in communication with and an addresse of God and I be the Commission of the Comm

At the end there is a prayer for God's decree in this matter (Havat-i-Tayviba, page 423 to 425).

The fact is that Molvi Sanaullah outlived Mirza Sahib many long years and Mirza Sahib died in 1908 of diarrhoea according to the common version of his followers and of cholera according to the version of his father-in-law. (See Ouadiani Mazhab bu Ilvus Barni page 137).

The followers of Mirza Sahib began to confuse the issue after his death that the letter was an offer for Mubahala (cursing one another and praying that

whoever is not on the right path may die) but Molvi Sanaullah did not accept the offer. But the sald letter is not capable of being so interpreted. It is clearly a unilateral matter which did not require the consent of the other.

It is not important as to who dies first. The death of Mirza Sahib before Movli Sanaullah assumed importance because of the high flown and stern language that Mirza Sahib used and often made life or death a test of his being commissioned by God or being an imposter.

The prophecy of death of his opponents was one of the mode adopted by Mirza Sahib to prove his truthfulness. When same opponent died, as he must die some day, this was considered to be proof of truthfulness of the alleged mission of Mirza Sahib. Mirza Sahib was ultimately compelled by order of the District Magistrate (Deputy Commissioner) Gurdaspur, dated 23rd August, 1897 in a case of breach of peace under section 107 Criminal Procedure Code, to refrain from making prophecies about death or disgrace of any person (Al Barriyyah, page 261). Mirza Sahib is said to have given an undertaking in Court that he would not use such language, (See Tableegh-i-Risalat, Vol. 6, page 168. Alsn see ibid page 166). But he denied it. However he gave such an undertaking in 1899 on the 25th February, in the Court of Mr. M. Douis, District Magistrate Gurdaspur (Quadiani Mazhab, pages 456, 458, Tableegh | Elsalat, Vol. 8 page 44).

The publication of Bratheen-i-Ahmadiya in which gest emphasis was alid by Mirza Salthon divina revelations received by him evoked much curiosity among the Muslims. Hey waited for other prophecies and their fulfillment. Mirza Salthi Isasued pamphlets about certain prophecies which proved incorrect. He, therefore, became the object of criticism and ridicise and in order to clear up this position be recorred in meaning of a world of what he saids.

Mirza Sahib published a revelation in a pamphlet dated 20th of February, 1886 that a son would be born to him. 'His name is Emanuel and also Bashir. Whoever comes is born at that time) will be wealthy and a man of pomp and grandeur. When he comes he will cure many of their illnesses by his miraculous powers. He will be Kalimat Ullah و کلید این (word of God)'. People began to wait for the fulfilment of this revelation.

It so happened that a girl was born to Mizza Sabbi in May 1886. On this, as the author of Secrat-ul-Medit asid, those who believed were disappointed while such a wave of derision, moderny and ridicule arose among those who did not believe or were enemies (of Mizza Sabit) that it created condition like that of an entirquake. Mizza Sabit declared through pamphet and letters that in that revealation may be a support of the major appropriate the support of the support of

As on was thereafter born in August, 1887. There were jubilations on his birth and many of those persons who were shaken (in their belief) became firm. People considered that this was the promised son and Mitras Sahib also had the same opinion on account of the birth of Bashitalso had the same opinion on account of the birth of Bashitalso had the same opinion on account of the birth of Bashitalso had the same opinion on account of the birth of Bashitalson to return (towards Mitras Sahib) but after a year that child ided. This created a great form and an arthquake in the country like of which was noticed neither before more ever after this event. Many of those who believed received such a jold had they rever recovered believed received such a jold had they rever recovered.

Mirzs Sahib again tried to convince people through pumphets and eleters that hew as never certain that the son was the object of revelation. Since he had received many revelations in which was expressed his great excellence he also thought that perhaps he night be the promised one but in the revelation itself there was noted indication. Some while others were disappointed. The opponents ridiculed (Secret-M-Medd, Vol. 1, page 88)

It may be stated that the above mentioned pamphlet about the revelation was published on 20th February, 1886. Another pamphlet was published on 22nd of March 1886 in which it was said that the son would be born within 9 years. A third pamphlet was issued on 8th April, 1886 in which it was said that as on is to born soon and the time (of his birth) cannot exceed the period of pregnancy (Tableggh-Risalt Vol. I, pages 68, 87). It was for this reason that people ridiculed Mirza Sahib when a daughter was born in May, 1886. But this was also interpreted by Mirza Sahibi in his own favour. It was said that it was never prophesied that the som would be born in the then pregnancy. The words that the time would not exceed the within 2 2 feet 2 years and also take the could be born any time within nine years (bid). These interpretations obviously did not satisfy people.

The explanation that Mirza Sahib was not certain that Bashir-l was the object of revealation may be judged in the light of pamphlet dated 7th August, 1887 in which he repressed complete satisfaction with Intense pleasure that the prophecy was proved correct and that night at about 1.30 A.M. that blessed son was born (Tableegh-Hav). Vol. 1, page '99). The pamphlet was headed 'Good News' The pamphlet was headed 'Good News' Conference of the pamphlet was himself certain and he himself apread the news in the oublic.

The attempts of Mirza Sahib to marry Mohammadi Begum and his failure are well-known.

In the pamphiet dated 20th February, 1887 in which here was the prophecy of the birth of the son, was published another prophecy alleged to be based on divine revelation. He wrote that God gave him good tidings about women some of whom he would get in future. His clear from the other writings and pumphies that the good tiding from the other writings and pumphies that the good tiding that Miras. Saltib was last married on 17th November, 1884 (Hayate-Enzyleb) page 275).

In a letter written to Molvi Nooruddin on 8th June, 1886 Mirza Sahib wrote that about four months ago it was made manifest to him that a son of many excellences would be born to him. Of late he had been having numerous inspirations that he would have to marry again and it had been decided by God that a virtuous and chaste wife would be given to him and she would bear children. He then wrote about two proposals of marriage which were not approved by him (Maktubai-Ahmadiyya, Vol. 5, Jetter No. 2).

Mirza Sahib claimed that many times God had informed him by way of prophecy that he would be married to the elder daughter of Mirza Ahmad Beg whether in a virginal state or as a widow (Izala-i-Auham. page 396).

On the 10th May, 1888 a letter of request for marriage of Mirza Sahib was published in Newspaper Nur Afshan. His opponents made him the target of their objections. Mirza Sahib responded by publishing a pamphlet dated that he had asked for the hand of Mohammadi Begum elder daughter of Mirza Ahmad Beg in obedience to the order of God. He further gave the details of the methodology used for achievement of this object. Some of his near relatives demanded signs from him and the father of the girl (Mohammadi Begum) had been obedient to them and considered his daughters to be their daughters and they thought likewise. They considered Mirza Sahib to be a liar and imposter. They raised objections against Islam and the Holy Quran and demanded signs from him. For this reason he prayed many a times for them. This prayer was accepted in this manner that the father of the girl beseeched him in an important matter. His sister was married to a paternal cousin of Mirra Sahib named Chulam Hussain Chulam Hussain was missing for the last twenty-five years. His land to which Mirza Sahib was legally entitled as a heir was got recorded in the revenue record in the name of his wife. Ahmad Beg and her brother wished that the land which was worth about four or live thousand rupees might be gifted in favour of his son Mohammad Beg. A gift deed was drawn on behalf of the wife of Ghulam Hussain and was brought to Mirza Sahib for obtaining his consent which was legally essential. Mirza Sahib was inclined to sign it but he received divine order that he should now make a move for demanding his daughter in marriage and

inform him that the show of benevolence or generosity would be subject to that condition and that the marriage would be a source of blessings and a sign of mercy for them. If they did not agree to the marriage the gilt would come to grief. The person to whom she might be married would die within £1/4 person for the marriage and the father would die within three years from that time [Tableeghi-Risalat, Vol. 1, page 116].

From the supplement of the above pamphlet which is published dated falls July, 1881 to pagear that the relatives of Miras. Sahb considered him an imposter and a communication with Cod for the purpose of making money). He wrote that these persons were not satisfact even by the signs shown to them. He did not never that these persons were not satisfact in this times relationship by marriage). The exquest in this large we relationship by marriage. The exquest in the properties of the proposed of the properties of the properties. The properties of th

Mirza Sahib, did not confine himself to these threats. He wrote letters to his relatives as well as to Mirza Ahmad Beg. These were letters of entreatment. In his letter dated 20th February, 1888 to Mirza Ahmad Beg he wrote that in case of promise of marriage he was prepared to sign the sift deed and In addition his own property would be of God' and Ahmad Beg. He also promised that his son would, through his efforts be employed in the Police Department and would be married to the daughter of one of his rich disciples. (Nawishta-i-Ghaib by M.S. Khalid, page 100, See Quadiani Mazhab by Ilvas Burney, 5th Edition, pages 375, 376). He wrote another letter to Mirza Ahmad Beg on 17th July, 1892 in which he said that the prophecy regarding his marriage was very well-known. He entreated him to assist in the fulfilment of the prophecy (Kalima-e-Fazle Rahmani by Qazi Fazal Ahmad. page 123; Quadiani Mazhab, pages 377 to 379).

Erzal Ahmad son of Mirza Sabib was married to be daughter of Mirza Sher Ali whose wrife was the slot of Mirza Ahmad Beg. Mirza Sabib wrote letters to Mirza She Ali and his wife also asking them to help his in gettle behand of Mohammadi Begum and threatened them that if she was married to some other person he would ask his son Fazal Ahmad to divorce his wife. Mirza Sher Ali wrote back of Mirza Sabir Ali wrote back with the sabir and the sabir an

In reply the threat of Mirza Sahib that in case of his refusal to influence Ahmad Beg through his wife (sister of Mirza Ahmad Beg) his son would divorce his daughter. Mirza Sher All Beg inquired how could his wife meetly for the sake of his daughter, ask his brother to give his daughter marriage to a sickly person who on account of melancholla had reached the stage of divinity (Qudadlani Mazhab, pages 381, 852).

Ultimately under pressure of Mirza Sahib his son Fazal Ahmad unwillingly divorced his wife daughter of Mirza Sher Ali Beg. Mirza Sahib's first wife and his son Sultan Ahmad sided with Mohammadi Begum's family, Mirza Sahib divorced his wife too and disinherited his son Sultan Ahmad. (Tableegh-Hissalt, Vol. 2, pages 9 to 11).

Mohammadi Begom was marifed to Mirza Sultan Mohammad who did not die as predicted and remained alive for quite a long time. Mirza Ahmad Beg died within six montho of his daughter's marriage and this was taken as the fulfilment of the prophecy. But what about the marriage or the death of Sultan Mohammad' He outlived Mirza was wounded but nurived. (Qaudianlyat by Syed Hassan Al Navir, pace 1801.)

In Secrat ul Mehdi it is conceded that Mirza Sahib wrote letters to his relatives and made great efforts for this marriage (Vol. 1 page 186) but the author tired to explain that there was no Prophet who did not make attempt for the fulfilment of his propheteis-certainty a very broad claimful (bid, page 175). But assuming his to be true, was it should be sufficient to the sum of the sum

The author of Secretu-Metholi writes that not only Mirza Ahmad Beg died but the family had to bear so many mistortunes. It is said that by the death of Mirza Ahmad Beg the prophery was fulfilled but the prophery was fulfilled but the prophery was that the husband of Mohammadi Begum would die writhin 2-years and her faither would die within three years. The reasonable interpretation of the prophery should be that father would die atter the death of the husband of the father would die atter the death of the husband of But had been died to the state of the husband of the state of

The fallure or success in betrofhal or marriage is hardly material in normal circumstances but this matter assumed importance on account of the insistence of Mirra. Shibb about the divine revelation. In Anjami-Atham (published in Silsilse-Tasnifat, Vol. VIII, page 4773, note) Mirra. Sahib worte that "essence of typheey about the son in law of Ahmad Beg is his inevitable destiny. Wait for it. If a mail latt him prophecy shall not be infillited. If it am all latt him prophecy shall not be infillited it! If it all all the state of the

this phrase is there in the divine revelation 'la tabdeela li kalimat illah' (با ينبل كنسات الله ') (there can be no change in the words of Gody) which means that what I have said in this respect will not be withdrawn (proved false). If it is withdrawn (is proved untrue) the word of God is futile and of no worth."

But at the time that these words were written the period fixed for the death of Sultan Mohammad had already expired but Mirza Sahib insisted that what is destined must happen though there may be some delay in it.

Mirza Sahib made a prophecy in 1891

various interpretations because the British rule continued till after World War II (See Seert-ul-Mehdi, Vol. 2, page 7; No. 314).

In Baraheen-e-Ahmadia, Vol. 5 (pages 73-74)' Mirza Sahib mentioned verse Q 3:55!

'كَبُروا وجاعل اللهن العولا فوق اللهن كغروا الى يوم اللهم.'
(And remember) when Allah saia: O Jesus Lol I am gathered thee and causing thee to ascend unto Me, and am cleansing thee of those who disbelieve and am setting those who follow thee above those who disbelieve until the Dav of Resurrection' and said:

It means O Jesus I shall give you death and lift you towards Me and manifest your exoneration I will make your believers predominant over those who deny you.

In this revelation the word Isa (Jesus) connotes me and the word 'followers' refers to my organization. The prophecy in the Quran is about Jesus and the words 'subducd community' refer to the Jews who are diminishing eyery day. The firsh revelation of this vense for me and my organization points out this that it is destined, that those who were outside the organization will go on diminishing and all the sects of the Muslims which are outside my organization will continue to diminish; (in the sense that) they will continue entering my organization or they will be a majorithm.

The incorrectness of this prediction is so visible that not much is required to be said about it. The number of Quadiants in Pakistan in the last census of 1981 is 103,000 and the number of Quasilians is a Fakistan in the last census of 1981 is 103,000 per and the number of Quadiants is a laways been exaggered times in Punjab alone where Mirza Sahib had some following. The number of Quadiants has always been exaggered as will be clear from the Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethica Vol. X page 539 (O).

The movement has gown steadily since its inception in 1898. In 1899 it claimed 31 members. In the 1901 Government ceasus 1113 males were returned for the United Provinces, and 11,267 for the Bombay Presidency (doviously an inaccrussy). In 1896, the and before his death the estimated for total number of his followers at 900,000. Against this manifest exaggeration must be placed the returns of the ceasus for the Punjab in 1911, iv.; 1899 Admandia (Probably of the movement throughout India today. There are

also a few scattered followers in other countries'.

In the census of 1931 their number was 55,000 only which Mirza Mahmood Ahmad estimated at 75,000 (Address of Mian Bashir-ud-Din Mahmud Ahmad in Al-Fazal Qadian Vol. 21 No. 152 dated 21-6-1934 c.f. Qadiani Maghab, page 415).

In a Pamphlet dated 27th September, 1899 Mirza Sahib wrote that he had given the number of his followers as three hundred in some book. This number had reached ten thousand and within three years would exceed one hundred thousand. (Tableegh-i-Risalat Vol. 8 page 54). In a pamphlet dated 4th November, 1900 he assessed this number as thirty thousand (ibid Vol. 9 page 90).

Mitra Sahib took oath and said that "I say on oath that at least one hundred thousand in my organization are such who believe in me sincerely." (Seet-4-Mehdi Vol. 1, page 146. In Tuhfat-ul-Nadwa (1902) also he fixed the same number and said out of them ten thousand were converted during the period of plague.

In supplement to Haqiat-ul-Wahi (printed 1907), page 117, Mirza Sahib (fixed the number of his followers as four hundred thousands.

Bestdes, Mirza Sahib and his successors, his followers

including Mubarak Ahmad, Professor, Jamia Ahmadia Quadian also inflated the number. The latter fixed the number of Ahmadia at 5 millions. Abdur Rahman Dard stated before Mr. Philip that the Quadianis outsumed Walliam in Punjab. This statement was made when the Muslim population of Punjab was only 15 millions. This means that according to his daten the number of Quadianis in Punjab was "Pmillions. Recently Genomial London gave by the Quadianish. The number of Muslims in the Punjab is to more than 48 millions now while the Quadianis in the whole country number 103,000. So his was the prediction of Miras Sahib.

The unity of Calcutta in an article written on the death of Mirza Sahib fixed the number of his adherents at 20,000 (Seert-ul-Mehdi Vol. 1, page 265; No. 290).

When Mirza Sahib had some little following he called his followers for bay't by a pamphlet dated 1st December, 1888 (Hayat-e-Tayyiba, pages 97, 98). According to the article 'Quadian' in Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethies (Vol. 10). the number of such followers was 13 in 1896s.

After collecting some sizable number of followers Mirza Sahib took the second step of declaring himself the promised Messiah and the promised Mehdi in 1891. The apprehension of Muslim Ummah that he was on the road to becoming a Prophet was partly proved correct. In fact Mirza Sahib had already laid the foundation of being the promised Messiah in Baraheeni-Ahmadiyya in which he claimed to be Maseeli-Maseeh (like Messiah).

Mirza Sahib declared in Fathe-Islam (published 189) that he was one with oab been sent for the reform of the people so that he may revive the religion and establish it in the people. He had been sent in the same manner as the one who was sent after Mose whose spirit after many trials and cribalishos was raised. Then another one who communicated with Good (like Mosel and who is a sent of the same should be sufficiently that the same should be sufficiently for the same should be sufficiently for the same sufficient to the same should be sufficiently as the same sufficient to the same sufficie

Lo! We have sent unto you a messenger as witness against you, even as we sent unto Pharoah a messenger.

Thus he who in his actions was Mascel (double or second) of Mosce but was superior to him in rank was also promised a double or second (Mascel) of Messiah (lesus) and as Jenus son of Mary came in the fourteenth century and as Jenus son of Mary came in the fourteenth century same period after the second communicator with God algibrate the second of the Messiah (See Fathe-disamprinted in Roohani-khazain, Vol. 3, page 8). The language after the words "first communicator with God" is ambiguous but I have given the purpoin of the theory of Miras Sahibu as the bene clarified by him at other places as

Mirza Sahib wrote that "the Messiah who had to come soome" (page 9). This was not an ew theory that Mirza Sahib had been sent in the name of Messiah. It was stated in Baraheen-Ahmudhyya that he had a particular resemblance with Messiah in nature and for this swaon had been sent in the name of Messiah. The theory was later developed that Jesus had died andhe died an turtul death extract the swaon had been sent in extract the swaon that the state of the swaon had been sent in extract the swaon that the swan to be swarped to the swaon had been sent in the swap with the swa

He further said in Tauzihul Maram (published 1891) (see Roohan-i-Khazain, Vol. 3, page 60) that the door of revelation was not completely closed nor had revelation been sealed in all manners. The door of partial prophethood and revelation was still open and would always remain open. But this is not a complete prophethood. It is only partial prophethood which is known by the name of Mohaddasiyyat which is obtained by following the perfect man. Mohaddas was explained at another place as a person who is in communication with God. In Barangen-i-Ahmadiyya he had called Mohaddas like a Prophet but now he called him a partial Prophet. The exact words in Baraheen-l-Ahmadiyya are that his position is nearer the position of a Prophet (page 46). He gave the illustrations of Mary mother of Jesus, mother of Moses, apostles of Jesus and Khizr none of whom was a Prophet. In fact he maintained his position about the absolute finality of prophethood upto 1890 but changed it later as stated above.

He kept the door open for advent of Prophets without Shain by formulating his falth in the words that now no such inspiration or revelation from God is possible which may amend or abropate Injunctions of the Quran or may have the effect of changing even one Injunction. Whoever believes to the contrary is beyond the pale of the Muslim Ummah and is an unbeliever and infided (Italai-Auham, page 138).

Upto 1891 the Muslims of the Indian Sub-continent only ridiculed Mirza Sahib whenever his prophecy was falsified. It has already been seen in the episode of Mohammadi Begum that his own family members called him an imposter, Musalima and by such other epithets; they orobably knew him better.

But the claim of Messiah and Mehdi shook the Muslims. The floodgates of criticism, resentment and anger were opened. Mirza Sahib was quick to retrace his steps a little obviously in order to appease the Muslims.

But before taking up this subject it would be advisable to explain the words Nabi (Prophet), Rasonl or Mursal (Messenger). Every Resool (Messenger) is a Nobi (Prophet) and its on accessive that each Prophet (Nobi) may also be a Raseol (Messenger). The difference in the two is that Nobi (Prophet) is one to whom come revealations from God and the angels come to him with revealations. Rasool one of the contract of the contra

At a later period the distinction between Rason (Messenger) and Nabl (Prophel) evaporated. However, it any one made a distinction it is as mentioned above (Lrdu Darizt-ul-Mazaf-i-Islamia, Vol. 10, page 255 on word (Rasoof). According to Al-Aqaidul Naszfa by Abu Haiza Sullamia (Messenger) is used in the sense of one brienies Sharia fiblication.

Miras Sahib used all the three words Noth (Prophet). Sanool (Messager) and Mursal in Isalae-Authun, page 534. He said while refuting the second coming of Jesus as Messiah "how it was possible that any other Noth (Prophet) Nothurwat (Praphethood), could come after Khaitimun Nobuwwat (Praphethood), could come after Khaitimun Nobuyan (Praphethood), could come after Khaitimun Abylyin. The essentials of the perfect Nobuwwat (Praphethood) of such a Nabi (Prophet) are revelations and the coming of Sabriel which are interviable. According to same person who has obtained the Injunctions and the belief of the religion through Gabriel but a scal was put about thiteten centuries ago upon the revelation of Nobuwwat (Prophethood), will this sed break at that time!

It would be seen that the words Nabi (Prophet) and Rasool (Messenger) have been used interchangeably and not distinctively. At page 761 it is said "fourthly Quara has not made lawful for any Rasou (Messenger) to come after the Khatimun Nabiyytin (last of the Prophets) whether he be a new Rasoul (Messenger) or old because the knowledge, of religion which is imparted to a Rasoul (Messenger) by way of revelation through Gabriel cannot be sent now and it is not understandable that a Rasoul (Messenger) may come but the revelation of Kisasalt (Messengership) be extinct."

At page 614 of Izala-e-Auham referring to verse O 33:40.

"هاكان محمد ابا احد من رجالكم ولكن رسول الله و خاتم النبيع"

(Muhammad is not the father of any one amongst you. but he is Rasool (Messenger) of Allah and Khatimun Nablyyin (seal of the Prophets).

He explained the latter portion of the verse as meaning but he is messenger of Allah and the one who put an end to the Prophets' He then said that "this verse clearly is proof of the fact that after our hably (Prophet) no Rassol (Messenger) will come in this world. It is also clear from it had Jesus son of Mary, Messenger of God cannot come in his world because he is a Rassol and this is essential for Rassol (Messenger) that the eligibus knowledge may have Rassol (Messenger) that the eligibus knowledge may have the revealed by the through Gabriel. "But he added that the revealed Rallat, however, is not determined till the day of Hudernent."

It would be seen that from the words Khatamun Abbiysin in which the word Nabl (Prophet has been used, he has drawn the conclusion that there shall be no Rasoul Messengeri III in the sky of judgment [page 714]. Earlier his position in Barsheen-i-Ahmadiyya was that the prophetic everlation was al an end with the Islay Prophet 87 but now he again made an aperture in the finality of Prophetic everlation) is not determined.

In a handbill dated 2nd October, 1891 reproduced in Tableegh-i-Risalat (Vol. 2, page 20) he said "I believe in all those things which are included in the Islamic faith and I believe what is believed by Ahl-e-Sunnat-wal-Iamast اهل مست واطساطت). I believe in all those matters which are definitely proved from the Holy Quran and the Hadith and consider a claimant to Nubuwas and Risital (Prophethood and Messengership) after the Holy Prophet المحققة who was "Khatam-ul-Mursaleen" الرسوني المحققة ا

Radam-ui-Mursaleen (موسون المواقعة to be an imposter, false claimant and infidel (مان المواقعة my faith that the Wahi (revelation) of Prophethood which started with Adam terminated on Prophet Muhammad \mathcal{U} . This last one again is a position different from what is discussed above.

In an other handbill published on the 23rd October, 1 8991 and distributed in a meeting held in Jamia Mosque Delhi and reproduced at page 44 of Tableegh-i-Risalat. Vol. 2. he stated

كالمتكر بواس كوب وين اوروائره اسلام عن فارج مجمتا بول-"

(مجموعه شتبارات ئ1 ص255 ازمرزا قاویانی) به Hannal those matters my

including a Nabi as well as a Rasool.

"In all these matters my religion is the same as that of Ahle-Sunnat-wal-Jamanat. In owa acknowledge about the following matters in this House of Allah (we see) that I believe in the finality of the Prophethood of the last of the Prophets (Mahammad : ##) and I consider one who denies the finality of the Prophethood to be irreligious (we will be allowed to be allow

outside the pale of Islam."

In the first handbill dated the 2nd October, 1891, it was stated that Mirza Sahib treated a claimant of either Prophethood to be an im poster or a false Prophet and heretic. In the second handbill he used the word the finality of the Nubuwwat but obviously in the sense as

In his book Anjum-e-Atham (انجام آقم) (printed 1897) (end of Atham page 24 Margin) Mirza Sahib said:

" كما ايبا بد بخت مفتري جوخود رسالت ونبوت كا دعوي كرتا ہے . قر آن شريف يرايمان ارك سكنا يهاوركما إيداده فع جرقر آن شريف برايمان ركمنا يهادر آيت ولكن دصول الله و خاليه النهبيين كوفداكا كام يقين ركمتاب ووكيسكاب كريس بحي آخضرت ميكاتف كالعدرسول اور ني ول صاحب الصاف طلب كو ياوركها جا يه كداس عاجز في محى اوركني وقت على طور يرنبوت بإرسالت كادعوى فبين كيااور فيرهيتي طور بركسي لفظ كواستعال كرتااورلفت محمعام معتول كحاظ ے اس کو بول حال بیں اد نامتلوم کفرٹین۔ بھر بی اس کو بھی پیندٹین کرتا کہ اس بیں عام مسلمانون كورعوكا لك مانے كا امتال بي ليكن وه مكالمات اور فاطمات جواللہ جل ثاند كي طرف ے جھ کو لیے جن بیں بالنظ نوت اور رسالت کا بکٹریت آیا ہے۔ ان کوشل بھت یا مور ہونے کے طخى تايى رئيستنا . ليكن بار باركبتا بور كدان الهامات يلى جولفظ مرسل يارسول يا مي كامير كي أسيست آیا ہے (افقار رسول اور نبی جی مراد مجاز ہے) وہ اسپانطیقی معنوں پرمستعمل نہیں ہے اور اصل حقیقت جس کی بیس علی روس الاشیاد کوائی دینا موں میں ہے جو مارے نبی منطقہ خاتم الانبیاء بين .. اورآب ك بعدكوني أي تين آئية كاندكوني برانا اورندكوني بيا-"

(انجام آتھم 27 مندرجدروحانی ڈوائن ٹا11 می 27 ازمرزا قاریانی)
(Is there any unfortunate imposter who believes in

the Holy Quran and the verse) "ولكن رسول الله و عاتم النيسن"

(but he is the Messenger of Allah and the seal of the Prophets) and can yet say that I am a Prophet and Messenger (المرابع المرابع after the Holy Prophet

The just people should remember that this humble (person) never really claimed prophethood (Nubuwwat) or apostleship (Risalat). The use of a word in an unrealistic manner or in its dictionary meaning, in day to day communication does not amount to disbelief but I do not like that there may be a possibility of the Muslims being deceived. The communications which I have neceived from deceived. The communications which I have neceived from Relating the Communication of Resond (Messenger) or Nabla (Prophet) and about me it is not used in its real sense. The fact of which I give evidence in the open is that our Prophet 26th is the last of the Prophets and there while he not Prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he no Prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he no Prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he no Prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he no Prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he no Prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he no Prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he not prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he not prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he not prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he not prophet after the last of the Prophets and there while he not prophet after the prophet after th

"ومن قبال بحد رسولته وسيدنا اني نبي ورسول على وجه الحقيقته و الافسراء وترك القرآن واحكام الشريعه الفرّا فهو كافر كذاب. ترشيما راترب

بدوین ہے اور خالبال العض این کوئی نیا کل بنائے اللہ عالیہ کا اور عمادات شی کوئی کل طرز بدا کرے گا اور اد کتام شین کیونکیو و تبدل کردے گا۔ کی بلائے وہ مسلمہ کرفر اب کا بھائی ہے اور اس سے کافر

ا د کام میں چھ تھیر وتبدل کرد مونے میں کھوٹک تیں۔''

(انجام عمم 27.28 مندرجه روحاني فزائن ن 11 ص 27. 188 زمرزا قادياني)

(Whoever said after our apostle and leader that I am prophet or apostle whether he says it in the real sense or by way of inventing lies and abandons the Quran and the injunctions of the Holy Sharia, he is an inified and imposter. Our religion, therefore, is that whoever claims repetitude in the real sense, and keeping himself aport from the blessings of the Holy Prophet "Gan as separating himself from that both yourse wants to become the prophet sense of the prophet sense of the prophet person will introduce a new Kalma (about the unity of Allah and his own Prophethody and new manners of man will certainly be a brother of Musailma imposter and there is no doubt of his being an unbeliever). In 'Hammamatul Bushra' (حات البنسري) page 96

In 'Hammamatul Bushra' (حاصه البشمسرى) page 9 (published 1894) he said

pale of Islam by claiming Prophethood and mix with the heretics) that his claim was not of Prophethood but only of Wilayat and Mujaddidjyyat (معلقية, He also gave an analogy between his Ilham and that of Abdul Oadir Iilani (a renowned saint of Islam).

He emphasised in 'Hammamatul Bushra' (جامه البشرى), page 34

بعدى بيان واضع للطالين ؟ ولو جوزنا ظهور نبي بعد نينسا صلى الله عليه وسلم لجوزنا الفتاح باب وحي النيسسوة بعسد

بني بعد رسون طبقي الله عليه وسلم وقد الطبع الوحي بعد... وفاته و ختم الله به النبين؟" (دوفار الأن ١٥٥٥/١٥٥٥) (Do you not know that Allah declared our Prophet الألاً

elucidated this point. If we open the door of prophetic Wahi (revelation) after its closure, it will not be correct, and it is no secret for the Muslims, how can a Prophet come after our Prophet & particularly when after his expiry revelation (e.g., as well as Prophethod stand terminated).

The later portion deals with the point whether Jesus will come again and will be the last of the Prophets. He said "our belief is that the Prophet-hood obtained finality by the Advent of our Prophet (Muhammad 衛元).

From this last principle it would be clear that according to Mirza Sahib the prediction of descent of Jesus does not mean the return of Prophet Jesus since it would make him the last of the Prophets.

This is also stated in 'Avvam-e-Sulah' (""

This is also stated in 'Avvam-e-Sulah' (""

published 1999, (1992 - 145). He sald :

" آز آن فریشدهی کارتین برکیسک در با کارتین کارتین کارتین کارتین کارتین کارتین کارتین کرد کنده با کارتین کار

(There is no mention in the Quran about the 2nd coming of Jesus son of Mary. Dictum of finallty of Prophethood is mentioned there very clearly. It will be a mischief to distinguish between an old and a new Prophet. There is no such distinction either in Hadis or Quran. On the other hand the Hadis a 4% is Gime (there is no Prophet after me) negates it in general terms (which admits of no exception). How daring an insolence is it that he clear verse of the Holy Quran be abandoned voluntarily under the Holy Quran be abandoned voluntarily under the Prophet after the last of the Pophets to befleve it as with the consequence of reviving the prophetic relation after the same was determined because whosever is conferred prophethood, his revelation must be a prophetic revelation.

قائل بين اور تخطی ميد و بين اور داند او المده المحصور و المده المده المده المده المده المده المده المده المده ا قائل بين اور المجارات المياس مي المده المده

(مجموعاشتها دات رچ2م ب297 زمرزا قاد مانی)

(We condemn the claim of prophethood). We believe in the unity of Allah. and that three is no God except Allah and that Muhammad Mr is his Prophet. We also believe in the finality of his prophethood. We do not believe in the prophetic revealed no (""") "") but we believe in the sainty revelation (""") "") "") which is achieved by the saints under the shadow of the Holo

Prophet Muhammad $\stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{\bowtie}$ and by obedience to him). The word seal (P^{ω}) which was given a different meaning after his claim to prophethood was used in Izala-i-Auham, page 577 in the same sense as stated above. Mirza-Sahib nearitive the prophetic revelation after the Holy Prophet $\stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{\bowtie}$

In 'Jang-e-Muqaddas' الصافحات ; published 1893) page 67, Mirza Sahib refuted the allegation that he was claiming to be a Prophet and explained Musiiza (miracle). He said '' بحرائز سنگا کوئی دادگی گئیں ہے آپ کی للطن ہے یا آپ کی خیال سے کہد ہے ہیں۔ کیا پیشروری ہے کہ جرااب کا دادی کرتا ہے، وہ فی گئی اور جائے۔ شی آق کھی کا داد کال طور پر اللہ ورسل کیا تھی ہیں۔ اوران شاق فرن کا نام جخر ورکھنا گئیں جاہتا بلکہ دارے غرب سے را سے ان

شانوں کا نام کرانات ہے جواللہ درمول کی بیروی ہے دیے جائے ہیں۔" (رومانی جزائن ج6 می 156 ازمرز ا تاورانی)

(I have no claim to prephethood. This is your missis,
(I have no claim to prephethood. This is your missis,
that wheever says claim to Ilham (inspiration) may
also becomes Prophet! I an completely a Muhammadl
and a follower of Aljah and his Prophet 2F. 1d, on of
want to call these shays as Musizae (pwo, (miracies).
According to our religion the name of these signs is
Karmand; cu— f signer pratural acts performed by a
saint) which are conferred upon me by my following
the Prophet & of Aljah.

Sometime before his claim to Prophethood Mirza Sahib started using about himself the word Nabi (Prophet) more frequently, He was quick to explain this also in his own way in order to resolve the excitement, hostility and un-easiness of the Muslims. He said in Siraj-e-Munit eliminary page 3 that —

كونى كافظ يام ال كافظ يادكرك."

a a letter published in 'Lecture--Quadian (المُوهِمُ اللهُ اللهُ

These words should not be used in the ordinary daily talk of the members of his organization).

It has been already stated that Mirza Sahib said in Tauzih-ul-Maram that the door of partial Prophethood and

of revelation was not closed and that Mohaddas (one who communicates with and is addressed by God) is partial Prophet.

In Izala-e-Auham (page 138) he called those persons unbelievers who considered it possible that any revolation amending or abrogating an Injunction of the Ouran may be received after the Holy Prophet & Thus leaving the door of non Sharia prophethood open. But in the same book at pages 534 he held the revelation of Nubuwwat impossible and at page 761 he held the door of revelation of Risalat (Messengership) to be closed. This only proves that if Mirza Sahib went a step forward to say something contrary to the faith of the Muslims he took on sensing opposition two steps back to convince them that his faith was the same as their faith. Something contrary was said to serve as a stepping stone for improving and developing his claims in future and then the Muslims faith was reiterated repeatedly as a face saving device. First Mohadassivat was nearer prophet-hood, then it became partial prophethood and then again the seal of prophet-hood was held to be unbreakable. The door of prophethood was earlier closed. The same theme is then gradually developed till his followers are ready for the next claim.

The evolution of the theory and scope of Mohadasayiar may now be examined in the words of Mirza Sahib. In an agreement dated 3rd February, 1982 between Molvi Abdul Hakim and Mirza Sahib which is published in Tableegh-e-Risalat, Vol. 2, page 95, Mirza Sahib wrote dadressing all the Muslim that it was recorded in his pamphlets Fath-ul-blaim (26-27 pc) Tauzih-ul-Maram (26-27 pc) Tauzih-ul-Maram (26-27 pc) (

التمالة المتابك المعالمة المتابك المعالمة المتابك الم

be made clear that all these words have not been used in their true sense; they have been used in their simple dictionary meaning, otherwise by God I do not have a claim In 'Hammamatul Bushra (والعالم المنسوي) page 96, while refuting the claim of prophethood he asserted :

" میں نے لوگوں سے سوائے اس کے جوش نے اپنی کما بول شریکھا ہے اور کی تھیں کیا

At page 90 of Hemmannstal Bushra he said "it is correct that I have said that part of Nubawawat will be found in Tahdesse (set of being a Mohaddas) but this is not a part in fact, olde, but its so it runtly (phips) and if the door of prophethood had not been dosed he would have been a Prophet in fact (phill—ph. His, heferore, permissible to call him Al Nubiyyal Mohaddas or the Mohaddas Prophet.

Minist (full prophethood.

The claim of Messiah similarly underwent an evolutionary process.

Mirza Sahib wrote in Baraheen-i-Ahmadiyya that he was a model of Messiah's earlier life and the nature of the two resembled one another. Since Mirza Sahib completely resembled Messiah, God had included him also in the prophecy about Messiah it was said that Messiah will come to the world and spread Islam every where. This would be a physical appearance but Mirza Sahibi was the object of the prophecy in the spiritual sense (page 499). According to this theory Jesus son of Mary must appear but Mirza Sahib would spiritually be his second or doubte which be called Massed (ab), (See Fathe-slam page 11).

In Fath-c-Islam (page 11) it was stated that Mirza Salmid descended in the age which resembled the age of advent of Jenus. He declared that Allah sent the Mascel of Messiah to impart the knowledge of faith to the people. Then he said a different thing in unambiguous terms, that "He is the Messiah who had to come. If you like accept him (page 15).

This claim shook the Muslims badly. There was considerable opposition and he was declared an unbeliever (see Aasmani Faisala). Mirza Sahib, as was his worn immediately retraced his steps and confined his claim to being a Massel, (Tauzih-ul-Maram, pages 16 to 21).

It said that he had no claim to be Jesus son of Mayor did he believe in the transmigation of soul. He only claimed to be Mascel (second) of Messish. Just as Mohiddashyst reembles Vubuwust. In the same manner his spiritual state resembled the spiritual condition of messish. (Tablesphe-Risalat, Vol. 2-page 17), Contrary to his claim that he is the Messish who had to come he said 1,000 other Messish may come and may be one of them may decrend in Danssus (Italei-Authum, page 236, 1,000 other Messish may come and may be one of them may decrend in Danssus (Italei-Authum, page 248, Rohani Khazain Vol., 3, Page 251 by Mirza Chulam Ahmad Qadyani) or ten thousand Mascel (second) may come. But the added that he was the Mascel of this age and it was fulle to wait for the other (bid.) ydge 1991. Later he tore the mask and said that no Media will come after me till the day of John (Camphiet dated 5th April 1905. Tableegh-i-Risalat Vol. 10, 100 page 780. This is the same strategy which frequents the books of Mirza Sahik. He says several contradictory things at one time so as to take shelter behind what suits him at a particular time. Thus he wrote an inspiration it fail—Autham [page 634 (c. v. in the word)] (We made you Messiah son of Mary) and referred to this inspiration in Arbaeen in support of his assertion that he was the Fromised Messiah fore No. 3, page 441.

In "Nishan-e-Asmani" (page 35) which was published in 1922. Mirza Sahib published the so called evidence of one of his followers that he was informed by some Gulab Shah that he (Mirza Sahib) was Masechi-Maud whose advent was promised and whose name was written in the books as Er'sa (Jesus) and (at page 36) name of Elsa who had to come was Ghulam Ahmat.

Mirza Sahlb had said this as far back as 1884 in Baraheen-i-Ahmadiyya that the spirit of Jesus was blown in him like Mary and he was declared pregnant for about 10 months then was made Jesus from Mary and became son of Mary. It is possible that at that time he might have thought it premature to tell his theory about the death of Jesus or possibly the theory had not been developed by that time. However, his intention to be Jesus, the Promised Messiah is very clear and it was articulated as a fact later for example in Arbaeen, Aik Ghalati Ka Izala and Kashti-e-Nuh, In Arbaeen (published 1900) Mirza Sahib wrote (No. 1, page 4) that he had been informed by God that he was the Promised Messiah and Mehdi on his behalf. This point has been repeated at various places in the book. In Aik Ghalati Ka Izala. Page 3, he said categorically that he was the Promised Messiah. It is not understandable how could be he one of ten thousand Maseel or one of the same number of Messiahs. The point about Maseel was taken only to appease public opinion. At page 47 of Kashti-e-Nuh he wrote that he did not realise the significance of this ineniration (about Jesus and Mary) but then the time came and the secrets were disclosed to him and then he found that there was nothing new in this claim of being the

Promised Messiah. This was the same claim which was written several times clearly in Barabeen-i-Ahmadiyya.

It is further stated that God said about him that his would make him a sign and in the revealed writings he names of Mary and Jesus were used for him. It was said about him that God shall make him a sign, It was also sid that he was the same Jesus son of Mary who had to comfleis the truth and he is the Promised on or high days of 80.

Mirra. Sahib laid claim to Prophethood after some further build up of his following in the year 1901. As stated above he had already been preparing the Muslim public for his claim of Prophethood since the publication of Barkbern-Ahmadhya, Voi. 3 and 4. The Muslim of Barkbern Ahmadhya (Charley Charley Charl

Before the actual claim, as already seen Mirza Subited to refer to the alleged revisitions about Prophethood but tried to mask those references by the assertion that the word Rasoud (Messenger) or Nabl (Propheth) for him had of the control of the

In support of this theory of destruction of liar he relied upon Q 40:28 (No. 3, page 5)

"و ان بك كاذماً فعله كذبه"

(If he is lying, then his lie is upon him). Mirza Sahib translated first portion of the verse as meaning

اگر یہ ٹی جمونا ہے آوائے جموٹ سے ہلاک ہوجائے گا۔

ا کریے کی جمونا ہے آوا ہے جموث سے بلاک ہوجائے گا۔

(If this Prophet is false he would perish by his falsehood).

This translation is not correct. On the other hand the established principle is that such a person is given a long rope and this principle was referred to by Molvi Sansullah Anritsari when Mirza Sahib predicted the death of whoever was false or wrong among them, ruling that such a person must perish.

At page 7 of Arbaeen No. 4, Mirza Sahib advanced a cor further and claimed to be a Propote vitil Sharia. This

the did by introducing some changes in the offsinition of Prophet with Sharia. The castiler definition of such a Prophet was that he brings new Sharia or seneds the Prophet was that he brings new Sharia or seneds the described some in Injunctions (مرسل معلق المعلق ال

"قل للمومنين يغضوا من ابصارهم و يحفظوا فروجهم ' ذالسسك ازكي هم"

O. 24:30

Tell the believing men to lower their gaze and be modest. That is purer for them. Lo! Allah is Aware of what they do. consists of Injunctions as well as prohibitions and this was received by me twenty-three years ago. In my revelations, there are Injunctions and prohibitions till to-day. Now if you say that Sharis means only that Sharis in which there are new Injunctions then this is absolutely incorrect. This was a new thooy and a new definition of Sharia introduced to butteress his claim to Prophethood with Sharia.

In Al-Malfauzat, Vol. 10 (pretraining to the period November 1907 to 6th July, 1908, 4 page 267) he said in raply to a question that whatever communication from God was a new Sharia or that is 1900 at 1900

Here again distinction was made between Nubuwwat (Prophethood) with Sharla and one without Sharla. This assertion is again contradictory to the definition stated in Arbaeen (No. 4 page 7).

In the pamphlet 'Alk Ghalati Ka Izala' he said that wherever he had denied about Nubuwvait (Prophethood) or Risalat (apoutleship), it was in the sense that he had not brought with him a permanent Sharis nor he was a permanent Nabi (Prophet). This assertion is, however, contradicted by the abrogation of Jilad about which there are specific Injunctions in the Holy Pourset 松野.

In Daft-ut-Bala published in 1901, Mirza Sahib wrote that true God is He who sent His Rasool (Messenger) in Quadian (page 11). In 'Haqiqat-ut-Wahi' page 391, he wrote that he was exclusively chosen from the ummah to receive the divine revelation and secret knowledge in abundance and this blessing was not conferred upon different degrees of saints, Aulia (1439),

Abdal $(\mathbb{J}^{(q)})$ and $\mathrm{Autar}_{(\mathbb{J}^{(q)})}$ before him. For this reason he had been specified for being named as Nabi (Prophet), All other people were not outlitted to this name because in them was not found primary conditions of their being recipients of the revelation and the secret knowledge in abundance.

The order of Jihad was abrogated in 1900, It is stated in Arbaeen (No. 4), page 15, that "the Promised Messiah is the manifestation of the Holy Prophet W in amiability. for this reason it was said (بهم الحرب) (he will eliminate war or will not go to war), in Maimua-e-Ishteharaat (Vol. 3 from 1898 'to 1908), page 19, Mirza Sahib wrote that "as my followers increase those who believe in the principle of lihad shall go on decreasing because to accept me as Messiah and Mehdi amounts to denving the principle of Jihad". This amounted to the abolition of Jihad. In Jihad and Government-e-Angrezi', page 14, he wrote "look! I have come to you with an-Injunction which is to the effect that from now onwards there is an end to the lihad by sword. The only lihad which remains is that of purification of oneself" (also see Khutba-e-Ilhamia, page 29; Tuhfa-e-Gularwia (supplement), page 41; Tajalliat-e-llahia, page 4; Tarvagul Oulub, page 332),

Mirza Sahlb's definition of a Nabi (Prophet) has already been quoted from Arbaeen (No. 4), page 7. That book was written in 1900. It also includes the orders about the prohibition of Jihad as already stated. It would clearly follow that the right to abrogate lihad which is based on Quranic Injunctions was exercised by Mirza Sahib as an alleged Nabi (Prophet). In this way he undertook the task of completely abrogating the alleged Sharia and achieving called Nubuwwat-e-Tammah what Prophethood). This point about perfect Prophethood was discussed by Mirza Bashir Ahmad in Kalimat-ul-Fasal, pages 112-113. He discussed the three categories of Prophethoud : (1) the real Prophethood in which the Prophet brought Sharia; (2) the Prophethood in which no Sharla was brought by the Prophet: and (3) the shadowy (2)11) Nubuwwat which according to the Quadiani view is

achieved by strict obedience to the Holy Prophet (F. Referring to the objection that the Zilli Prophethood is an inferior type of Prophethood, Mirza Bashir Ahmad called it . a self deception which had no reality because it was an essential ingredient of Zilli Prophethood that a man should sink himself to such an extent in the obedience of the Hoiv Prophet to that he may reach the stage "I have become you and you have become I". In such circumstances he will find descending in himself in the form of a reflected image, all the perfections of the Holy Prophet A, and the two will come so near to each other that cover sheet of the Prophethood of the Holy Prophet (W) will be spread on him, he may then be called a Zilli Prophet. So when this is the demand or requirement of the Zil (shadow or reflected image) that he should be a complete picture of the original and there is consensus of all the Prophets on this point that fool who considers the Zilli Prophethood of the Promised Messiah as inferior and imperfact should come to his senses and be worried about his Islam because he attacks the glory of that Prophethood which is the best of all Prophethood of the Promised Messiah and why some people think it to be imperfect because as I see he was a people trains, it to be imperfect because as I see he was a Itilii Prophet on account of re-appearance (Buruz) of the Holy Prophet and the status and position of such Prophethood is very high. It is clear that in old ages it was not required of the Prophets to have all those perfections which were the peculiarity of the Holy Prophet had. On the other hand each Prophet received the share of perfection according to his talent and worth, some got much and some little. but the Promised Messiah was conferred prophethood only when he had attained all the perfections of the Holy Prophet ."

It has been noticed that one of the grounds for denying the second advent of Jesus son of Mary was that he was a Prophet while prophethood had come to an end thirteen hundred years age. Mirza Sahib could not let this principle be free of equivocation. In Izala-e-Auham (pages 409-410) he said that it was true that the coming Messiah had been described as Prophet within the Ummah of the Holy Prophet but this prophethood would be imperfect prophethood. This was later developed by Mirza Sahib Into perfect prophethood. Taskreli prophethood and Prophethood and Prophethood and Prophethood shall be no uncertain terms said that the door

of coming of Gabriel in connection with revelation was closed (Kala-r-Auham, page 761). But this did not thwart his design, or programme. He frustrated the need of Gabriel by Laining to be in direct communition and communication with Cod and to be His addressee, But even this was not a sastisfactory arrangement and did not bring him to the level of perfect Prophets. He therefore claimed that Gabriel came to him. In Hagical tul Wahi (page 103) Mirzz Sabhis said:

(بيمانى توشى 22 كى 106 ازم زاكامانى)

The English translation of its urdu rendering by Mirza

"And they will say from where did you acquire this position. Say that God has so many wonders. Acel came to me and he selected me and he moved his finger and pointed out the Promise of God has arrived. Blessed is he who receives it and looks at it. Various diseases will be spread and many calamitties will cause loss of life."

Acel was explained by Mirza Sahib in the margin as meaning Gabriel.

The coming of Gabriel is a sign of the perfection in prophet hood and this makes Mirza Sahib, a perfect prophet.

These paragraphs clearly established that Mirza Sahib was not considered as an imperfect Prophet, on the other hand he was considered a perfect Prophet like the Holy Prophet 微广. This is also proved by the fact that Mirza Sahib was considered to be higher in status than all other Prophets.

The equality or even superiority of Mirza Sahib can traced to what he said about himself in Baraheeni-Ahmadiyya, Vol. 4. He referred to different alleged revelations in which the names of Abraham, David, Joseph. Jesus, etc. had ome and after reproducing each of them he wrote that he was meant wherever the reference was to these Prophets (see pages 585, 567).

In Malfestate-Ahmaliya, Vol. 4, page 122, it is said that Mirza Sabia said in respect of the perfection of Prophets. "different categories of perfection were found in other Prophets, but our Prophets." excelled all of them in this respect. The Holy Prophet ..." to some or conferred all those perfections in a Zilli manner (manner of reflection) open us (it maynesses that all those perfections are proposed to the proposed to the

At an other place he said "previously all the Prophets were shadows of the main qualities of the Holy Prophet for now we are the Zil (reflection) of all the qualities of the Holy Prophet for the Holy Prophet for first for fir

officers is no difference between 2ll (reflection) and the object of the other. This is also established from the claim of Mirza Sahibi that he was the 2ll of the Holly Prophet 6ll in all his perfections while each of the other Prophets was the recipient of lesser number of perfections. It is clear that exceeding. Militars enumber of perfections. It is clear that exceeding in the control of th

In Baraheen-i-Ahmadiyya there are a number of revelations in the form of verses of the Holy Quran which

were revealed in respect of the Holy Prophet ﴿ Air , Mirza Sahib claimed that all these verses had been revealed in his respect also and he was the object of those verses. An endent example of it is verse 48: 28 وهواللك ارسل رسوله باطندي ودين 28: 48: 18

Some other examples are Q 8:17; Q 68:2 Q 3:31; Q 26:52 etc. He had, therefore, laid the foundation of his being equal to the Holy Prophet in Baraheen-Ahmadiyya.

He claimed to have received revelations numbering three hundred thousands out of which fifty thousands were about receipt of money from different sources. At various other places Mirza Sahib tried to demonstrate that the signs received by him were much in excess than the signs given to other Prophets like Noah, Joseph and Jesus.

In Kalima-tul-Fasal (Review of religions No. 3, Vol. 1, page 147) Mirza Bahir Anda said that it is not possible that one who denies the Holy Prophet ²⁵⁷ may be an un-believer but a person denying the Promised Messiah may not be an infidel. If the denial of the first Advent be dehalf of the case ond Advent in which according to the Promised Messiah his spirituality was the state of the Advent be denial of the second Advent in which according to the Promised Messiah his spirituality was sent to be a second Advent in which according to the Promised Messiah his spirituality was sent to be a sent to

The second Advent is the Prophethood of Miras Sahib. While comparing the spirituality of the Holy Prophet & and that of Miras Sahib it is said that its stronger, more perfect, and more complete which is a measure of his superiority over the Holy Prophet & Too to measure of his superiority over the Holy Prophet & Too to the Sahib has perend during the life time of Miras Sahib hore Quai Akmal a Foet who was the follower of Miras Sahib word panegyrcal poetry for the follower of Miras Sahib word panegyrcal poetry for Quaidan, dated the 28th of Quaidan dated dated

المرازآ عين المراكب إلى المراكب المراكب المراق المان المراكب ا

(Muhammad has descended again amongst us and excells more in his eminence and glory) (see paghame-Suleh, Lahore No. 47, Vol. 32, dated the 30th November, 1944; Daily Badar Qadian, 17 July, 1922).

The reference to the second Advent of Muhammad in this couplet means that Muhammad has re-appeared in the form of Mizza Sahib and his pomp and glory exceeds the eminence of the Holy Propher of 18 (Nuthacall Manual)

The next step is that of claiming finality of Prophethood for himself this will be evident from the following:

"The real worth of the finality of Prophethood of Muhammad (\$\beta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{\text{in}}\sqrt{\text{omnot be appreciated}}\) cannot be appreciated by any one except one who like the last of the Prophets (\$\scrt{in}\sqrt{\text{in}}\sqrt{\text{in}}\sqrt{\text{omnot}}\sqrt{\text{omnot}}\) because the appreciation of reality in any thing depends upon one to whom it belongs. This is a proved fact that finality belongs either to the Holy Pophet (\$\beta^{\text{in}}\sqrt{\text{omnot}}\sqrt{\text{omnot}}\text{omnot}\sqrt{\text{omnot}}\sqrt{\text{omnot}}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\text{omnot}\

and oxigost, 1917. (Quadram instance, page 167):

In short I am the only person in this Umanh who on account of abundance of revelations and knowledge of indiden matters has been specified (for Propheticoid). None of the Saints whether Quade or Abdal (mendicant of the indiance) of the Saints whether Quade or Abdal (mendicant of the high share of differing page, only I have been particularised to bear the name of 'Prophet,' others are not entitled to this mane because of the pre-condition of copious revelations and abundant knowledge of hidden matters which none of the mill!! And it was necessary that it should have so happened. This was the only way for fulliment of the prophety of the Holy Prophet Hill 187 and have been abad shared in such principles of the prophety of the Holy are possible to the prophety of the Holy of the prophety of the Holy of the prophety of the Holy Cophet and the prophety of the Holy Cophet are proposed to the prophety of the Holy Cophet are given the prophety of the Holy careful for the prophety of the Holy prophet a creak Fortist reason

the divine had prevented those righteous and virtuous persons from being the absolute recipient of this graciousness so that as is mentioned in the authenticated traditions, there would be only one such person

(ايافن ايك ي الكاري (Haqiqat-ul-Wahi, page 391).

This passage reflects the view of Mirza Sahib about his being the only Prophet after Muhammad (2) who being the manifestation of Muhammad (3) is entitled to that name. It would, therefore, follow that he and not the Holy Prophet (3) is the last of the Prophet. This would be more evident from the following citations:

"I have stated many a times that by virtue of the verse Q 62: 3 (منافرة المساوة) (along with others of them who have not yet joined them), I am the same Khatam-ul-Ambiya (last of the Prophets) by way of buruz (manifestation) "(Aik Ghalati Ka Izala, page 5).

"I am the final means of access out of the passage (leading) to God. I am the last light out of His lights" (Kashti-e-Nuh, page 56), ولكن رسول الله وعاتم الليمن) (But he is the messenger of Allah and the last of the Prophets). There is a secret prediction in this verse that Prophet-hood has been sealed till the day of judgment except the Buruzy person which is the personality of the Holy Prophet & himself: no one is capable of receiving openly from God knowledge of the hidden things (العرد فيية) like the Prophets, Since I am that manifestation of Muhammad (Buruz-e-Muhammadi (سروز محسدي) the Prophethood in the Buruzy way (by way of incarnation) was conferred upon me. Now the whole world is powerless before this Prophethood because there is a seal on it. One incarnation of Muhammad & with all the perfections of Muhammad W was destined to appear ultimately and he has now appeared". (Aik Ghalati Ka Izala).

"Let it be known that finality was given from eternity to Muhammad W. It was then conferred upon one to whom his spirit imparted knowledge and made his shadow" (Mal Farqu fi Adama wal Maseeh-il-Mauood, Zameema Khutba-i-ilhamia page B (4).

Il was destined by God for the ullimate period that is will be a period of return (exp.) to that this L'umban hay not in any mannes, be inferrier to other Unmath. So, other centring me He made me the likenses of all past Prophets and gave me their names. I was thus named in Brankenses and Admaddys as a Adam, Abraham, Mores, Noah, David, Soloman, Joseph, John the Baptist, Jesus etc. as if in this manner all the old Prophets were reborn in this Unmath till anner all the old Prophets were reborn in the Unmath till anner all the old Prophets were reborn in the Unmath till anner all the old Prophets were reborn in this Unmath till anner all the old Prophets were reborn in this Unmath and the Christian of the Christi

These writings were explained by the successors of Mirz. Sahib, Mirzs Bahir Ahmad said in Kolima-tul-Fasal (page 116) that 'the appearance of a number of Prophetis drew him (the holy Prophet) means that the status of the persons can be Mohammad the messenger of Allah because whoever is a holler of shadowy prophetioned will be known as Muhammad messenger of Allah on account of all statisment of the prefections of the Holy Prophet For this statisment of the prefections of the Holy Prophet For this

This clinches the matter, all the theories for opening the door of Prophethood were only for the sake of Mirza Sahib alone. The argument which was good against opening the entrance of prophethood was ultimately adopted but after merely and exception in favour of Mirza Sahib.

"In Ejazul Massiah it is clarified that there will be two advents of the Holy Prophet. The first advent was the manifestation of the name of Muhammad while the second advent (advent of Mirza Sahib as buruz) is for the manifestation of the name, Ahmad" (Kalima-tul-Pasal page 140). A third advent was thus negatived. In Tashheez ul Azhan of Qadian (No. 8 Vol. 12 page 11 dated August 1977), it was stated that only one Prophet was named after the floly Prophet and the advent of many Prophets amounts to making holes in God's government and Prudence (Qaudiani Mazhab page 196).

It was further stated in the same journal of March 1914.

(No. 3, Vol. 9 pages 30—32).

page 181).

"It is therefore proved that no more than one Prophet can come from the Unmain of the Holy Prophet. For this reason he gave the news of the advent of one Prophet of God only from his Unmain. He is the Promised Messiah. Except for him no one was named the Prophet or messenger of God" nor information was given of the advent of any other Prophet. On the other hand the advent of others was negatived by a spring space "see" [A) (there will be no Prophet after me) and by describing openly that no Prophet or messenger can one after me." (Qualitali Mazhab, page 137).

Now compare these assertions of Mirza Sahib and his successors with some contradictory dicta.

In Aik Ghalati Ka Izala (page 7) Mirza Sahib said that though the seal of prophethood shall not be broken but it is possible that the Holy Prophet may come in this world in the buruay manner (as incernate) not only once but at housand times and may manifest his prophethood and perfections at incarnate.

In lecture Sialkot page 22 Mirza Sahib said that it is necessary that to take you to the stage of love and certainty the Prophets of God may continue comine."

Mian Bashir ud Din Mahmud said that thousands of Prophets could come (Anwar-e-Khalafat page 62, c.f. Oaudiani Mazhab page 180).

Qaudianl Mazhab page 180).

They will continue coming till the day of judgment (Alfazal Quadian dated 27th February, 1927 No. 68 Vol. 14 Mirza Bashir ud Din Mahmud G. Oaudiani Mazhab.

In Haqiqat ul Nabuwwat page 138 he said something different. He said, "for this reason we believe in one Prophet only in this Ummah. The future is (concealed) behind the curtain of mysteries (Quadiani Mazhab, page 179).

Associating some questions he words that the fountiquestion was weather any other Prophet shall come after Miras Sahib and whether the Ahmadis shall believe in him when he comes. The answer to this question is that "> Prophet can come after Miras Sahib but I cannot say with creating whether such a Prophet will come. It appears from the books of the Promised Mestals that such a Prophet will come. When he comes it will be necessary for the Ahmadis to believe in him (Makabo Mian Bashir and Din Mahmad to believe in him (Makabo Mian Bashir and Din Mahmad on St Vol. 14 C. Osudialm Maxaba beare 179).

A further alteration in the theory of advent of Pophest is Ivalide from his answer to the question whether there was a possibility of the advent of a Prophest after the Miras Sahib as a the Popher of the last age. He said that the expression "Prophet of the last age is a technical phrase which meant that no one could attain prophethood except through him (Miras Sahib) and Almad Parish (Priday address of Mina Bashir and Din Malmood Adminat parish of Alfara No. 20). Vol. 2.

All these different statements of Mirza Sahib or his successor are in line with the policy of Mirza Sahib to say simultaneously in the same book, or pamphlet or successively in successive books or pamphlets different and even contradictory things. However the quotations from the books of Mirza Sahib and from Kalima-Ul-Fasal and Tashheez ul Arhan established that Mirza Sahib virtually disimed to be the last of the Prophets.

Allama Iqbal's discussions of this subject throw more light on these theories. He said (see Thoughts and Reflections of Iqbal by Abdul Waheed pages 266—268). "The founder's own argument, quite worthy of a mediaeval theologian, is that the spirituality of the Holy Prophet of Islam must be regarded as imperfect if it is not creative of another Prophet. He claims his own prophethood to be an evidence of the Prophetrearing power of the spirituality of the Holy Prophet of Islam. But if you further ask him whether the of islam, but it you further ask him whether the spirituality of Muhammad is capable of rearing more Prophets than one, his answer is "No". This virtually amounts to saying: Muhammad is not the last Prophet; I am the last." Far from understanding the cultural value of the Islamic idea of Finality in the history of mankind generally and of Asia especially, he thinks that Finality in the sense that no follower of Muhammad can ever reach the status of prophethood is a mark of imperfection in Muhammad's prophethood. As 1 read the psychology of his mind he, in the interest of his own claim to prophethood, avails himself of what he describes as the creative spirituality of the Holy Prophet of Islam and at the spirituality of the Holy Prophet of Islam and at the same time deprives the Holy Prophet of his Finality by limiting the creative capacity of his spirituality to the reating of only one Prophet, i.e. the founder of the Ahmadlyya movement. In this way does the new prophet quietly steal away the Finality of one whom he claims to be his spiritual procenitor.

He claims to be burue of the Holy Prophet of Islam, institualing thereby that being a burue of his, does not violate the Finallty of the Holy Prophet. In Identifying the two finalities, his own and that of the Holy Prophet, be conveniently loses sight of the temporal meaning of the diea of finallty, his, however, obtious that the word burue in the sense even of complete likeness, cannot help him at ally for the burue becomes Identical with the original. This if we take the argument remains ineffective: If, on the other hand, we take it to mean reinemation of the original of the original control or original control original

It will be noticed that there is no sharia principle allowing the advent of a Prophet after the Holy Prophet. There is No concept in Sharia of buruz, hugul, Zil etc. The traditions regarding the second coming of Messiah and advent of Mehdi can by no stretch of imagination apply to Mirza Sahib. He therefore raised the whole superstructure of his claims on taaweel not only of Ouranic text but of traditions too, Quadian became Damascus, Mastid-e-Aosa is the mosque in Quadian. His main hurdle was to get rid of lesus. It was necessary to remove lesus from the field and this was secured by the theory of his natural death in Kashmir. He was asked to show the miracles shown by lesus and in answer he ridiculed the lesus and his miraculous proofs. The claim of prophethood had to result in anamolies. These effects of his claims have been partly noticed. Some more anamolies may be seen. He prepared a dictum that he was only competent to interpret Quran correctly and to verify the correctness of Hadith.

Let us understand the Muslim view about Jesus and Mirza Sahib's treatment of him.

To believe in all the Prophets and messengers of Allah is a part of the faith of a Muslim.

يرفون* And who believe in that which is revealed unto thee (Muhammad and that which was revealed before

thee, and are certain of the Here after.

02:4

Also see Q.2: : 177 من آمن بالله واليوم والنبين

(Believe in Allah and the messengers)

Q. 3 : 179 ; Q. 7 : 158; Q. 4 : 136 فأمنوا بالله ورسله

(Believe in Allah and His messengers)

Another principle which is established is that Muslims cannot distinguish between one Prophet and another.

O. 2:285

It is not for the Muslims to distinguish between one Prophet and another.

It has been related on the authority of Abu Saeed Khudri that the Holy Prophet said (الأنجير (الين الاسياء) (Do not prefer in excellence one Prophet over the other).

It has been related by Abdullah bin-e-Jaafar that the Holy Prophet said :

It is exported on the authority of Ato Seed Khadrithat a Jew who had received beating from a companion of the Holy Prophet came to him 6% and complained that one of his companions had beaten him. The Holy Prophet asked that excelled Manes over you. The Holy Prophet said "Do not give excellence or superiority to one Prophet over the other...." (Musaca Ahmad Vol. 3, pages 40 and 41).

In Bokhari the stern reaction of the Holy Prophet to the complaint is proved by the words

visible on his face).

The Holy Quran describes the birth of Mary her upbringing, the birth of John the Baptist as a herald of Jesus and the birth of Jesus in some detail. (See. Q. 3: 45 to 49). The vertex relatine to the birth of Jesus are reproduced below.—

O. 19:16

"واذكر في الكتب مريم اذا تتبذت من اهلها مكانا شرقياً"

And make mention of Mary in the Scripture, when she had withdrawn from her people to a chamber looking: East.

Q. 19:17

"فاتحذت من دوقم حجاباً ، فارسلنا اليها روحنا فنمثل لها بشراً

46

And had chosen seclusion from them. Then We sent unto her Our spirit and it assumed for her the likeness of a perfect man.

Q. 19:18

"قالت ابن اعوذ بالرحمن منك ان كنت تقيأ"

She said: Lo! I seek refuge in the Beneficent One from thee if then art God-fearing.

Q. 19:19

"قال اغا الا رسول وبك لا هب لك غلما زكيا". He said : I am only a messenger of the Lord, that I

He said: I am only a messenger of the Lord, that may bestow on thee a faultless son.

O. 19:20

Q. 19:

"قالت ان یکون لی غلم ولم یسسنی بشر ولم الله بعیا" She said : How can I have a son when no mortal hath touched me, neither have I been unchaste?

O. 19 : 21

"قال كذالك ، قال ربك هو على هين ولنجعله آية للناس ورحمة

منا ، وكان امراً مقضياً "

He said: So (it will be). The Lord said: It is easy for Me. And (it will be) that We may make of him a revelation for mankind and a mercy from Us, and it is a thing ordained. O. 19:22

"فحملته فانتقت به مكانا قصا"

And she conceived him, and she withdrew with him to a far place.

Q. 19:23

وكتت نسيا منسيا"

And the pangs of Childbirth drove her unto the trunk of the palm tree. She said: Oh would that I had died ere this and had become a thing of naught, forgotten!

"فنادها من غيها الاغويل قد جعل ربك غيث سرياً"

Then (one) cried unto her from below her, saying: Grieve not! Thy Lord hath placed a rivulet beneath thee.

O. 19:25

"وهزى اليك بجذع النخلة تسقط عليك رطبا جنيا" And shake the trunk of the palm-tree toward thee.

thou wilt cause ripe dates to fall upon thee.

"فكلى واشربي وقرى عينا فاما ترين من البشر احداً فقـــــولى ابن ندرت للدحم. صوماً فلن اكليم اليوم انسياً"

So cat and drink and be consoled. And if thou meetest any mortal, say: Lo! I have vowed a fast unto the Beneficent, and may not speak this day to any mortal.

D. 19:27

"قالت به قومها تحمله ، قانوا يمريم لقد جنت شيئاً فرياً"

then she brought him to her own folk, carrying him. They said : O Maryl Thou hast come with an amazing thing.

O. 19:28

"يا اخت هارون ما كان ابوك امرأ سوء وما كانت امك بغياً" Oh sister of Aaron! Thy father was not a wicked man nor was thy mother a harlot.

O. 19:29

"فاشا، ت الله ، قاله ا كف تكلم من كان في المهد صباً" Then she pointed to him. They said : How can we talk

to one who is in the cradle, a young boy ? Q. 19:30

"قال اد: عبد الله • آدر الكتب و حمله السأ"

He spoke : Lo! I am the slave of Allah. He hath given me the Scripture and hath appointed me a Prophet, O. 19:31

"وجعلى مبرركاً ابن ما كنت واوصني بالصلُّوة ولركواة ما دمت حباً" And hath made me blessed wheresoever I may be, and hath enjoined upon me prayer and almsgiving so long as I remain alive.

O.19:32

"ه د"ا به الدتي ولم يجعلن جيارًا شقيا" And (hath made me) dutiful toward her who bore me. and hath not made me arrogant, unblest.

O. 19:33

والسلم على يوم ولدت ويوم اموت ويوم ابعث حباً" Peace on me the day I was born, and the day I die, and the day I shall be raised alive!

O. 19:34

يَّمُ "ذلك عيسم. ابن مريم ـــ قول الحق الذي فيه يمترون"

Such was Jesus, son of Mary: (this is) a statement of the truth concerning which they doubt.

O. 3:45

يسيى ابن مرم و جبيها سى النيا والآخرة ومن القرين" (And remember) when the angels said: O Mary Łlo! Allah giveth the glad tidings of a word from Him, whose name is the Messish, Jesus, son of Mary, illustrious in the world and the hereafter, and one of those brought hear (unto Allah)

Q.3:46

He will speak unto mankind in his cradle and in his manhood and he is of the righteous.

Q. 3:47

يُطِنَّ مَايِشَاءِ ، الذَافِضَى امراً فَاغَا يَقُولُ لَهُ كَنْ فَيَكُونَ "
She said : My Lord! How can I have a child when no mortal hath touched me? He said : So (it will be). Allah createth what He will. If He decreeth a thing, He said unto it only : Be ! and it is

O. 3:48

"ويعلمه الكتاب والحكمة والتوراة والانجبل"

And He will teach him the Scripture and wisdom, and the Torah and the Gospel

Q. 3:49

"ورسولا الى بنى اسرائيل ا ان قد جتنكم بآية من ربكم ان الحلق

تلخرون في بيوتكم ـــ ان في ذالك لآية لكم ان كنتم مومنين "

And will make him a messenger unto the children to lervel, (saying): Lol I come unto you with a sign from your Lord. Lo! I fashion for you out of clay the likeness of a brird, and I breathe into it and it is a brird, by Allah's leave. I heal him who was born blind, and the leper, and I raise the dead, by Allah's leave. And the leper, and I raise the dead, by Allah's leave. And the leper can be supported to the like the lik

Verse Q. 3: 49 deals with some miracles of Jesus which were given to him as a sign. However several verses refute the concept of divinity of Jesus e.g. Q. 3:59: Q. 4:171, 172.

Mirza Sahib on the one hand claimed superiority over all the Prophets and messengers of God and on the other hand used derogatory language against Prophets particularly Jesus. He claimed superiority over fesus and said:

"God sent the promised Messiah in this Ummah, who is much superior to Jesus in all his glory. I swear by Him in whose Hand is my life that if Jesus had been in this age he could not have done what I can do and could not show signs which I can show." (Haqeeqst ul Wahi, page 148).

In Q, 3 : 49 are described the miracles of Jesus. He fashioned unt of clay the likenses of a bind and breathed into it and it became a bind. He could heal the born bind, and the leger and raise the dead. These were signs for him. Mirza Sahib who claimed to be the Promitsed Mestals, the likenses (massed "_b) of Jesus was asked to show any such miracle. He-denied the miracles of Jesus and said that exception in the Holy Quana about the miracles was only

The belief in such miracles of Jesus was condemned by the such man so Polytheistic and worse than heresy (Izala-i-Auham page 296). He denied that Jesus could perform miracles and wrote that he filthly abused those who demanded miracles from him called them bastards. From that day onwards the gentlemen avoided him. (Zanima)

Anjami-Atham page 6, margin). He then took a different stand and wrote if was possible that God might have imparted knowledge to Jesus of the mechanism for making 1920; or may be he indulged in measureism which is 1920; or may be he indulged in measureism which he improved by his spirituality (bld), page 323. There was pound in those days from which many signs were manifessed. I is possible that Jesus used the day of that pond — he had have been been also been also been also also also also also Albam page marries of kalled for the more \$2.25.

Mirza Sahib woote that this was now established with certitude that Jesus was an expert in mesmerism. He had acquired his perfection by the permission and the order of God (Itala-i-Aubam, page 309). If Mirza Shaib did not have ow opinion about or hatted for mesmerisum he would have equalied Jesus in the performance of that art (ibid). Regarding the birth of Jesus Mirza Sahib said that it

dld not prove his greatness. Adam was born without any father or mother. Thousands of insects are born by themselves during rainy season. In fact the birth without father proves that he was devoid of some muscles (c/s) (chashma-i-Mascehl page 18). The reference clearly appears to what Mirras Shith remarked about the disqualifications of enumen in connection with Jesus who did not marry (see Maktubal-t-Ahmanlyay, Ok. III. page 28).

Mirza Sahib said that his (Jesus) pedigree was extremely poor. Three of his paternal and maternal grand-mothers were adulteresses.......(Zameema Anjanvi-Atham, page 7, margin).

He accused him of having a talent for using abusive language, of losing temper and even of telling lies, (ibid page 5 margin).

Once Mirza Sahib was advised to use opium. He immediately observed that people will then say that the first Massiah was a drupk and and the second an opium sater.

I have given only a few quotations consisting of vilifying, disdainful and contemptuous remarks of Mirza

Sahib about a greal Prophet of God. I have generally avoided to cite those remarks about which his sexues is that they were in the nature of response in disputations with Christian missionaries who used much more abustive language for the Holy Prophet. This may be considered layered by adaptationist but taken does not allow the use tarved by adaptationist but taken does not allow the messenger since to believe in their prophetic mission is an atticle of faith with a Muslim. There may be many disparaging things about Prophets like Noah and Lot in the Odl Testament but according to the Islamic concept a Prophet is incapable of sintluiness. A leader of his people whose mission is to include twitte in his community

The description of pregnancy of Mary and the birth of Jesus in the Quran is simply ennobling but Mirza Sahib compared it with the birth of countless insects in the rainy season. Mirza Sahib is prepared to concede miraculous properties to the clay in a pond but not miracles to a Prophet of God.

It may be recalled that the Mosque adjacent to the room of Mirza Sahib was named by him as Bait ul Zikr. In Baraheen-e-Ahmadiyya Mirza Sahib had

appropriated for it the qualification of Kaaba or Bail ul Haram Makkah by saying that any one who enters, it is in safety content of the content of the safety of the safety of the Bail ul Haram.

The next step was to alluviate the status of Qadian and

(The land of Quadian is now sacred. It is the land of Haram-e-Kabba on account of its drawing huge crowds).

By itself this couplet might not have meant much but it is extremely relevant on account of other circumstances. In Aina-i-Kamalat-i-Islam (Page 332) Mirza Sahib ruled that the-heavenly reward المراكب) of attending the annual meeting held in Quadian exceeded the reward of supererogatory Haj.

Mirza Sahib prevented Sahibzada Abdul Latif from going to perform Haj. He stayed in Quadian to learn Ahmadiyyat (Quadiani Mazhab page 363).

Mirza Bashiruddin Mahmud Ahmad made the visit to Oaudian as equivalent to Hai (ibid page 362).

Miraz Shibi named his mooque an Masjid oil Aque (see Q. 1721) Tablesph-Rikallat vol. 9, page 37. his experiment minaret was being constructed because there is a tradition of the Holy Prophet that the Massiah will descend the teastern minaret of Damascus. There is another tradition that the descent will be from Masjid ul./aga (in Bait ul Magdas). By what can be called only a travesty of reasoning, Miraz Shib tried to prove that the minaret reterred to show was of said to the control of the control of the control of the masque at Quadian for the fulfilment of the prophecy of the Holy Prophet (bid), page 38).

Mirza Sahib referred to verse Q: 17: 1.

O. 17:1

"سبحان الذي اسرى يعبده ليلاً من المسجد الحرام الي المسسحد

الا قصى الذي بركنا حوله لنويه من ابتنا ؛ انه هو السميع البصير * Glarified be He who carried His servant by night from

Contried be ne who carried his servant by high row the invoilable place of Morship to the Far Distant Place of Worship the neighbourhood whereof We have blessed, that we might show him of Our tokens! Lo! He, only He, is the Hearer, the Seer.

Which is about ascersion (Meraj) of the Holy Prophet. He held by the same method of reasoning that during the night of Meraj the Holy Prophet had made a journey from Kaaba in Makkah to Masild-e-Agas in Oaudian (this) pages 49-10.

The arguments of Capt. Abdul Wajid, petitioner in Shariat Petition No. 2/L of 1984, who is a member of the Lahori Group of the Ahmadis were generally a repetition of the arguments of Mr. Mujibur Rehman, petitioner in the other Shariat Petition, However, he raised a point about the difference between the beliefs of the members of the Lahori Group of the Ahmadis and that of Ouadiani Group. He said that the Lahori Group does not believe in the prophethood of Mirza Sahib, nor did Mirza Sahib ever claim that he was a Prophet. The members of the Lahori Group believe in the unconditional and absolute finality of the prophethood of Muhammad & and treat Mirza Sahib as the Promised Mehdi, the Promised Messiah a Mujaddid, a Muhaddas - anything short of being a Prophet. In this connection he placed reliance upon several books including Izalase-Auham-Nishanse-Asmani. Ainase-Kamalatse-Islam Hamamat-ul-Bushra, Avvam ul-Sulb, etc. to establish that even Mirza Sahib did not lay a claim to prophet-hood. It was pointed out to him that the relevant writings of Mirza Sahib in this connection would be the writings from 1901 to 1908, and Aik Ghalati Ka Izala is the basic writing. He read some portions of this pamphlet but not those which were relevant to the issue.

Captain Abdul Wajid denied that Mirza Sahib or the Lahori Group of the Quadianis ever pronounced the Muslim Ummah or those who recite 'Kalma' (ads') (there is no God except Allah and Muhammad ﷺ is his Prophety as heretica or Kafis because of their unbelief in Mirza Sahib. Although he admitted that those Muslims who call Mirza Sahib Kafir become after this allegation Kafirs.

Both these assertions are without substance. It will be found in the writings of Mirza Sahib that he not only claimed prophethood but the founder of the Lahort Group (M. Mahammad Ali Sab believed him to be a Prophet till 1914, when he seconde from the main body of Ahmadis and formed his own Group. Reference may be made in support of this proposition to Hayate-Tayyiba, a biography of Alirza Sahib by Abdul Dadir. Only two citation will suffice.

It is stated at page 299 that in 1904 Muhammad Ali appeared on behalf of the complainant in the case of Molvi Karmuddin and deposed that:

'One who falsifies a claimant to Prophethood is a liar.
The accused Mirza Sahib is a claimant to Prophethood'.

At page 300 is reproduced the following extract of M.

Muhammad Ali's writing published in his newspaper
Paigham-i-Sulh, dated 16th October, 1913:

"جم حفرت كي موجود ومهدى موجود كواس زيانيكا في مرسول اور تجات د جنده مانت جيل."

.... We believe his eminence the Promised Messiah and the Promised Mehdi to be a Prophet and a liberator from the consequence of sin"

It is clear from these extracts that M. Muhammad All as a well as his companions considered Miraz Sahih as a Prophet during the lifetime of Miraz Sahih and is Prophet during the lifetime of Miraz Sahih and his successor, M. Nuraddin, It was only later after his secession from the general body of the Ahmadis that M. Mahammad All iook, a different stand that to claim to be a Prophet, while he is a member of the Ummah is the act of aliar, (Al-Nubwwa-4il-libam, page 115) and I consider it as an act of uprooting Islam to treat Miraz Sahih as a Prophet. (Pajspham-1-Suh) Vol. 2, page 194, deated 16th April, 1915.)

Mirza Sahib had to face the verdict of heresy when his claim was limited to his being a Promised Mehdi and Messish. The same verdict was spellicable to his followers. Maulana Muhammad Hussain Batalvi who had once cetoliced Mirza Sahib for writing some portions of Baraheeth-Ahmadiyya soon became disenthanted on the control of the beared (Jeans of the Gonzale and Saray number of the learned (Jeans on it from all parts of India; Havat-

e-Tayyiba by Abdual Qadir, page 132).

This point may, however, be considered objectively without being influenced by these verdicts. It is established from the citations from the writings of Mirza Sahib and his successors that Mirza Sahib had made an unequivocal claim of being a Prophet and had condemned all those who did not accept his claim, as Kafirs (heretics).

Now what is the view in Islam regarding those people who ignore or close their eyes to the patent heresies patent heresies classeries and believe in him as Mamoorun-Minallah (appointed by Allah), Mujaddid (evivalisit of the true Islam), the Promised Messiah or Mehdi which he cannot be on account of his beling. Devond the pale of Islam?

Is not the support of heresy an act of heresy?

The established principle in Islam is that one who considers hereay as something good or acquisezes in or is pleased with it is not a Muslim. (fikar-ul-Mulhedeen by Muluna Anware Shah Kashmir, page 59). It is said in Bahrur Raiq, Vol. 5, page 24, that he who holds a good opinion for the discourse of Jevish priests or is pleased with (their) Taxwel (to give a different interpretation to an unit of the control of the control

Q. 2 : 256 is apt on this point. It is as follows: —

"There is no compulsion in religion. The right direction is henceforth distinct from error. And he who rejecteth false deities and believeth in Allah

who rejectethe false deities and believeth in Allah hath grasped a firm handhold which will never break. Allah is Hearer, Knower."

The word Taghut (الأغوث) is used at several places in the Quran as an antonym of Allah. See the above verse and Q. 16 : 36 (Shun God and shun Taghut (شاغوث); Q. 4 : 76 (Those who believe fight in the way of God and those who disbelieve fight in the way of Taghut).

It is used to connote the devil, a wizard or soothsayer (Kahin الأهم) and one who leads a stray. Jauhari said :

Taghut is a soothsayer, the devil and anybody who leads astray (Qurtabl). The words ($\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{N}_i} \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{N}_i} J_i$) anybody who leads astray) include the founder of a religion to lead people astray, or of an ideology which is a deviation from the right course (See Ziau) Quran by Γ in Mahammad Karam Shah now Judge of the Supreme Court Shariat Bench, Vol. 1, pages 179, 180.

The word Taghut as used in verse 2: 286 has, herefore, been differently interpreted by different translators. Pickthall interprets it as false deily. Arbuty translators at ideal. The translation of the word by Maulana Mahmood ul Hassan is One who leads astray $(e^{+}\omega^{2}/f)$. This is much more appropriate and all embracing, it would include a person who founds a religion of unbeltef.

The quality of a Momin or Muslim is that he should believe in Allah and disbelleve in or deny Taghut which would include a false Prophet. It would follow that a person who does not dray a false Prophet, a person who feet that the state of the state of

In his pamphet 'AiK Chilati Ka Itaia' (meaning memoral or correction of a mistady Mizza Sahib for the first time Iaid claim to Prophethood. The reason for writing; it was that a few days before its writing some 'opponents' raised an objection before a follower of Mizza Sahib that he at whose hands he had taken the oath of fealty babil (alaims to be a Prophet, but the follower denied the charge. Mizza Sahib wrote that this denial was not correct because the holy werelations which he received from Ailah included to the control of the control

guidance and the Religion of Truth, that He may cause it to prevail over all religions) (Baraheen-i-Ahmadiyya, page 498)

In II, it was clearly stated that he (Mirza Sahib) is a Prophet. It was further revealed in that book about him' (جرية) الله حيث الله لحيث الله لحيث الله لحيث الله حيث الله لحيث الله الله (جود) (Prophets) (page 504). In the same book there is another revelation from Allah issee 0.48: 29):

(Muhammad it is the Messenger of Allah and those who are with Him are hard against the disbelievers and merciful among themselves).

In this revelation according to Mirza Sahib he was named as Muhammad and also Prophet. Similarly in many other places in Baraheen-i-Ahmadiyya he was mentioned as a Messenoer.

Mirza Sahib then dealt with the objection that since
Prophet Muhammad W was the last of the Prophets, no
Prophet could come after him. He refuted the belief of the

Muslims about the second advent of Jesus in this world as a Prophet. He stated that the meaning of the verse about Muslammad Will being the last of the Proplets was that the doors of Prophethood had been closed after the Holy Prophet Will the day of judgement and it was not possible for any Hindu, Jew. Christian or any person formerly known as Mussalmaan to prove the application of the application while (Prapheth to insused I.All windows of Siddiqi and which could be claimed by one who was fanafits. Basel (Jew. 1) by increase function in the Propheth.

Mirzz Sahib continued that who ever goes to Cod through this window is honoured with the mantle of Prophethood in a Zilli (2) manner (like a shadow). This is the mantle of Prophethood of Mahmmad. It is not a matter of shame for him to be a Prophet because he acquire? The qualifications not from himself but acquired in the manual properties (source). As the prophet 200 similarly he does not acquire it for his great glory and majesty. For this reason his names in the Heavens (2-2 are Muhammad and Ahmad which means that the prophethood of Muhammad 200 similarly similarly received by Muhammad though in a Durry manner (by Incarnation).

At page 7, he wrote that despite this Muhammad Are the Khatam-un-Nabiyyin (last of the Prophets) because the second Muhammad was the picture of that Muhammad Are the picture of that Muhammad Are and bore his name. He also wrote that having been named as Muhammad and Ahmad he was a Rasool (Messenger) and Nabi (Prophet) (page 9). The verse 62:3

(Alongwith others of them as have not joined them) was similarly twisted and misinterpreted by Mirza Sahib to suit his theory and was held to be applicable to the future Prophets including himself. He said that he was the same Prophet in a buruzy manner and 20 years earlier was named in the Baraheen-i-Ahmadlyya as Muhammad and Ahmad and was declared as Zii راطبان (shadow) of the Holy

Prophet . This according to him did not adversely affect the finality of the Prophethood of the Holy Prophet . because shadow is not separated from the original self (page 10).

The verse Q. 62:2 is to be read in continuation of the arrier verse (Q. 62:2) which refers to the function of the Holy Prophet 27 to recite unto the unlettered ones, his revelations and to make them grow, and to teach them the scriptures and wisdom, though herobefore they were indeed in error manifest alongwith, others, of those, who have not vet joined them (The underlined is the translation of the words which were misintered by Wiltz-Sahib.

Again after repeating his Prophethood in a buruzy manner he wrote that for this reason his name was Muhammad and Ahmad and the Prophet-hood did not go to anyone else; it belonged to Muhammad and remained with Muhammad diff (page 16).

It would be seen that the consequence of the dictum that Mirza Sahib himself was Muhammad and Ahmad flyes were the names of the Holy (Prophet & were anomalous enough, The companions of Mirza Sahib became the companions of Mirza Sahib became the companions of Girza Sahib became the Girza Sahib. When the Mirza Sahib. Whenever the word Muhammad is Mirza Sahib. Whenever the word Muhammad is ceited or read, it means Mirza Sahib.

Now the concept itself may be analysed, it has been explained in Al-Falsafatul Suffatu fil Islam by Dr. Abdul

Qadir Mahmood. pages 5—11 that the meaning of expressions zilli (ω) and buruzy (ω), ρ , resemble very much the concept of incarnation (ω) or transmigration (ω) among the Hindus.

Mirza Sahib himself admitted that buruz means avatars. In his lecture Sialkot dated 2nd November, 1904 (page 23) he said:

"This may be made clear that my advent on behalf of God is not only for the reform of the Muslims. The reform of all the three communities Muslims, Hindus and Christians is required." As God sent me as promised Messiah for the Muslims

In Zamima Risala-i-lihad (printed 1900) he wrote:

"God..... sent me as an avatara of Jesus-Similarly He...named me as a hand and Molammad and made me an avatara of Prophet Muhammad & siter making my habits, manner, style, les of the Holly Republic, and the style of the Holly Good of the Holly Go

In strict Shariah of Islam there is no concept of incarnation or transmigration. These are terms emanating from those who believed in transmigration like Mazdak and Laman. Similarly there is no such notion as shadowism

(قليست) in Islam (Khatimun Nabiyyin by Anwar Shah Kashmir! page 210).

In Mauqiful Ummatil Islamiyya Maulana Muhaminad Youaf Bannoi wrote that from the comparative study of religions it appears that the entire concept of shadowism (Δω) and incamation (μ)₂₀ is a Hindu concept and no such concept is there in Islam. Abdu Quif: Baghdadi (4. 429 A.M.) also said that the view in favour of Hulul is false and absort (Usul Ul Din page 73).

Mujaddid Alf Sani, whose writings were relied upor by Mirza Sahib refutes the concept of zil (shadow) ir prophethood. He sald in his letter No. 301 that prophethooc connotes nearness to Allah which it has not even the hint or doubt of zilliat (shadow) weresa.

Another argument of the petitioners is that Quadrains are a part of the Musulim Lumanh and a member of the Ummah cannot be excluded from It no account of differences in matters of belief. According to them the definition of Ummah is that any person who helivers in this cannot of the state o

Imam Raghib said that the general meaning of Ummah is 'nation' or "community' particularly that community which is identified by commonness of affairs (which must include commonness of ideology, out look and aspirations, social, cultural, economic, political and religious) (Al-Mufradai-fe-Gharib-il-Quran, page 23).

Its illustration is Quranic Verse Q. 6:38

"There is not an animal in the earth, nor a flying creature flying on two wings, but have communities like you."

In this Verse are included each specie of animals which lead life in a similar way for example spider which weaves its web or the white peacock which builds the

According to the Quran all mankind was a single Ummah (Q. 2:213) but then they split up in groups. Then the community bond or group bound or bond of faith became the determining act for Ummah.

In Verse 5 : 48 it is said-

house of straw

"ولمو شاء الله لجعلكم امة واحدة"

'Had Allah willed He could have made you one community By the oneness of the community is meant unity in faith, (ibid, page 23).

Sometimes the word Ummah is used for those people to whom a Prophet was sent (Q. 19. 14 7, Q. 23 144, Q. 35 124, Q. 40 13) and sometimes It applies to those persons who believe in any one Prophet (Q. 5; 148, Q. 16 93, Q. 22 147, Q. 42 12). The former is known as Ummatul Daawa (استا الشواء) while the later is called Ummatul Ajaba هما (سواء) (see "Sakshafa-Falshahatil Funon Thany, Vol. 1, page 91).

In the Holy Quran the Ummah of Prophet Muhammad

is called the best Ummah vide Q. 3:110:

O. 3:110

'You are the best community that has been raised for mankind', and then the qualities of that Ummah are described:

'Ye enjoin right conduct and forbid indecency, And

you believe in Allah'.

The same Verse then distinguishes between the best of Ummah and the peopl of the Scriptures:

'And if the people of the Scripture had believed it had been better for them. Some of them are believers : but most of them are evil livers'. (O. 3:110)

القاسقة ن"

يترب ومن تبعهم فلحق بمم و جاهد معهم فانسهم امة من دون الناس"

This is the writing of Prophet Muhammad & between Muslims and Monjins of Quresh, of Yathrab and those who join them and participate in Jihad with them. They are an Ummah as asainst all others'.

In Article 26 of the same Covenant are the words

'The Jews of Bani Auf form an Ummah with the Muslims. (Seerat Ibn-e-Hasham, Vol. 1, page 554 onwards Urdu translation).

Those who are parties to the agreement are groups which means each of them form Ummah.

Those Jews who were or later become parties to this Covenant were held to be an Unmah with the Muslims on acount of the common functions and aspiration of the covenantors described in the Covenants. The Muslims were a single Unmah because of their adherence is the same religion. The Covenant has Jays the Gondadton in the political sense that the covenant has Jays the Journal of the Covenant has Jays the Jays t

While raising the foundations of Ka'aba in Makkah Abraham and Ismail prayed.

"و بنا و احملنا مسلمه". لك وهم الدوينا امة مسلمة لك"

'Our Lord! And make us submissive unto Thee', and of our progency a community submissive unto Thee'

One of the meanings of Islam is submission and obelience: Nullim means one who is submissive. The verse points out that those who submit would form one Lumah or that the Muslims by virtue of their Islam (submission) shall integrate into one nation. Thus the common bond of Islam will constitute them an Unmah because the principle is that persons with common because the principle is that persons with common to the principle is that persons with common of the principle is that persons with the principle is the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the principle in the principle is the principle in the

O. 3:104

O 2:128

"ولنكن منكم اهة يدعون الى الخيرو يأهرون بالمعروف وينسهون

عن المكر ، واولتك هم المفلحون

'Let there arise out of you a band of people inviting to all that is good, enjoining what is right, and forbidding what is wrong; They are the ones to attain felicity'.

O. 7:181

'Of those We have created Are people who direct (others) with truth, And dispense justice therewith'.

Islam (aubmission) is not the religion or way of Ilfe the Ummah of Prophet Mushamad \mathbb{R}^2 only, Ilfe the Prophets preached Islam because all of them received game revelations and were similarly inspired (Q. 4315). Abraham was seither a Jewn ora Christian. He was a Muslim (Q. 6 is of) Islam to which the Holy Prophet \mathbb{R}^2 was spikeling (Q. 6 is a right religion which was followed by Abraham (Q. 6 : 1402. All the Prophets preached the proplets orered Allah cobey the law of $God (Q. 7^2.95, Q. 7^2.85, Q. 7^2.75, Q. 7^2$

"ان هذه امتكم امة و احدة"

'Lo this religion of all of you is one religion'.

taken in the meaning of community or body.

It صوب be clarified that Qurtabi said that (رالامة هنا اللين) the word Ummah (است) here means religion). But it is also

One of the primary conditions for faith in Islam is the faithful must believe in God and in all the Prophets upto Muhammad (M) who should be believed as the last Prophet and Messenger and no Prophet or Messenger and follow him in any age till the day of judgment. They must believe in all Books revealed or sent by God, the Augles and the Hereafth.

The next condition is the establishment of prayers, and fasting, the performance of Haj and payment of Zakat. The Articles of faith must have been common in each religion but the manner of prayers and fasting, the particulars of Zakat and the Haj are features which are distinctive of the Muslims. Similarly the places of worship [Mosque (2004)] or the manner of calling the faithful to prayers is not compatible with the rituals of other religions. The Muslims have been declared the best community that hath been raised up for mankind (Q, 3: 110). They enjoin right conduct and forbid indexes.

After the Holy Prophet To passed away it became the

.(Q. 3 : 110, Q. 3: 104) زنامرون بالمعروف و تنهون عن المنكر)

duty of the entire Unmah to advance the Objects of the religion (Q. 5 : 144). They are enjoined to be steadfast and remain united because they have, to codure and outdood in others in endurance (Q. 3 : 204). It is not the custom and manner of Muslims to oppose the Holy Prophet 555 after the guidance of God whit been manifested to a person (Q. 4 : 115). This means that he must obey the Holy Prophet 555.

Verse 4:39 orders the Muslim Ummah to obey the persons in authority (which means a Central authority and officers subordinate to it. It is not difficult to conclude from these Injunctions that it is the duty of the Muslim Ummah to keep the banner of Islam flying and for this purpose it must be well knit.

The Muslims are byothers among themselves without distinction of race, colour or country, $\psi^{\mu} > \rho_{\mu} \psi^{\mu} > \beta_{\nu} 0$, Qe 92: 10). The murder of one is the murder of all and saving man is enjoined to establish and to be stanch in the maintenance of justice and distingly among its markind, Qe 1:135]. For the benefit of mankind they are a moderate or middle nation (Qe 3:145).

nation (Q. 3:143).

The entire Muslim Ummah is thus the worshipper of one God. It is the Ummah of one and the last Prophet and Messenger of Allah and offers its prayer by facing in every nook and corner of the world towards a common Centre, the

nook and corner of the world towards a common Centre, the Ka'aba. The Muslims look towards each other in the Ummah as brothers and are pained to hear or know about any trial or tribulation befalling other Muslims. Their ideology and aspirations are uniform. These are the real tests of an Ummah.

The Muslims are extremely tolerant of all other

The Muslims are extremely tolerant of all other religions but they never tolerate any attack on their faith or subversion or undermining of the Ummah. Both are so dear to them

Mr. Rizaul Hasan Gilani discussed the basis he tactors and the mechanism of group solidarity and integration and submitted that solidarity is organic and mechanical. The concept organic solidarity refers to integration resulting for division of labour while mechanical solidarity is used to describe the community or society in which all members shore the same basic productions and consequently feet sympathy for one another.

He argued that the description of mechanical solidarity is apt for the Muslim Ummah and quoted from 'A Text Book of Sociology by O.G. Burn and Nimkoof, page 87'.

"Tusik, mechanically integrated, show the basic characteristics of the ideal folial, society shouldnot cultural homogeneity, organisation of the conventional understandings into a single web of inter-related meanings, the predominantly personal relationship, the relative importance of smillst institutions and the relative importance of sacred as compared with socials anactions. Merida, organically integrated, tends to show the open-olic polaracteristics.

The passage deals partly with the social structure and its grouping on culture — pattern basis.

us grouping on culture — pattern vasis.

Thin-e-Khaldun discussed at great length group
feelings among the tribes for persons of the same descent
and bound by the ties of blood relation ship and for their

clients and allies. The strong feeling is the result of the Desert life which breed, extreme courage, valour and bravery (Muqaddimah English Translation, Vol. I, page 264). He discussed the importance of royal authority as a result of the group feeling. The most important and relevant point is the effect of religious uniformity. He said:

"The reason for this is that because of their savagery. the Arabs are the least willing of nations to subordinate themselves to each other as they are rude. proud, ambitious, and easer to be the leader. Their individual aspirations rarely coincide. But when there is religion (among them) through prophecy or sainthood, then they have some restraining influence in themselves. The qualities of haughtiness and icalousy leave them. It is then easy for them to subordinate themselves and to unite (as a social organization). This is achieved by the common religion they now have. It causes rudeness and pride to disappear and exercises a restraining influence on their mutual envy and jealousy. When there is a Prophet or Saint among them, who calls upon them to fulfill the commands of God and rids them of blameworthy qualities and causes them to adopt praiseworthy ones, and who has them concentrate all their strength in order to make the truth prevail, they become fully united (as a social organization) and obtoin superiority and royal authority. Besides, no people are as quick (as the Arabs) to accept (religious) truth and right guidance, because their natures pave been preserved free from distorted habits and uncontaminated by base character qualities. The only (difficulty) lies in the quality of savagery, which, however, is easily taken care of and which is ready to admit good (qualities), as it has remained in its first natural state and remote from the ugly customs and had habits that leave their impress upon the soul-"Every infant is born in the natural state", as is stated in the tradition that was quoted above".

It cannot be denied that faith is a stronger stimulant towards the achievement of co-operation, fellow feeling, comradeship and ideological cohesion irrespective of colour, ethereal, racial, linguistic and cultural barriers. The emotional fervour and the instinct of attachment to and affinity with the ideological base generates fraternal feeling which it is not difficult to demonstrate from Islamic History. The offensive against Raja Dahir of Sind by the Muslims was the result of appeal for help by some Muslims. Muslim amics despite heavy odds travelled such a long distance to respond to the appeal of a few fellow Muslims.

There is, however, a big difference between a nation of the modern era and a religious Ummah. A nation is combination of a group of persons but in that combination the main motive and the driving force is self interest. There are a complex of festors and qualities for the combination but self interest or the individuals and the groups is one of them. Jahre it is the main culterion. But a religious Ummah

The factors which helped the formation and cohesion of the Muslim Ummah are the humanitarian character of Islam, its emphasis on equality of all rich and poor, master and slave, men and women irrespective of distinction of country, colour, race, language or culture, its stress on fraternity and the individual freedoms guaranteed by it.

The armies of Islam were the torch bearers of these qualities and spread the spirit of tolerance and forbearance, love for education and research, though unfortunately in the .eras of their political weakness. They were the victims of savagers and religious intolerance.

The love of their heritage and the pride for their history are some other factors for their fusion in an Ummah.

All these are factors related to the teachings of religion and the excellence of Islan as a vital force. But the most important factor is the love and respect of the Muslims for the Holy Prophet & through whom all these blessings were conferred upon the Ummah. Intensity of this loves and respect is demonstrated by the fact that ill details of the life of the Prophet & and a preserved and except in the control of the life of the Prophet & and a preserved and except life. In Muslims are bound to obey the Ouran as

well as the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (## and they collected and preserved all incidents of his prophetic lifeeven the most minor ones. To obey him is to love him but the love which transcends obedience to him is the emotional and sentimental attachment to the Holy Prophet (##).

The finality of Prophethood is an article of faith with each Muslim oo account of the intense love for the Holy Prophet and the belief in the finality of Prophethood is the most important element in the integration of the Limmah as Allama lobal puts it.

The consclousness of affinity in the Ummah and its integrity help in the growth of teacily which along with emotional fervour in the Ummah creates resistance against all impulses of disintegration. The claims of Prophethood have, therefore, been resisted by the Ummah vigorously to keep the maintenam of the faith pure. As such they have resented all encoachment on the nexus between Islam and finality of Problethood.

The Quadianis are not a part of the Muslim Unmah. This is amply proved by their own conduct. In their opinion all the Muslims are unbelievers. They constitute a separate Unmah. The paradus is that they have subsultated out of that Unmah. The Muslims consider them beyond the pale of Muslim Unmah and curiously enough they consider the Muslims out of the pale of that Unmah. The Muslims that they consider the Muslims out of the pale of that Unmah and custom the second of the se

This friction and absolute separation between the Quadianis and the Muslims is borne out by the writings of Mirza Sahib as well as his successors. Mirza Bashiruddin Mahmood in his book Anwar-e-Khilafat discussed this point in detail and elaborated the reasoning why Quadains, cannot offer payer behind a non-Ahmadi Imam, cannot offer the funceal preyer of non-Ahmadis and count anterpose and the payer of non-Ahmadis are unbellevers. Mirza Bashiruddin Mahmood wrote an anecdote that her a renowned religious scholar ich; in Lucknow who told Shaikh Yaqoob Ali who accompanied him that in his moint the Quadains were broad minded people but their opinion the Quadains were broad minded people but their opinion the Quadains were broad minded people but their administration of the payer of the pa

In Kalima-tul-Fasal it is said that "the Promised Messiah meted out the same treatment to non-Ahmadis which was meted out by the Holy Prophet #2f' to the Christians. Our payers were separated from those of non-Ahmadis. To give our girls in marriage to them was decined problished. The property of the property of the carried problems of the property of the property of the associate with them. There are two types of relationship is achieved through the assembly for prayer while the main things are possible to mobilished for the property while the things are phosphete workfilled for our 's more 169'.

In 'Acenal Sadaşat Mirza Bashiruddin Mahmoot efferred to the alleged revelation of Mirza Sahira the whoever treated even one word of the promised Messish as false he is an out caste (mandoot) from the Court of Cod. He then exhorted Ahmadis that they should not abandon their distinctive signs. They believed in a true Project while their opponents did not believe in him. During the and non-Ahmadis should propagate (Islam) topether with Mirza Sahib asked "which Islam you will propagate! Will Will you conceal the signs and reveared given to you by God? here is nothing strange in this approach of Qadlanis since it has been a worldwide phenomenon that members of each religion consider the members of any other religion to be infidels, hereits or beyond the pale of their religion. It is the same with Jews, Christians, Maglans, Hindus, and thers. This is not only true about the religious communities but also the secular ideological groups like communities and Sacialists.

The principle generally acknowledged by followers or members of unamn [plurad of lumah) of different Prophets is that whoever does not believe in the Prophet of one Ummah is outside that Ummah or an outcast to that community. It followed necessarily from the claim of prophethood of Minus Sabib that whoever did not believe in him or considered him a false prophet or imposter, could know by the names of Ahmadis.

The orders about prayers and marriage are those of Mirza Sahib and not of any successor. Even before his specific claim of propherhood he wrote: "whoever does not follow me and is not within our bay't (does not take oath of fealty) or opposes me, commits' disobedience to God and his abode is hell (Tazkirah pages 342-345, Extract from the letter of Mirza Sahib dated thich lune. 1390 to habe leth Ruch both.

Mirra Sahih stated this inspite of the fact that be had entire stated that the belief in the promised Messlash was not an article of faith. In Haquequa-tul-Waih page 179 and 180 he described two estegories of disheller. Firstly, in which a person denies the truthfuriness of Islam and does seemed to the secondly that in which he does not believe in the promised Messiah and Inspite of conclusive arguments treats him to be false although there is Injunction of God and His Messenger for believing the contrary which is also repeated in the Books of the earlier Prophers. For this reason thy his disobedience in Mirra Sahih) he is not unforted in the Books of the earlier Prophers. For this reason thy his disobedience in Mirra Sahih) he is not unforted the second of the contrary of the second of th

types of unbelief are the same triddled with equal consequences) because a person who despite knowledge of lujunctions thereof falls to believe in God and His Messenger cannot be said to have faith in God and His Messenger. According to the specific Verses in the Holy Ouran even that person who disbelieves for lack of knowledge is called Kafir (unbeliever) and we also call him so for his disobedience to the dictates of Sharia."

In answer to a question Mirza Sahib said (at page 163 of Hageqeatul Wahi) that "lift in the opinion of a falsifier 1 have invented lies against God, I am in that case, not only an unbeliever but a great unbeliever and if I do not invent lies this unbelief will undoubtedly fall on him (falsifier of Mirza Sahib) Besides this whoever does not believe in me also does not believe in God and His Messenger,"

Mr. Mujeebur Rehman took exception to these arguments of Mr. Riaz-ul-Hassan Gilani and submitted that the above concept of heresy of non-Ahmadis continued only upto 1923 and all the references to this effect pertained to that period. He submitted that Mirza Bashir Ahmad was not an Imam or Khalifa for the Ahmadis: he was only their spokesman. But Mirza Bashiruddin Mahmood had explained before the Munir Enquiry Report that he had not called the non-Ahmadis as infidels in the sense that they were outside the Muslim ummah meaning that their heresy was not a major kufr (heresy). The explanation of Mirza Bashiruddin Mahmood in times of distress when the agitation of the Muslim ummah in Pakistan had reached its peak was no more than retracing of steps as was done by Mirza Sahib himself several times as already explained. Mirza Sahib himself said that such a person is a Kafir because he will be taken not to believe in God and His Messenger. There can be no better proof of such a person being outside the Muslim ummah.

Mirza Sahib called his Muslim opponents as leaders of Kufr (Tazkirah, pages 111, 373).

In his letter to Dr. Abdul Hakeem dated March, 1906 he wrote that "God has revealed to me that every one to whom my message has reached and who does not accept me is not a Muslim (Tackizah page 600). Mirza Bashiruddin Mahmood equated the non-Ahmadis with Christians. Shaikh Nur Muhammad asked Mirza Sahib to accept his she resignation from the Jamast (Jammaste Ahmadiya) on which he replied 'tell Shaikh Noor Muhammad that not only is he dissociated from the Jamast but he is also severed from Islam (Secrate Mahidi, Vol. III) page 4919.

It is well known that Sir Zafarullah Khan Ex-Foreign Minister of Pakistan did not infer the funeral parent Qualsia-Azam. According to Zamindar' dated Shi of Qualsia-Azam. According to Zamindar' dated Shi of Jamin Monque Abbettabod saked Sir Zafarullah for the reason for non-participation in the prayer. He replied that econsidered Qualsia-Azam to be only a political leader. Qualsia-Azam to so only a political leader unbelievers on account of their diskellier in Mirras Sahi, which was not to the participation of the parent of the Sahi Qualsia said you may treat me as a Muslim servant of a Kariff (shertick) Covernment or a heretic servant of the Kariff (shertick) Covernment or a heretic servant of the

Mr. Mujeebur Rehman could not contradict the position taken by Sir Zafarullah. It is, therefore, established beyond any shadow of doubt that as Sir Zafarullah Khan put it, either the majority of people in Pakistan are unbelievers (Kafir) or the Qadiania are and be the members of the same unmanh. There is no meeting point because of the belief of the Muslims in the Quadianis who believe in Mirza Sahib as a new Prophet. The Quadianis who believe in Mirza Sahib as a new Prophet. The Quadianis who believe in Mirza Sahib as a new Prophet. The Quadianis who believe in Mirza Sahib as a new Prophet. The Quadianis who believe in Mirza Sahib as a new Prophet. The Quadianis who believe in Mirza Sahib as a new Prophet. The Quadianis who believe in Mirza Sahib as a new Prophet. The Quadianis who believe in Mirza Sahib as new Prophet is supported to the Muslim unmanh and the torch bearers of the Muslim Loudery who said that "I (the Muslim Lounnah) is secured by the lefac of the finality of prophethood alone" (Thoughts and Reflections of [sha] page 249).

He further said:-

"After all. If the integrity of a commonly is threatened, the only course open to that community is to defend itself against the forces of distintegration. And what are the ways of self-defence? Controversial writing" and refutation of the claims of the man who is regarded by the parent community as a religious adventure. Is it then fair to preach toleration to the present community whose integrity is threatened and to allow the rehellious group to carry on its representation in this processor of the processor of the community of the processor of the processor

The loyalty and love of Mirza Sahib for the Imperialist and Colonialist British Government is axiomatic. Almost in each of his books he had devoted atleast some pages for extolling the British Government and so was done by his successors. A few examples of such writines are eigen below:—

- (a) Some foolish persons asked whether it will be correct to light with this Government in Jehad or not. They should remember that this question of theirs is one of extreme stupidity because how can one enter into Tehad against one eratefulness for
 - whose Ehsan (OL—>) (beneficence) is a bounden duty. I appeak the truth that to wish ill of one who has been benevolent is the act of a bastard and a scoundred. So my belief which I have been manifesting again and again is that there are two parts of Islam, one is that they should obey died and the other is that they should obey the size of the state of the story of the state of the size of the state of the state of the state of the size of the state of the state of the state of the size of the state of the size of the state of the state of the state of the state of the size of the state of the state of the state of the state of the size of the state of the sta
 - Quran published In 1893, page 3).

 (b) The wise who on the one hand finds in my writings support for the religion and on the other hand listens to my advice that fulfedged loyalty should be given to this Government and their good and welfare should be wished, cannot mistrust me and why should they do so. It is a

truth that the Muslims are subject to the divine and prophetic order that they should be loyal to the Government to whom they are subject. I have elaborated these religious orders in detail in my books. The Government can now consider the centent to which my dather had been a well-wisher extent to which my dather had been a well-wisher service to the Government) through my pen for service (to the Government) through my pen for the last 19 years (Kashful Ghata published in 1989, page 10).

(c) And I have made it clear in the conditions of oath of fidelity ———, clause 4 that they should wish well to the British Government, show true compassion for the humanity, refrain from adopting methods of energing others and show from depravity and evil doing (Kitabul Bariyyah published in 1989, page 12).

(d) The Deputy Commissioner ordered that if any trouble is caused to the Ahmadis then all the leaders of the Musalmans shall be expelled from the country under the new law. Such an order cannot emanate except from a person whose sympathies extend to the entire humanity. This fresh treatment was meted out by this Government to your Malabari brothers and whoever shows kindness to one's brother, shows it to that one. Thus we should be grateful to our Government because the Malahari Ahmadis are our brothers. One of our preachers had gone to Mauritius. The non-Ahmadis decided that he must not (be allowed to) deliver his lecture wherever he might wish. He petitioned to the Government for (allotment of) the Governmenthall. The Governor allowed him to deliver his lecture in that hall for 3 days in a week, thus giving half of the week to our preacher and keeping the

- other half for himself. (Anwar-e-Khilafat by Bashiruddin Mahmood Abroad, page 96),
- (e) In Kitabul Bariyyah at pages 7 and 8 are given the names of the books, their dates of publication and the number of pages in which the Bittish Government is exidited by Mirza Sahib. He made reference to 24 books and prophiles in which he reference. The pumber of pages amounted to Government. The number of pages amounted to several dozens at least 11 years before his death.
 Mr. Riza-ul-Haan Gilani areque on the basis of these
- and purpose. He made it an article of faith for his followers and a part of their outh of fidelity for him. He also banned Jehad for which there are specific Quranic orders. Mirza Sahib was more loyal than the king himself because the Ahmadia Movement had the blessings of the Government and was started on their instructions and under their blessed protection. The interest of the Government after the war of independence of 1887 was to cause distinguistion and disharmony in the Muslim Unmah and carving out a new religion out of Islan severed that purpose.

few illustrations that the unflinching loyalty of Mirza Sahib to the British Government was not without reason

- The learned counsel criticised the abolition of Jehad by Mirza Sahib as opposed to the Quran. In order to establish his point he referred to the writings of Mirza Sahib and gave the following few illustrations:—
 - 1. "O Friends give up the idea of Jehad mow. It is now prohibited in religion to engage in war and assassination. The Messiah has come now and he is the guide in religion. Now is the end of all religious warfare. Descends from the heaven (see), the light of God, the vedict of war and Jehad is now proposterous. He is an enemy of God who indulges in Jehad and a denier of the Prophet who entertains his belief in it. (Tubia-Godaroy)a published in 1992 need to more of Miras Subir.

- 2. It (the breaking of cross) cannot mean that the wooder cross which is bung by the christians will be broken by the promised Messiah It points out to another truth which is the same as brought by us. We have declared with full clarity that Jihad is now prohibited. As that (to establish peace) is the function of the promised Messiah so it is his concern to do away with war. For this purpose it was essential for us to give a verdict about the prohibithion of plends (We, therefore, such about the prohibithion of plends (We, therefore, such should the prohibithion of plends (We, therefore, such sound or lift weapons now in the name of religion (Malfuzat Vol. 4, published in 1902, page 18).
- The Injunction about Jehad is abolished during the time of the promised Messiah (Abrbain 4, published in 1900, page 15)
- 4. My principal beliefs and instructions for guidance do not contain anything concerning warfare and violence and 1 believe that with the increase in my followers the number of those who believe in Jehad will decrease because belief in me as a Messiah and Mehdi is repudiation of Jehad (Majimua-e-Ishtlharat Vol. 3, from 1898 to 1908, page 191.
- It is unnecessary to add such citations which are numerous.
- Mr. Mujeebur Rehman argued that Mirza Sahib was not the only person in the 19th century or the early 20th century to show loyally to the British Government but a number of Ulema and Intellectuals in the country had written something or the other in the praise of the imperialist Power.

From the citations given by Mr. Mujeebur Rehman it appears that the Ulema had taken various factors into consideration while opposing lehad.

The main factor was that the Muslims had been subjugated but they enjoyed religious freedom and were governed by their personal law. An-other factor taken into consideration by some Ulema was that Jehad was not permissible as there was no Imam to lead and no weapons to fight. It means that the impossibility of winning in Jehad was one of the reasons for most of such verdicts.

The matter is not so simple as was put by Mr. Whyeleve Rehma. Before talkborning the point in tray be stated that the principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$ i.e., putting an end to war in relation to the promised Messish endy means into account of the preprondenance of Islam which will be the oscillation of the talking of pipe, there shall be no unbullevers in the world. It does not mean that the rule of the world. However, the world is the observable of the world is the shall be no unbullevers in believes shall not be resisted. The principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$ believes shall not be resisted. The principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$ believes shall not be resisted. The principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$ believes shall not be resisted. The principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$ believes shall not be resisted. The principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$ believes shall not be resisted. The principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$ believes the world of the principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$ believes the principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$ believed to the principle of $(-p^2 - \infty)$

It is also not correct that he suspended Jehad only for a short period. The citations given above refute this assertion. The Hadith of (Putting an end to Jehad) on the advent of Messiah means the absolute elimination of Jehad. Reliance on it for abolition of Jehad negatives the possibility of the order of abolition being of a transitory

The matter has to be looked at in the context of the potential situation in the Province of Punjab. It was a time when the entire feudal or Landlord class was known as a class of Toadies who would go to any length to please the Ruling Power. They considered it a matter of pride to wait upon an Encilshman.

It is clear from the writings of Mirza Sahib that his family including his brother and himself continued their unflinching loyalty for the Britishers.

The writings in which he extolled the Britishers are not without any purpose. One of the purposes is clear from the above citation that the Ahmadis were under the shelfer of the British Government. The other citation about Mautitions proves that they were the favourities of the Government as notwithstanding opposition by Muslims to the delivery of Ictures about Ahmadism by the Ahmadi Preacher, the Government of Mauritius allowed the Oewernment Half for 3 days in each week to enable the Preacher to preach Ahmadism. The praise of the British Covernment by Muriza Sahilo crossed the limits of even flattery and syrophancy. It is certain to raise doubts in the minds of the public that either he was playing the role assigned to him by that Government by comment to cause distintegration corrected all server on the was after good controlled to the controlled of th

The argument that other Ulema had given similar verdict does not fit in because it is not a stray opinion or stray verdict in favour of the Government but a continuous process of freeding the bait.

It is difficult to treat it as an accident that Mirza Sahib, a claimant of being a Mujaddid, the promised Messiah and Mehdl and a Prophet extolled the British Government and in Iran near about the close of the 13th century and after, Mirza All Muhammad Bah, founder of the Bab religion and Hussaln All (Bahauliah founder of the Babia religion) had eulogized the Russians. In addition bahauliah had evolided the English Government also and both of them had abrogated jehad. Bahauliah in fact Sahib.

At the end of the discussion on this point it would be pertinent to cite the views and reasoning of Allama Muhammad lobal.—

"Does the idea of Caliphate in Islam embody a religious institution? How are the Indian Muslims, and for the matter of that all Muslims outside the Turkish Empire, related to the Turkish Caliphate? Is India Dar-ul-Harb or Dar-ul-Islam? What is the real meaning of the doctrine of Jehad in Islam? What is the meaning of the expression From amonest you" in

the Quranic verse : Obey God, obey the Prophet and the masters of the affair, i.e. rulers, from amongst you? What is the character of the Traditions of the you? What is the character of the Iraumons or the Prophet forcetelling the advent of Innam Mehdl: These questions and some others which arose subsequently were, for obvious reasons, questions for Indian Muslims only. European imperialism, however, which was then rapidly penetrating the world of Islam, was also intimately interested in them. The controversies which these questions created form a most interesting chapter In the history of Islam in India. The story is a long one and is still waiting for a powerful pen.

Muslim politicians whose eyes were mainly fixed on
the realities of the situation succeeded in winning over a section of the Ulama to adopt a line of theological argument which as they thought suited the situation; but it was not easy to conquer by mere logic the beliefs which had ruled for centuries the conscience of the masses of Islam in India. In such a situation logic can either proceed on the ground of political expediency or on the lines of a fresh orientation of texts and traditions. In either case the argument will fail to appeal to the masses. To the intensity religious masses of Islam only one thing can make a conclusive appeal, and that is Divine Authority. For an effective eradication of orthodox basis for a politically suitable orientation of theological doctrines involved in the questions mentioned above. This revelational basis is provided by Ahmadism. And the Ahmadis themselves claim this to be the great service rendered by them to

He summed up at page 31 :

"As I have explained above, the function of Ahmadism in the history of Muslim religious thought is to furnish a revelational basis for India's' present political subjugation." One of the petitioners. Mr. Mujeebur Rehman, who argued the case gave the following fomulations for his arguments:

- (1) Scope and extent of Article 203-D.
 - (2) The principles of understanding the Ouran.

(3) The spirit of the Ouran-

- (4) The scope of the right to profess and practise the religion.
- (5) The right to propagate one's religion.
- (6) The effect of the various covenents between the Quadianis and Muslims before and at the time of creation of Pakistan which ensures for them complete freedom of 'religion including the right to propagate it.
 Mr. Muicebur Rehman argued upon the scope of
- Article 203- D'in relation to the limitations on the power of the State and the authority conferred upon the Federal Shariat Court. He submitted that according to Quran and the Sunnah there is no obedience to any order involving commission of sin or disobedience of Allah and His Prophet. This is based on the famous tradition منافعة المنافعة ا
- Ehkam, vol. 2, pages 1057, 1058 and 1078) and similar other traditions. Relying upon Q. 4:59

Q.: 4: 59 O ye who believel Obey Allah, and obey the messenger and those of you who are in authority; and if ye have a dispute concerning any matter, refer it to Allah and the messenger if ye are (in truth) believers in Allah and the Last Day. That is better and more seemly in the end. he argoad that the verse refers to the dispute between the unler and the ruled. He submitted that by the expression Ulul Amr in the verse are meant only the persons in subtority and not the Ulema or any other religious scholar as held by some of the scholars. He further submitted that the wisdom in Article 283-D is that ih has been entored for the wisdom in Article 283-D is that ih has been entored to the submitted of the scholars. He will be the submitted that and to others including the State. For the first proposition he cited from several board.

For the second point he particularly drew the attention of the Court to the view in Tarjmaeul Quran Vol. I, page 98, that there should be an institution for deciding the dispute referred to in the order (O. 4:59)

"قان تنازعتم في شتى فردوه الى الله والرسول"

(and if you have a dispute concerning any matter; refer it to Allah and the Messenger), and argued that this Court is such an Institution.

It is not necessary to cite from any book on the interpretation of Ulul Amr or to discuss this point since the point raised is unexceptionable and has been held so by this court in case No. S.P.-K.2 of 1982. It was held that by Ulul Amar are meant the persons in authority including the Leeiled "in. Executive and the Indiclary in the State.

It is laid down in Article 203-D of the Constitution that the function of this Court is to eliminate the discrepancy and repuganace with the Quera 'and the Sunnain of the Holy Prophet & Eron any law over which the Court's jurisdiction extends. It, therefore, appears to be correct that to the extent of its constitutional jurisdiction the Court is an Institution as contemplated in Taylamand Queran, Vol. 1, page 58, which can implicate the Court is an Institution as contemplated in Taylamand Queran, Vol. 1, page 58, which can implicate the Court and the Sunnain of the Holy Prophet \$\frac{3}{2}\tau\$. There is hardly any cavil wish this argument of Mr. Multibur Rohman.

The argument that there is no obedience in sin is also unexceptional-tie. This Court has already dealt in detail with this point as well as the scope of legislative power of a Muslim State in the recent judgments on the Press and Publications Ordinance, 1963 (Ordinance XXX of 1963) and the Civil Servants Acts of the Punjab, Sind, NWFP and Baluchistan.

On the second point he argued that what has been declared by the Quran and the Sunnah as lawful cannot be made unlawful by the State Authorities and for this one must look at the specific nass (verse). He laid stress on the necessity of ignoring Taglesed (verse).

This in effect is an indirect challenge to the right of the Parliament to declare the Quadianis non-Muslims. The short answer to this point is that as stated by Allama Muhammad [qbal, this is a legal question. The Parliament, the Law making authority, there fore, acted within its authority in making the declaration in Article 260 of the Constitution. Allama Muhammad [qbal said]

"...... the question whether a person or community has ceased to be a member of Islam is, from the point of view, purely legal question and must be decided in view of the structural principle of Islam."

A similar argument as mentioned above was also addressed by Sh. Chika Muhammad, Counsel for the Federal Government. This Court has already decided this point and the scope of its jurisdiction while examining the Provincial Civil Servants Acts. It was held that the Court and the Sunnah. The Court can while examining the vires of any jaw go into the principles talk down by the Quran and the Sunnah. The Court also held in the case of Muhammad fax etc. Versus Federal Government etc. PLD 1908 15C I. Alta and the Sunnah. The Court also held in the case of Muhammad Kaze etc. Versus Federal Government etc. PLD 1908 15C I. Alta was not bound by the destrine of help fed. The Court also held in the case of Muhammad Muhammad and the Sunnah. The Court also held in the case of Muhammad Muhammad and the Court and the Court of t

Mr. Mujibur Rehman then dealtwith the principles of understanding the Quran. He submitted that the first principle is that the Quran be interpreted in the light of Quran itself since it deals with each subject matter in different ways. The object of repetition is to engrave the subject matter on human memory. Sometimes the subject matter has been treated shortly at one place and elaborated at another.

He referred to Ouranic verses:

'Thus do We display Our revelations that they may say (unto thee Muhammad): "Thou has studied", and that We may make (it) clear for people who have knowledge'.

O. 17:89

الا كفوراً ' 'And verlly We have displayed for mankind in this Ouran all kinds of similitudes, but most of mankind

refuse aught save disbelief. O. 17:41

'We verily have displayed (our warnings) in this Quran that they may take heed, but it increaseth them in naught save aversion'.

O. 18:54

anything contention."

'And verily We have displayed for mankind in this Ouran all manner of similitudes, but man more than

There is no dispute with these principles. During the course of argument Mr. Mujibur Rehman had been drawing

our attention to various verses of the Holy Quran which according to him are not controlled by the reason for revelation and have to be treated as general in scope.

The second principle which he submitted, is that in order to understand a verse it is necessary to find out the reason for its revelation. This is helpful in the understanding of a verse through its meanings are not limited or particularised by the reason of revelation. The generality in the ecope of its applicability is not cut down. It includes guiding principles applicable till the day of painting the principles applicable till the day of painting the principles applicable till the day of

Holy Prophet & if there is no guidance in the Quran. The last principle is that in case no light is thrown by Sunnah the next source to seek guidance for interpretation is the Asi'ar (what the Companions of the Holy Prophet & Said). He urged the spirit of the Quran shall be properly understood and kept in view. On the fourth point which includes freedom of bellef

The third principle is to consult the Sunnah of the

On the fourth point which includes freedom of belief and right to practise one's religiou, Mr. Mujibur Rehman submitted that a few questions arise in this connection:—

- (1) Does Islam entitle or allow a non-Muslim to
 - declare the unity of Allah?

 (2) Does Islam entitle and allow a non-Muslim to acknowledge the Holy Prophet (\$10 as truthful in
- acknowledge the Holy Prophet (as truthful in his claim? (3) Does Islam entitle non-Muslim to acknowledge the
- Quran as, furnishing a good Nizam-e-Hayat (نشام حبات) i.e., way of life and to treat it as worthy of obedience ?
- (4) Is this permissible or not for a non-Muslim to act upon the Injunctions of the Holy Ouran if he so likes?
- (5) If the answer be in the negative where is the Injunction in the Quran and the Sunnah in support of the negation?

(6) What course of action does the Quran propose or provide for a person who is not considered Muslim nor has any right to be so considered by believers, in the truthfulness of Quran in the Prophethood of Muhammad Rasoolullah (27) and the noneess of Allah.

Relying upon verses Q. 2:256, Q. 8:29, Q. 10:99, Q. 10:108, Q. 26:3, Q. 90:10, Q. 91:8, Q. 91:9, Q. 91:10 and commentaries of renowned commentators he summed up that according to the Injunctions of Islam.

- (a) there should be no compulsion for accepting religion;
- (b) there should be no restraint against voluntary conversion to it;
- (c) no one may be turned out of his religion by use of force; and

 (d) no one who does not want to stick to his religion should be storged from forsaking it.

He also referred to verses. —

O. 16:106

"من كفر بالله من بعد إعانه الا من اكره و قلبه مطمئن بالايمسان ولكن من شرح بالكفر صدراً فعليهم غضب من الله و فسسم عسةاب منا "

Whoso disbelieveth in Allah after his belief - save him who is forced thereto and whose heart is still content with Faith - but whoso findeth case in disbelief : on them is wrath from Allah. Theirs will be an awful doom!

Q. 4:19

"يابها الذين آمنوا لا يحل لكم ان ترشيوا النسياء كرهيا ، ولا تعطله هن ليذهبوا بعض ما أتتبه هن الا ان بأتن نفاحشية مبنية ، وعاشروهن بالمعروف؛ فان كرهتموهن قعسى ان تكرهوا شيئا ويجعل الله فدة خداً كنداً"

O ye who believel It is not lawful for you forcibly to inherit the women (of your deceased kinsmen), nor (that) ye should put constraint upon them that ye may take away a part of that which ye have given them, unless they be guilty of flagrant lewdness. But consort with them in kindness, for if ye hate them it may happen that ye hate a thing wherein Allah hath

Q. 2:256

placed much good'.

"لا اكراه في الدين ؛ قد تبين الرشد من الغسسي ؛ فمسن يكفسر

بالطاغوت و يومن بالله فقد استمسك بالعروة الوتقى ٬ لا القصام لها ٬

الله سميع عليم"
There is no compulsion in religion. The right direction is henceforth distinct from error. And he who rejecteth false delites and believeth in Allah

hath grasped a firm handhold which will never break. Allah is Hearer. Knower'.

Q. 6:107

ولو شاء الله ما اشركوا ؛ وما جعلنك عليهم حفيظاً ، وما انت

عنيهم بوكيل'

'Had Allah willed, they had not been idolatrous. We have not set thee as a keeper over them, nor art thou responsible for them.'

Q. 10:99

"ولو شاء ربك لآ من من في الارض كلهم جميعاً • افانت تكــــــره

الناس حتى يكونوا مومنين"

"And if thy Lord willed, all who are in the earth would have believed together. Wouldst thou (Muhammad) compel men until they are believers?

O. 10:108

"قل باابها الناس قد جاء كم الحق من ربكم " فمن اهتدى فاتمسا

بهندي لنفسه ومن ضل فاغا يضل عليها وما انا علك له كما " 'Say : O mankind! Now hath the Truth from your Lord come unto vou. So whosnever is guided, is guided only for (the good of) his soul, and whosoever erreth. erreth only against it. And I am not a warder over you'.

Q. 26:3

"لعلك باخع نفسك الا يكونوا موهدي"

'It may be that thou tormentest thyself (O Muhammad) because they believe not'.

O. 26:4

"ان نشا نسول عليهم من السمآء آية فظلت اعتاقهم ما خاضعين"

'If we will. We can send down on them from the sky a portent so that their necks would remain bowed hefore it'.

O. 90:10

"و هدينه التجدين"

'And guide him to the parting of the mountain ways'. 0.91:9

"قد افلح من ذكها"

'He is indeed successful who causeth it to grow'.

O. 91:10

"ه قد خاب من دسها"

'And he is indeed a failure who stunteth it'. O. 18:29

"و قال الحق من ويكم فمن شاء فليومن ومن شأء فليكفي إنها اعتدنا للظلمين نارا احاط فيم سرادقها ، وان يستغيثه ا يغسساله ا بمسأء

كالمهل مشوى الوجود ، يتمن الشداب ، وسآوت مرتفقاً "

'Say: (it is) the truth from the Lord of your (all). Then whosoever will, let him believe, and whosoever will, let him disbelieve. Lo 'We have prepared for disbelievers Fire. Its tent encloseth them. If they ask for showers, they will be showered with water like the molten lead which burneth the faces. Calamitous the drink and ill the resting place.'

Verses Q. 109: 4, Q. 109: 5 and Q. 109: 6 clinch this matter and leave everyone to his religion. It is as follows:-O. 109: 4

'And 1 shall not worship that which ye worship'.

Q. 109:5

'Nor will ye worship that which I worship.

Q. 109 : 6

'Unto you your religion, and unto me my religion'.

Commenting on the verse Q. 10: 100 Syed Quish vote: "It is said that if Allah whished to compel all mankind. He would have done so and have left no one with a discretion to the contrary. But the divine wisdom some of good or commit mischieft to be guided or remain insiguided. Belief is a matter based on discretion. Even the Holy Prophet to a compel anyone to accept it because there is no scope for compulsion in meters concerning heart (~3) or conscience (Fi-Zilai-ii-Quran, part 11, page 188).

The commentary known as Tafseer-e-Ruhul Beyan by Ismail Haqqi (Vol. 4, page 84) is to the same effect. It is stated that it is not within the wisdom of Allah to base the creation of mankind on the principle that everyone should be a believer. The divine principle is that a person may believe or may not believe according to his own liking. It is stated further that when Allah found that His Prophet 65° whished that all persons should believe. He revealed this verse and suspended the belief of his Prophet they cover and suspended the belief of his Prophet they cover and the state of his Prophet they cover and the state of his Prophet they cover and the state of the his Prophet they cover and the state of the state of his Prophet they cover and the state of the state of his Prophet they cover and the state of the sta

The commentary refers to the view of Al-Kashift that this verse was abrogated by the verse about Jehad, but this verse was abrogated by the verse about Jehad, but added that the correct position is that it is not abrogated because the computation in matter of falth is not correct as a this is a matter pertaining to heart. Also see Madariku-I Tanzeel, Vol. 2, page 38. Al-Mar'a part 11, page 483-484, Ma'ariful Quran, Vol. 4, page 597, Tafseerul Maraghi, part 11, page 158.

The words برا كيا يعلق عبد أو با التن علهم جرياً أو با التن علهم برا كيل) (we have not then as a keeper over them, nor art thou responsible for them) in Q. 6-1109 have been similarly interpreted (See Tafseeral Maraght, part 7, page 211, Ruhul Bayan, Vol. 3, page 4. Apage 48. Al-Man'ar, Vol. 7, pages 631-532, P-Ellal-li-Quran, part 7, pages 303-54, Pages 401-53. Tafseeral (Abbrib by Razl, part 2, page 103).

In Al-Man'ar the functions of a Vakee to keeper are stated and it is said that the Holy Prophet 65 was sent by Allah to acquaint the people or teach them the religions give good news to them or inform them about adverse consequences if they do not believe and thus establish the religion of Allah. These are the functions of the Prophet but he is not a keeper over them from the Creator. He was not empowered to interfere with his people to the extent of using compulsion in respect of belief. According to Fi-Chilar follows:

All commentators have dealt with the principle of lkrah or compulsion in religion. See Al-Mughni, part, 8, page 243, Tafseere- Baizawai, Vol. 1, page 362, Madails-uil-Tanzeel, part 1, page 170, Ft-Zilal-il-Quran, part 3, pages 26—28, Al-Maraghi, part 3, page 16, Al-Man'ar, page 3, page 36, Al-Maraghi, part 13, page 53, Al-Man'ar, part 9, page 665, Tarjmanul Quran, Vol. 1, page 267, Tafhcemul Quran, Vol. 1, page 196, Rubull Ma'ani, Vol. 3, pages 12-13.

According to A.Mughani one view is that mere threat may amount to kizh. According to A.Man'ar, Vol. 3, pag-16, belief is the real religion. It is obtainable by satisfaction of mind. It is not possible that satisfaction of mind may be obtained by compulsion. The only course for achieving is that of assuments and reasons.

The important point (see Al-Man'ar, Vol. 9, page 665) is that it is not permissible to compel a person to give up his belief. The right not to be compelled is treated a fundamental right. (Fi-Zillai-il-Quran, Vol. 3, pages 26-28).

Reliance was placed for interpretation of Q. 18:29 on Al-Maraghi, part 15, page 143. Fi-Zilai-Ii-Quran, part 15, page 95, Tafsecrul Mazalhir, Vol. 6, page 10, Tafseemul Quran, Vol. 3, page 23. It is clear from this verse that it gives an option to each man to accept a bellef or not

The sum and substance of all the arguments based on these verses is that there is no compulsion in matter of religion and this is not the scheme of Allah that all persons should believe. The Holy Prophet of was sent only for the nurnose of making His message known : it was never intended that he should compel people to accept Islam. There is nothing in the Quran and the Sunnah which may permit placing of restrictions upon non-believers against believing in the unity of God, the truthfulness of the message and reason of the Holy Prophet to the message of the Ouran or making the Ouran their grand norm. Similarly it is not lawful to turn a person by force out of the religion he wishes to stick to. He added that the Ordinance amounts to turning the Quadianis by force out of the religion of Islam to which they would like to stick. In this connection the meaning of the word Ikrah was also commented upon that it is not restricted to use of force only but extends to creating conditions under which it may not be conducive to profess or practise one's religion.

The first four questions posed by Mr. Mujibur Rehman have to be answered in the affirmative. There is no bar-Constitutional, legal or Sharil against the right of a mon-Muslim to declare the unity of Allah, to acknowledge the Holy Pophet Alie as reutful in his claim. In addition, the state of the state o

The four principles formulated by Mr. Mullbur Rehman in regard to Utabri (e.g., Compulsion) and oursexprinable but the application of the third principle as done by Mr. Mullbur Rehman is not correct. The did principle is that no one may be turned out of his religion by we of force. He adds to this in the written asymments "as we have been turned out". There is nothing in the third principles of the did not be the total out from their religion.

It was argued that to restrain the Ahmadis from culting themselves Mutillans or poing a such amounts to turning them out of their religion which according to them is Islam. We have already considered this question and have reached the conclusion that the ram-Musilian. The Ordinance, therefore, restrains them from calling themselves what they are not since they cannot be allowed to decrive anybody specially the Musilian Ummah by passing off as Muslims. It has already been noticed that Muriar Sahiba and the Quadmin sides than belonging to the Muriar Sahiba and the Quadmin sides than belonging to the standard of the Control of the Control of the Control with the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control calling them non-Muslims and Deynod the pale of Islam dby substituting them as the Muslim Ummah for a community in which love and reverence of the Quran is supreme. This cannot be tolerated and non-Musilins cannot be allowed to entreasch upon the rights and privileges of Lumnah. Moreover this does not affect the rights of the Quadiants to profess their faith in Mirza Sahib whether as Prophet or as Awigaddi, Promised Mehal or Promised Messiah nor does it interfere with their right to practise their religion or to worship in their place of worship whether their profess the supplementation of the worship in their place of worship to practise

The Muslim Sharia affords full protection to the practice of religion by the non-Muslim as well as to its profession. This finds support from the Verses of the Itoly Quran reproduced above and the interpretation of the same by the commentators. It is for this reason that the Itoly Pophet M² and his worthy successors agreed to the best terms Inter-alia in connection with the freedom of religion to the Polythesis and non-Muslims whether at war with

The first step in this direction which was taken by the Prophet Me was the written Covernant with the Jews. Christians and other non-Muslims of Median. The first Article of this Coverant establishes in the language of Dr. Muhammad Hamidullah that "all those who were parties to the agreement were considered to be as one Umunh (community)." This was clearly an attempt to make a political nation which could assist Muslims as well as non-political nation which could assist Muslims as well as non-

In Article 26 of the Covenant is stated that the Jews CBani Auf are an Umanh with the Musliam which hears that they formed a pollitical unit on the basis of pollitical alliance. The parties to the agreement who consisted inter-als of Muslim Umanh agreed by the Covenant to be moutded into pollitical Umanh which was given the name of $(\omega_i \omega_i)$ and $(\omega_i \omega_i)$ and $(\omega_i \omega_i)$ and $(\omega_i \omega_i)$ are applied of the following pollitical entity as against other). Article 1 and $(\omega_i \omega_i)$ are $(\omega_i \omega_i)$ and $(\omega_i \omega_i)$ are applied of the following pollitical entity as against other).

(Article 26).

After the formation of رئيسل من دون السياء المنابعة (خطحتا من المنابعة) أما وبعد المحتابة المنابعة (فحدتاله المنابعة ال

A A-Haroon Albranksh by Umar Abunasas (Unda Inasiation by Shaikh Mukhammad Ahmad Pani Pati at pages 279-279). It is stated that in the time of Haroon-valued that the state of the state of the product or intolerance hashed their is not one example of prejudice or intolerance permission to construct Churches to worship in them and it take out the procession of the Costs. The Jews had complete right to worship in their Synagogues. Fire worshippers could keep their fire burning without any restriction on the Industry to the Costs of the

In his book Tathhk Al-Tanaddan Alalami, Indiada Editor of Al-Hilla of Egyp writes (Vol. 3 page 1941 that one of the reasons of the hurried progress of the Mussalmans in the educational field was that the Caliphs of Islam had great regard for the Scholars of each nation never though shout their religion, lineage or reac. Among them were people belonging to every religion i.e., Christian, Jews, Sabans, Samaritans and fire-worshippers. The Caliphs treated them with utmost respect and regard. The consolution had the same feedom and status which the consolution had the same feedom and status which

At page 282 is given an example of the treatment of theronur Rashid and the tolerance shown by him towards the Christians. It is stated that 'this tolerance was so strong that once being desperate of the successive breaches of promises of the Roman Caser and the depredations at the border, lie asked the Chief Justice. Imam Abu Yousaf, why the Churches of the Christians in the Islamic realm were

protected and who allowed them to take out processions of the Cross in the cities. Iman Abu Yousaf daringly replied that during the reign of Hazzat Umar after conquest of Roman Peroinces, it was given in writing to the Christians that their Churches shall be protected and they had full right to practice their religion and to take out the Cross. Now it was not within the power of any one to abrogate this order.

It is well-known that Hazzat Umar refused to distribute the conquered land in possession of the Zimmis, (protected subjects) among the Mualim conquerors, notwithstanding their demand to the contrary. The covenant of aments yignen by Mazzat Umar to the residents of the Baitual Magdas is a historical document, the relevant portions of which are as follows:

This ammesty is granted by Anirul Momineen, the slave of Allah to the people of Elia (4/c). This ammesty covers their lives, property. Church. Cross, the healthy and the sick and all people of their religion. Their Churches shall not be instabiled nor shall be be diminished. There will be no computation on them in the matter of religion. (Tatikhe Tabel Vol. II Urdu translation by Syed Mushammad Brahim page 501; Covenant 307 pages 306, 305 of Siait Wasiqa Jat by Dr. Wannal Vol. II Espe 1499.

Huzaifa Bin-Ilyaman gave a writing to the people of Madinar that their religion will not be changed and there will be no interference in their religious matters. (Tarikhe Tabri pase 155).

On the occasion of the conquest of Jarjan it was stipulated in a contract that amnesty was given to their lives, property, religion and none of these things shall be changed (ibid page 155).

In the amnesty granted by the Holy Prophet to the residents of Magna, Hunain and Khyber it is stated that he

had come to know through a divine revelation that these three groups had returned to their houses. Let them return. "There is amnesty for them from Allah and his Prophet &. Not only there is amnesty for your lives but also your religion, property, slave and everything that you own. In all these things you are under the protection of Allah and His Prophet ... Besides these the following other concessions are granted to thom:

1.	Exemption	from payment of Jazia.	

4. Exemption from forced labour.

5. Exemption from participating in Military

6. Exemption from forcing them to vacate their houses for Military exigencies,

7 to 8. 9. Allowed to go out armed.

Manoeuvers.

10. You can fight anyone who attacks you and in such light you will not be forced to pay the Divat or be subject to retaliation for the murder of your enemy.

18. There will be no restriction on your taking your dead hodies.

19. It is incumbent upon the family of the Prophet and all the Muslims to have full regard for your nobles

20 to 21

22. It is not permissible in Islam to force a man to

hecome a Muslim.

(Siasi Wasiqa Jat Covenant 34 pages 59 to 62).

Covenant No. 94 (fibid pages 96 to 98) is a covenant between the Prophet Me and the Christians of Nijran. It contains most liberal conditions. The relevant conditions about religion are in Articles 88 and 9. The Prophet Me made himself responsible for the freedom of their religion and for their soothsayers and religious leaders who lived in seclusion.

The covenant with Zald bin Haris and other Christians of his community provided inter-site for the complete freedom in matters of belief and practice of religion which was undertaken by the Holy Prophet (% (Article 8) and "the protection of their Churches, places of Holy Christians or in dark caves or whether they are surrounded by populous places or an estimated in the valleys or deserts." (Covenant No. 95 ibld page 1909. "No Christian can be compelled to become a Mustim" (Article 42) and discourse they should be treated well' (Article 24) and the compelled of the compelled of the compelled with the compelled to become a Mustim" (Article 42) and the compelled to become a Mustim" (Article 42) and the compelled to become a Mustim" (Article 42) and the compelled to become a Mustim" (Article 42) and the compelled to become a Mustim" (Article 42) and the compelled to be compelled to be

The Order of the Prophet \widetilde{R}'' for the relatives of or Salman Farsi, who were fire-worshippers (thid) page 331), granted similarly full protection in respect of their religion, of Article 8). The restoration of their places of worship, their income and the freedom of their expansion and development (Article 4) tible Japages 334 and 335). "If a Article 4 tible Japages 334 and 335). "If a Department of the William is the wife of a Muslim she should be free to the Vision and to consult her religious and to consult her religious scholars."

on matter (concerning religion). Whoever restrains his Christian wife from the practice of her religion is an opponent of this covenant from Allah and his Prophet and he is also a liar" (Atticle 35).

During his Caliphate Hazzat Umar gave a new annesty to the people of Najran. He maintained all the facilities and concessions given to them by the Holy Prophet and gave them some additional specific concessions about the protection inter-alia of the. manner of their worship, of their clergymen and hermits (Covenant No. 98 Ibid 114, 115).

Sections 208 and 209 of the Muslim Conduct of State by Dr. Muhammad Hamidullah are as follows:— "(208) The famous compendium of Hanafite law. viz.

al - bahr ur-Raiq, is explicit that the graveyards of non-Muslims should be respected as much as thought of Muslims; and just as their life, property and honour are respected in their life, a lash other hones the their death. (209) Both Abu Hanifah and Ash-Shaff'iy agree that if non-Muslims wish to study the language that in non-Muslims wish to study the language that life and the prophet, or the Muslim law (fight), they cannot be prevented from that:

In section 200 of the book it is stated:

"Muslim law has maint-ained a considerable distinction between Muslim, and non-Muslim subjects. In many respects the latter are better off. They are exempt from the surplus property tax (Zakat) which all the Muslims male or female, young or old, pay every year at the rate of "2-12/5" on their savings, above the minimum of Dirhams (or about 1.2 — 10). They are also exempt from conception, whereas all Muslims are subject to compationly military service. They enjoy a sort of autoromy, concentance with their presonal law. Their life and property is protected by the Muslim State even as those of the Muslim State even as those of the Muslim subjects.

In Tareekh-i-Afkar-i-Siyasat (تاريخ افكار سيات Abdul Waheed Khan writes at page 181 about the religious tolerance of Muslims;—

Almost in every age religious tolerance has been a distinctive feature of the Muslim State. There are instances when some times religions restrictions on the Muslims were imposed by the Coorement and many a time Muslims had to suffer desperately when they were made to account for their niglious beliefs (which may be in variance with the belief of the monarch). But the history is unable to furnish any example of the equality of treatment afforded to and example of the equality of treatment afforded to and wall of the contract of the cont

He writes that in Islamic States

He writes that in Islamic States there was complete religious liberty and members of different religious used to practise their religion in their own manners (according to their conscience). It was the duty of the Government to protect their places of worship, Some instances of their places of worship, Some instances of their places of worship, Some instances of the some of Mutavarquid Islah but one reason for it was that that the time non-Muslims had started conspiring against the exhibilisted Government, and suck conspiracies were held in their places of worship. It was for this reason that their unwernents had to be restricted and their dresses had to be prescribed by the Government, Otherwholds person and was assumed or full include their places of religious tolerance.

He further writes that Abbasi Government went to far neligious tolerance that the followers of Masani who could not have any asylum in Iran although it was their more country (homeland), were permitted up propagate their , leves and Christian Missionaries used to propagate their preligion in Islanic Countries without any restriction. During the Rule of Basu Ummayya the non-Muslims were appointed to high offices of the State but during the period

Minister. The Prime Minister of Mohtashim i.e., Fazal bin Marwan was a Christian and during his tenure the entire management of Baitul Hikmat in which the books of different subjects were translated was in the hands of non-Muslims. The importance obtained by Jibrall family in the Court of Banu Abbas is a famous historical event.

Abdul Rahin in Muhammadan Jurisprudence (reprint 1883) refers at page 231 to a tradition of the Holy Prophet from Raddul Mukhlar, (Vol. III. page 318-20) Easev alone the non-Mussilis and whatever they believe in: 1 land Muhammadan Law will abstain from interfering with a morphism of the second of the second of the second of the polition.......the law will also uphold the sale of vine by a non-Muslim, and will hold a person who destroys it liable to damage. Similarly, according to him the law will table or the second of the second of the second of the second of the within the prohibited degrees of relationship as recknord in which is the prohibited degrees of relationship as recknord in the spanish thin for her maintenance."

In his book 'Islami Riyasat' Maulana Maudoodi Stated that:

"Zimmis are of two types. Tirelly those who while achieving the guarantee from the Maslim Site entered into a contract with 1 and secondly those who obtained the guarantee without such contract. The first type of Zimmis will be governed by the terms of the contract. So far as the second kind of Zimmis is concerned. It is dearly implied that of Zimmis is concerned. It is dearly implied that the same manner as we protect our own lives, property or honour. The price of their blood will be the same as the price of the blood of Muslim. They will have prefect liberty to profess and practise their religion. Their pieces of weakly believe their religion. Their pieces of weakly believe the immune. They will not make the price of the first pieces of meaning their religion conditions are the contract of the religion of the profess of the profess

It is clear from the Verses of the Holy Quran, the conclust of the Holy Prophet and this successors and the conduct of the other Muslim Calphi in history that the nontraction of the contraction of the Contraction of the Contraction on the emprovided by the Colonialists to their subjects in some countries till recently. In fact, such rights have not been provided by many states to their citizens. In respect of practising and professing off their religion the non-Muslims enjoyed full records and the right to profess and practice the enjoyed full records must the right to profess and practice the

Islam teaches absolute tolerance in matters of religion and leaves it to the conscience of a man to accept the religion of Islam. No compation in this respect is allowed to the teacher of Islam. No compation in this respect is allowed the Islay Prophet of Sevan not empowered to instructive with his belief except that his function was to take the message to him and explain the same, and give good news of paradlas if he believed and to give bad news of hell if he debedieved, the tast is his function in his capacity as debedieved, the Last is his function in his capacity as

All these arguments are however hardly relevant since the impugned Law does not force the Quadianis to change their belief and to be converted to Islam.

Excel with this situation Mr. Mujechur Rehman complained that the Quadiants are restrained from professing Islam as their religion and they have been deprived of the right to call fava which is a part better religion and to call their places of worship as Maşille. Mut between a matter overwell by the principle of Ikrah or force or threat to which there exceed put the correct places of the control to blaim from exceeding the control of the correct places apply. The cursus apply to discretize the control or to blaim from the control of the cursus apply to the cursus apply the cursus app

Mr. Mujeebur Rehman discussed the binding nature of Covenants according to the Holy Quran and the Sunnah. It is not necessary to deal with these arguments since the Injunctions of الموالية الطبق (Iulifity) (I

(fulfil your covenant) leave no doubt about the correctness

of this proposition. The best instance of this is of the treasy of Huddiblys in which one of the conditions agreed upon by both parties was that if any Muslim who was with the polytheists of Makkah went without their permission to the Muslims, he would be returned to the Makkans. There were instances in which Muslims who were milreated and tortured by the Makkans ecoped and reached Madita but they were ordered by the Holy Prophet to return because of

Mr. Mujeebur Rehman argued that at the time of the stabilishment of Pakistan three was virtually a Covenant between Quaid+-i-Azam and the Ahmadis and the delaration of Quaid+-Azam about the complete equality in Pakistan of Muslim and non-Muslims and their freedom amounted to an implied contactor warranty, which were included or implied in different Constitutions of the Country upto 1973. The Constitutions guaranteed the right of all citizens of Pakistan to profess practise and propagate their religious and upto 1974 they did not declare the

No covenant between the Quadlants and Quaid-a-Azam was known to us that they shall be treated as Muslims nor this question arose at the time of establishment of Paksian or during the line fitten of Quadla-Azam. No radiance can be placed to the time of Quad-Azam. So radiance can be placed to the time of Quad-Azam. So radiance with the can be placed to the can Canadians were declared non-Muslims by a Constitutional amendment which was unanimously passed and which was the result of series of agitations by Muslims. It declared the Omadianis non-Muslims.

In order to understand the necessity for the consider the effect of Odinance it would be necessary to consider the effect of the Constitutional amendment of 1974 by which the Quadianis were declared non-Muslims. The view put forth with veherence by Mr. Mujibur Rehman was that the Constitution merely declared the Quadianis as non-Muslims but did not impose any liability unon them to

treat themselves as non-Muslims. We posed a question to him whether the Constitution was binding, upon the Quadiani citizens of Pakistan or not. He agreed that it was binding on them. It would follow from this concession that the Quadianis are bound by the declaration that according to the Constitution and the law they are non-Muslims. They can be candidates in elections to the National and the Provincial Assemblies for seats reserved for non-Muslims. In statis involving question of their faith they must call the provincial discontinuous productions. It is also that the provincial seater than the provincial discontinuous productions are the provincial discontinuous provincial continuous provinci

Article 200 (3) declares the Quadiania as non-Muslimot the purpose of the Constitution and the law. Article 20 guarantees to the citizens of Fakistan the right inter-aligie to profess their eligion. This Article is no doubt subject to fact conceded by Mr. Mujibur Rehman. Read with Article 2003 (3) of the Constitution, the above provision of Article 20 will mean that the Quadiania can profess that they believe in the unity of Allah andre the prophethoad of Mirza to the winty of Allah andre the prophethoad of Mirza the Constitution of the Article 20 will mean that the Quadiania can profess that they believe carried and the Article 20 will near the Article 20 will n

The whole difficulty in this case arose because of the conduct of the Quadianis that despite their colligation not to call themselves Musllms or their faith as Islam, they persisted in calling themselves Muslims and carrying on their propaganda and praching in the name of Islam. They should have refrained from directly or indirectly point as Muslims but they obstinately persevered in trying the patience of the Muslim Umanh by acting contrast.

One of the reasons for banning the use of epithets which are exclusive for the companions of the Prophet

his wives and the members of his family is that by their use the Quadianis indirectly pose as Muslims. The expressions Ummul Mumineen (mother of the Muslims) Ameer ul Momineen, Khalifatul Muslimeen, Khalifat ul Momineen (all denoting Head or Chief of the Muslim Ummah) include the words Momineen (Muslims) or Muslimeen which may deceive the people that the bearers of such names are or call themselves Muslims. The expression 'Razi allah anho' is used in the Quran as a form of blessing for the companions of the Holy Prophet or at most for the Muslims. The words 'Sahabi' and ahl-e-bait' are used by the Muslims for the companions and members of the family of the holy Prophet respectively ail of whom were the best of Muslims. The use of such terms in respect of the companions or members of the family of Mirza Sahib means that the Quadianis are posing as Muslims. The other point no doubt is that in the view of the Muslims the use of such sacred expressions by the Quadianis in respect of the wife. members of the family, companions and successors of Mirza Sahib amounts to defiling them.

Similarly calling of Azan and the naming of Masjid for the place of worship is considered as sure sign of the person calling 'Azan' or of the persons calling 'Azan' or of the persons congregating or praying in the mosque (Masjid) is being Muslims.

The provisions banning the use of these epithets and expressions is in implementation of the Constitutional provision and a consequence of the reiteration in this Ordinance of the principle that Quadianis cannot call themselves or pose to be Muslims in any manner directly or indirectly.

The ban on preaching of religion is motivated by similar considerations.

The Quadianis achieved some little success among members of the Muslim Ummah nainly in the Punjab because of their strategy of calling themselves Muslims and assuring them that acceptance of Ahmadism did not mean relinquishment of Islam or conversion from belief to

unbelled but gave them an option to become better Muslims. For this purpose they touch the usual chord of the educated Muslims distants for the intense sectorianism and educated Muslims distants for the intense sectorianism control of the control

We are in agreement with Professor Tahir ul Qadri that if the Quadianis had taken steps to implement Constitutional provisions the promulgation of this Ordinance might not have been required. This is one reason why the propagation of the religion had to be banned.

Another important reason was that the Quadianis by posing themselves as Muslims try to propagate their religion to every Muslim they come across. They outrage his feelings by calling Mirza Sahib a Prophet because every Muslim believes in the finality of prophethood of Muhammad . This creates a feeling of resentment and hostility among the Muslims which gives rise to law and order problem. His claim of being a Promised Messlah and Mehdi was also resented. This is not a mere claim. It would be clear from the history of Quadianism - in fact from the books of Mirza Sahib himself - that he had to face considerable hostility at the hands of not only the Ulema but also of the general body of Muslims. His writings are therefore couched in the most un-complementary and abusive language for his opponents. There were events when there were mass protests. See for instance Hayat Tayyiba by Abdul Qadir pages 121, 126, 140. Most of the writings of Mirza Sahib are full of imprecations and abuses for his opponents. He also mentioned the hostility of the Muslims generally to him. (See Hammamat

ul Bushra, page 33; Izala-i-Auham, page II). At page 35 of Hammamat ul Bushra, he wrote:

"It is this claim on which my people (non Ahmadi

"It is this claim on which my people (non Ahmadi Musilims) quartle with me and consider me apostate (——). They talked loudly and did not pay reverence to one who receives inspiration from Allah (——). They said that he is a renegade, illa and an imposter (——). But for their fear of the sword of the rulers they would have mudred me."

Some events caused such a tremor and shock that they were called earthquakes by the followers of Mizza Sahib. According to the enumeration of the compiler of Seerat-ul-Mehdi, there were five such earthquakes.

- (i) The first tremor which shook Ahmadism was the birth of a daughter in 1886 after the prediction by Mirza Sahlb about the birth of the promised son during the same pregnancy.

 (ii) The second tremor was caused by the death of the
- son who was born after the daughter.

 (iii) The third one which staggered the Muslims of India was the claim of being the Promised

Messiah and Mehdi.

- (iv) The fourth tremor was caused by the non fulfilment of the prediction about the death of
- (v) The fifth was the one caused by the death of Mirza Sahib (much before Molvdi Sanaullah and also of a fatal disease which was said to be Cholera, a death which according to the principle enunciated by Mirza Sahib was reserved for those who are forsaken by God and who invent lies against Him). Scerthul-Medt Mo. 113 assess 66 to 90.

by Mirza Sahib was reserved for mose who are forsaken by God and who invent lies against Him). (Secrt-ul-Mehdi, No. 113 pages 86 to 90). This enumeration is based on a prediction said to have been made by Mirza Sahib about five earthquakes. But if

each of these events be teated to be an earthquake within the meaning of that prediction, the enumeration is decidedly incomplete. The ridicule faced by Mirza Sahib the estimated of the ridicule faced by Mirza Sahib the estimated picture of much longer duration and of successive temors. Similarly the opposition and hostility faced by Mirza Sahib on alls claim of prophethood had been such that its intensity is unisminished till today. The first, that is intensity in sundiminished till today. The first, that is intensity in sundiminished till today. The first, and Hidwa Sahib the object of ridicule derision and banter for the Muslims. Christians of Hidwa Sahib. The claim of being the Promised and Hidwa Sahib. The claim of being the Promised manifestation of the Hody Prophet engendered lasting manifestation of the Hody Prophet engendered lasting the Muslim masset, religious scholars and intelligent silkeer Secretal-Medali, Vol. 1 pages 48 to 95 (Vol. 2 pages 48, error Secretal-Medali, Vol. 1 pages 48 to 95 (Vol. 2 pages 48,

This is a picture of the recurring extreme exasperations of the Muslims in his lifetime.

After the creation of Pakistan the imposition of

Martial law of 1953, the setting up of Martial law of 1953, the setting up of Martin of 1974 all power the constitutional Amendment of 1974 all power the extreme agitation chaptin tension and mortification of the Muslims. Section 298C of the Pakistan Penal Code prohibits the outraging, of the feelings of the Muslims which furnishes proof of the cretisenses and ange of the Muslims on matters ultimately prohibited by the Ordinance.

The expressions Ummul Momineen, Ahle-Bait, Sababi, Amerul Momineen, Khalifat-ul-Muniland Andifat-ul-Muniland Chalifat-ul-Muniland Chalifat-ul-Muniland Chalifat-ul-Muniland Chalifat-ul-Muniland Chalifat Chalifat

Holy Prophet A are used by the Quadianis in respect of the wife, family and companions of Mirza Sahib who were held non-Muslims. This has always been resented by the Muslims. It was for this reason that the Ordinance made the use of such expressions by Quadianis, a criminal of fence.

The expressions Usmashtal Monineers or Usmath Monineers and the word Aravajud Matshtarrat were used exclusively for the wives of the Prophet and this exclusive use has the sanction of the 1907 jurns behind it. In regard to the wives of the Prophet it is said in the Curan (0. 38: 4) and secondly the prohibition against marriage with any sife of the Prophet after him.

(O, wives of the Prophet if you commit any act of indiscretion its punishment in the hereafter will be double of the punishment of the others and this is very easy for Allah).

These two verses clearly establish that the wives of the Holy Prophet \$\tilde{\pi}\$ are not like other women. This is one reason why they have been given the name of Usmul Momineen or Azwajul Mutaharrat. This should also be kept in mind that the wives of the Holy Prophet \$\tilde{\pi}\$ were left without any inheritance on account of the dictural that Usmah inherits the Prophet of Aliba. Thus they were left without any income to support" them. They lived during his life time in a state of absolute penury. In spite of this if they had money or edible in their homes they would prefer to give it in charity to a needy than satisfy their own wants.

Once they made certain demands. Soon came the warning from God. He gave them the choice to live a hard life or be divorced on payment of worldly goods and money (Q. 33 : 28). They, however, opted for the blessed association of the Holy Prophet . Among these wives of the Holy Prophet there were some who had seen affluence because they belonged to rich families for example, Hazrat Sauda, Hazrat Safia, Hazrat Juwairya and Hazrat Umme Habiba. But they also preferred to live in a state of penury and want rather than leave the Holy Prophet W. It is impossible to compare these high personalities with any other woman and encroach upon their title for some other woman

The other expression of 'Ahle Bait' from the use of which the Quadianis have been stopped is in respect of the members of the family of the Holy Prophet . In Q. 11: 73 it is said (رحة الله ويركاته عليكم اهل البيت) (Allah's blessings be

upon you 'O members of the family'). It is said in Q. 33:33

(O, members of the family of the Prophet Allah wishes to remove from you all that is dirty and wants to cleans you with a thorough cleaning).

The object of these orders was to inform the family of the Prophet that they should remain away from all types of sins and disobedience and should maintain purity and cleanliness in matters of faith, action and manners.

It is clear from the Ouran that these were the qualities of the members of the family of the Holy Prophet &. otherwise, the son of Noah was not considered to be a member of his family because of his disobedience to the Injunctions of Allah, Verses 45 and 46 of Surah Hood (O. 11

: 45 46), read as follows:

و نادى نوح ربه فقال رب ان ايني من اهلى وان وعلك اخق وانت ... احكم الحاكمين . قال ينوح انه ليس من اهلك انه عمل غير صالح ، فسلا

تستلن ما ليس لك به علم ، ابن اعظك ان تكون من الجاهلين" Noah cried unto His Lord and said : My Lord! Lo! my son

is of my household! Surely Thy promise is the Truth and Thou art the Most Just of Judges. He said: Oh Noah! he is not of thy household! Lo! he is of evil conduct). The expression Ahle Bait' is also exclusive for the

The expression Ahle Bait" is also exclusive for the members of the family of the Holy Prophet as would be evident from several traditions.

Those persons who are not Muslims or who have not

been Muslims cannot be called by thiramme. The use of such name by the Quadianis for the members of the Justin Sahib is nothing but adding insult to injury in other person can have the same qualities as the member of the family of the Holy Prophet har possessed. It is not therefore, surprising that the Muslims resented this insult. The use of the expression tends to create law and order situation and consequently it was in the interest of the Ummah to prevent the Quadianis from the use of this name by making its use by them a criminal offence.

The expression 'Raziullah Ahno, (سُوسُ الله) means God Is well pleased with him. There is sufficient guidance in the Quran about those for whom this expression can be used. The following are the relevant Verses Q. 9: 100, Q. 48 :18 and Q. 58: 22: —

(O. 9:100)

خالدين فيها ابدأ ، ذلك الفوز العظيم " Q. 9 : 100 And the first to lead the way, of the MuhaJirin and the Ansar, and those who followed them in goodness-Allah is well pleased with them and they are well pleased with Him, and He hath made ready for them Gardens underneath which rivers flow, where in they will abide for ever: That is the Supreme triumph.

Q. 48:18

"لقد رضى الله عن المومنين اذ يبايعونك تحت الشجرة فعلم ما في قلوتهم فانزل السكينة عليهم واللهم فعحاً قريباً"

Q. 48: 18 Allah was well pleased with the believers when they swore allegiance unto thee beneath the tree, and He knew what was in their hearts, and He sent down peace of reassurance on them, and hath rewarded them with a near victory.

Q. 58:22

" الا ان حزب الله هم المقلحون"

Q. 58: 122 Thou will not find falk who believe in Allah and the Last Day lowing those who oppose Allah all till messenger, even though they be their father or their sons or their brethern or their clan. As for such, He hath written falth upon their hearts and hath strengthened them with a Spirit from Him, and he will bring them into Gardens underneath which rivers flow, wherein they will abide. Allah is well pleased with them, and they are well pleased with them, and they are well pleased with them, and they are well pleased with them.

It is clear from these verses that Allah gave this good news to either companions of the Holy Prophet (R) or to Momineen (believers). The expression "Raziulish Andro cannot be used for non-Muslims with whom Aliah entrot be pleased. The heretic and Kaffr has no share in this good to the pleased of the provider of the provider of the protable that is president in these circumstances it is not possible to lay down any such principle under which the heretic may also be able to use it. The established principle in Islams is that Aliah will not forgive the unbelievers in 1800 of 181 should be president to the proposed of the 1800 of 181 should be prevented to the proposed of the principle 1800 of 181 should be prevented to the proposed of the pro-

O. 9:80

الله هم * ذلك باقم كثروا بالله ورسوله والله لا يهدى القوم القاسقين" Q. 9: 80 Ask forgiveness for them (O Muhammad), or ask

not forgiveness for them though thou ask forgiveness for them seventy times, Allah will not forgive them. That is because they disbelieved in Allah and His messenger, and Allah guideth not wrongdoing folk.

"سواء عليهم استغفرت غم ام لم تستغفر غمو " لن يغفر الله غم "

ان الله لا يهدى القوم الفاسقين"

Q. 63: 6 Whether thou ask forgiveness for them or ask not forgiveness for them, Allah will not forgive them. Lo! Allah guideth not the evilliving folk.

Q. 9:114

suffering.

قلما تبين له انه عدوية تبرامنه ، ان ابراهيم لا واه حليم"

Q. 9: 134 The prayer of Abraham for the forgiveness of his father was only because of a promise he had promised him, but when it had become clear unto him that he (his father) was an enemy to Allah he (Abraham) disowned him. Lo! Abraham was soft of heart, longIt would be evident from these verses that those who are not to be excused cannot hope that Allah will be pleased with them.

Mr. Mujeebur Rehman showed us a number of books in regard to Sufis and other Muslims for whom this expression was used. But this cannot be helpful to him because as stated above it can be used for the believers. It was not denied that this expression was not used by non-Muslims. This is sufficient answer to his arguments.

The other disputed expression is 'Sahabi'. This word has admittedly been used for the companions of the Holy Prophet (W and not for non-Muslims. But the Quadianis used it for the companions of Mirza Sahib.

The meanings of this term were explained by Allama Sakhawi. "Abul Hussain writes in Motamad that 'Sahabi' is a person who has remained associated for long with the Holy Prophet (85" as a follower and acquired knowledge from him". (Path ul Mughes page 37)1.

Sahabi was therefore that fortunate person who had the good luck to associate with the Holy Prophet 657 as believer. (See Muslakhkhas Isaba, Vol. I, page 19 and Usd-ul Chaba Vol. I pages, 18 and Usd-ul Chaba Vol. I pages, 18 Poly No. 19 (19 A person who associates with one who is called a faise Prophet, cannot be called by that special and technical name.

It is worthwhile noticing that the Holy Prophet A

said "وعير القرون قرين ثم الذين بلوغم ثم الذين بلوغم"

The tradition mentions three generations who are known as Sababa, Tabacea and Taba Tabacea. From this tradition also it is evident that Sahabl was a person who had association with the Holy Prophet &, Tabacean were those persons who came after the companions and did not see the Holy Prophet & and Taba Tabacean were those persons who followed the Tabacean. The important consideration of condition for being a Sahabi as stated above is that he must have met the Holy Prophet A. He must have met him as a believer and then died as a believer and not in unbelief.

The other expressions are Ameerul Momineen. Khalifatul Muslimeen, Khalifatul Momineen, These three expressions which include the words Momineen and Muslimeen (believers) are obviously exclusive for Muslims.

It is a well known qualification of the highest office holder whether he is called by the name of President, or by the name of Prime Minister, King, Khalifatul Momineen, Khalifatul Muslimeen or Ameerul Momineen, that he should be a Muslim. Hazrat Abu Bakr had adopted the title of Khalifato Rasoolilla. Although every man is a Khalifat Ullah (Deputy of Allah on this earth) but Hazrat Abu Bakr only assumed the title of Khalifato Raso olillah. When the second Caliph took the reigns of Caliphate he thought that he would call himself Khalifato Khalifate Rasonlillah which means that he was to be a successor of the successor of the Holy Prophet A. But it was felt that if the word Khalifa (successor) is joined to the title of each succeeding ruler the title would go on elongating. Hazrat Umar therefore took the title of Ameerul Momineen. (Islam Ka Nizame Hukumat, pages 244, 245). The title of Ameerul Momineso or Khalifatui Mushmeen or KhalifatuI Momineen thus became a title which was exceptional and exclusive for only rulers among the Muslims. No Muslim would like that this title be adopted by persons who are non-Muslims or who secede from the Muslim Ummah, It is for this reason and particularly on account of the hostillty of the Muslims towards the Quadianis for the use of these

Mr. Mujeebur Rehman argued that the expression 'Raziullah Anho' was used for several Sufis, Sanits. The expressions Ameerul Momineen was used for imam Malik who was called Ameerul Momineen fil Hadith and for Nizam of Hyderabad, the word Ummal Momineen was used for a female disciple of a Salie. These arguments are besides the point. The stray use of such terminology for Muslims or for Saints among them was not taken exception to because at least all the persons for whom it was used were Muslims and not unbelievers, secondly it was not done for the purpose of imitating the Holy Trophet 18%, thirdly these examples were stray.

The use of such expressions by the Quadranis is batter of the Holy Prophet. Mirra shall was the manifestant of the Holy Prophet. Mirra shall was the manifestant of the Holy Prophet. Mirra shall be companions, his wife, his members of the family and his successors are cutiled to the same respect and recognition as the companions, the wives, the members of the family and his successor of the Holy Prophet Mirra Sahlb is Muhammad his companions are the Companions of the Holy Prophet Mirra Sahlb is Muhammad his companions are the Companions of the Holy Prophet Mirra Sahlb is Muhammad his companions are the Companions of the Holy Prophet Mirra Sahlb is Muhammad his companions are the Companions of the Holy Prophet Mirra Sahlb is More proposed to the Mirra Sahlb is more prediction. He said My prenon Mirra Sahlb is more prediction than a succession of the Mirra Sahlb is more prediction. He said My prenon

became his personality (אין ניקנט ניקנט) whoever enters my group entered the body of the Companions of the Holy Prophet 流. (Khutaba-i-llhamia pages 258, 259.

The Impugned Ordinance In this respect is fully justified.

The next question is about the ban on Azan. The Ordinance prohibits the non-Muslims i.e. Quadiants from calling persons to prayers by the formula of Azan. The word Azan means to call. Mo'azzan is the person who calls. These Dictionary meanings are clearly established from a reading of Vernes Q.7:44, Q. 12:70 and Q. 22:27.

The Holy Quran says ---

Q.7:44

"الطانين" فاذن موذن يينهم ان لعنة الله على الطلنين" And a crier in between them crieth: The curse of Allah ls on evil-doers : O. 12:70

And then a crier cried: O camel-riders! Ye are surely thieves?

Q. 22:27

کل فج عمیق*

And proclaim unto mankind the pilgrimage. They
will come unto thee on foot and on every lean came!;
they will come from every deep ravin:
In these three verses the word Azzana (oil) of which

Azan is a noun has been used in the meaning of call. The call is for information. The word Mozzin has been used in the sense of caller. These are the dictionary meanings of the words Azzana, Azan and Mozzin.

In the words (() منالة () منالة () منالة () منالة () المنالة () منالة ()

given for prayer) the reference is to the mode of call for prayer which is known as Azan. It is for this reason that these words were translated as 'when Azan is given'. The verse and its translation js as follows:

"يا ايهاالذين آمنوا اذا نودي الصلواة من يوم الجمعة فاسعوا الى

Q. 62 : 9. O ye who believe! when the call is given (Azan) for the prayer of the day of congregation, haste unto the remembrance of Allah and leave your trading.

There was no concept of Azan before Hijrah. After the Hijrah people were called for prayer by a person calling (Aut Hijpah) which connoted that the prayer was about to be offered. The Holy Prophet (MP gave Importance to the order for calling for prayer. Three companions namely Hazart

That is better for you if ye did but know.

Abu Bake, Hazeat Omar and Hazeat Abdullah bin Zaid and decreant about the manner of Azan Out of three droams, the dreams of Hazeat Abdullah bin Zaid and Hazeat Omer are well known. Hareat Abdullah bin Zaid informed the Holy Prophet வீ முரு முரு முரு முறிய முருவர் இரு முருவர் முருவர் இரு முருவர் முருவர் இரு முருவர் ம

There is a difference of opinion about the necessity of Azan. However, as Abu Omer said Azan is the distinguishing characteristic or sign between Darul Islam and Darul Harb (bibl.)

It is one of the characteristics token or distinguishing.

mark [Aalamud-din $\langle \omega \omega^2 | r^2 \omega^2 \rangle$. It is, therefore, considered to be a Shia'ar meaning distinguishing characteristic of the Muslims [Behrur Rai'q $\langle p^2 \rangle^2 \omega^2 \rangle$] by Ibn Nujain, Vol. 1, page 240). It is said that there is a consensus on the point that it is a Shia'ar (distinguishing feature) of Islam (Patawa Qazi Khan on the margin of Fatawa-c-Alamgeet, Hujjatullah it Bahcha be Shab Wall Ullah, Vol. 1, page 474).

The following arguments will be sufficient for its being a Shia'ar: ---

(1) During the time of the Holy Prophet to the well-known methods for calling people to their places of worship were —

- (a) the blowing of horn ;
- (b) the ringing of bell; and
 - (c) the lighting of fire.

But none of these manners was approved by the Holy Prophet ﷺ; he ultimately approved the manner of call by Azan.

(2) The principle in Islam is that a person calling Azan shall be treated to be a Muslim unless proved otherwise. It is reported on the authority of the father of line Hassam Madani who said that "the Holy Prophet 50" sent us with a raiding party and directed us that them you see a Monque and listen to the voice of a person calling Azan, do see that the said of the said of the said of the party and directed us that the said to the said said that the said of the said of the said of the said that the said of the said of the said of the Sukhari, Vol. I, page 86) on the authority of Hazart Azan.

(3) There is another tradition of Hazrat Anas:

"عن ابس ان النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم كان يغير عند صلــــواة

الصبح وكان يستمع فاذا سمع اذانا امسك والا اغار"

("The Holy Prophet A attacked the enemy at the time of morning prayers he would stop if he heard from that place the call of Azan otherwise he would attack) (Sunan-e-Abi Daud, Vol. 1, page 354, also Mishkat, Vol. 1, page 160 (Urdu translation).

The reason for the direction of the Holy Prophet A in the first Haddith and his own conduct in restraining himself from attack on hearing Azan is that Azan bears a presumption that the persons living in the locality are Muslims who were immune from attack.

The Jurists have for this reason taken the view that whoever calls Azan should be treated to be a Muslim. If people give evidence in respect of a Zimmi (protected non-Muslims) that he had called Azan he should be treated as a Muslim (Bahrur Raig, Vol. 1, by Ibne Nujaim, page 279, Raddul Mukhtar by Ibne Abdideen, Vol. 1, mage 353).

In view of these opinions, Mr. Mujeebur Rehman argued that a person who calls Azan should be treated as a Muslim. But this argument is not correct since the object of the above tradition is only to the effect that by the calling of Azan there should be a presumption in favour of one being a Muslim but this presumption is rebuttable; it is not conclusive. If ultimately it is found that the person calling Azan is really a non-Muslim or his beliefs become evident which prove him a non-Muslim he cannot be allowed to take advantage of calling Azan and claim to be entitled to be called Muslim on that account only. It is clarified in Raddul Mukhtar, Vol. 1, page 279, that the call of Azan by Moazzin in a Mosque raises a presumption of his being a Muslim because he is allowed to call it usually, meaning thereby that if he had been a non-Muslim he would not have been allowed by those who offered prayer in the Mosque to call Azan. It is, however, clarified that the Azan by a Kafir is not at all correct. From this it can be concluded that a person does not become Muslim only by calling Azan. Weighty presumption in favour of Islam shall arise if he does it by habit and also believes is the unity of Ailah and the Prophethood of the Holy Prophet

Now we may take up the argument of Mr. Mujibur Rehman. He relied upon the above traditions of the Holy Prophet of and Verse 4: 94 which is as follows:

"باليها الذين امنوا اذا ضربتم في سبيل الله فيينوا ولا تقولوا لن القسى الكم السلام لست مومناً تبعون عرض الحيدة الذنيا فعند الله معانم كنسيره

البكم السلام لست مومنا تبتغون عرض الحيوة الدنيا فعند الله مغانم كلسسيره كذلك كنتم من قبل فمن الله عليكم فعينوا ، ان الله كان بما تعملون عبيراً .

Q. 4.94 Oy who believe when ye go forth to fight) In the way of Allah, be careful to darigh the twah or found not the truth, and say not unto one who offereth you peace: "Thou at not a believe," seeking the chance profits of this life foo that ye max despot! him). With Allah are pleatous spoils. Even thus (as he now is) were ye before; but Allah hath since (hen been gracious unto you. Therefore lake care to discriminate.

Allah is ever informed of what ve do.

The answer to this argument is in the verse itself. The word (y-----------------) (clarify the truth or find out the truth) wishing like a Muslim or saying there is no God but God or calling Azan or praying in what is like a Muslid may raise a presumption about one's being a Muslim but it is rebutlable, which means that if there is proof in rebutlah he should not be called Momin or Muslim.

Professor Table at Qasti submitted that the Book of Alab discriminates between rightenussers and include and relied upon verses Q. 25:11 Q. 41-34; Q. 52:100; Q. 32: Q. 59: 20; Q. 34; 4. Q. 57: 100; Q. 32: 13. The Vustims and Momins have been defined and their qualifications described, Just as it is not possible to call arrightenistic orderibed. Just as it is not possible to call arrightenistic orderibed and their professor of the second section of the section of th

Mr. Mujeebur Rehman conceded that Azan is a Shia'ar of the Muslims but submitted that it is the Shia'ar of the Muslims but submitted that it is the Shia'ar of Quadianis also. Where the same Shia'ar is common to both, the matter would be governed by verse Q. 5: 2 and Q. 3: 64. They are as follows:—

نعاونوا على الاثم والعدوان وانقوا الله ان الله شديد العقاب"

Q. 5 : 2. O ye who believel Profane not Allah's monuments nor the offerings nor the garlands, nor those repairing to the Sacred House, seeking the grace and pleasure of Allah, But when we have left the Sacred territory. then go hunting (if ye will). And let not your hatred of a folk who (once) stopped your going to the inviolable place of Worship seduce you to transcress But help ve one another unto righteousness and pious duty. Help not one another untu sin and transgression, but keep your duty to Allah. Lo ! Allah is severe in punishment.

O. 3:64

O. 3: 64. Say: O People of the Scripture! Come to what is (acknowledged) to be common between us and you : that we shall worship none, but Allah, and that we shall ascribe no partner unto Him, and that none of us shall take others for lords besides Allah, And if they turn away, then say : Bear witness that we are they who have surrendered (unto Him).

(تعالوالي كلمة سواء بيننا وبيتكير) It may be stated the words have been translated "come to an agreement between us

and you" by Pickthall. This translation is not correct because the reference is to something equally common in this verse and not to any agreement. The Urdu translation by Maulana Fatch Muhammad is, however, un-exceptiunable and it is reflected in the translation given above.

The argument of Mr. Mujeebur Rehman is that what is good and common between the Quadianis and the Muslims should not be interfered with because it is Kalimatin Sawain (Slawer Sawain S the expression Kalimatin Sawain (کفیة سواد) he referred to Madarik-ul-Tanzeel, Vol. I, page 22. It is said that Kalimatin Sawain () with (something equal) means such a thing which is equal "between us and you" and in respect

of which there is no opposition between Torah, Bible and the Quran. Kalma means that we should not worship anyone except Allah, Ibn e Kaseer said that Kalimatin Sawain (کلیة مسواه) means to worship one God and this has been the common call off all the Prophets (Tafseer Ibne Kaseer (Urdu), Vol. 1, c 76).

According to Addurral Mansur by Suvuti (Vol. 2, page 40) by Kalmatin Sawain (کلبة صواء) is meant that "There is no god but Allah." Mufti Muhammad Shafi said about Kalimatin Sawain (اکنیة ماد) that on this people should join. From this Mr. Muleeb-ur-Rehman deduced that it cannot be made a punishable offence.

In Chapter 41, verse 33 it is stated -

Q. 41:33

O. 41: 33 And who is better in speech than him who prayeth unto his Lord and doth right, and saith : I.o! I am of those who surrender (unto Him).

The reason for revelation of this verse was, as stated by Al-Qalbi that when the Moazzin called Azan and the Muslims stood for offering their prayers the lews used to cut jokes and used insulting language in respect of Moazzin. They scoffed at his voice. In this verse, therefore, most pleasant utterance) (احسن قول) Azan has been stated to be or best utterance. (Qurtubi, Vol. 6 pages 224 and 225).

It has already been seen that the Azan of a non-Muslim is not Azan and consequently the expression most pleasant utterance cannot be applied to it. The above verse defines a Momin or Muslim which leaves no doubt that Azan is a (احسن قسبول) (most pleasant utterance) only when called by a Muslim since it qualifies for being so called along with the caller's good action and his faith as a Muslim.

There was a controversy before the Court as it has always been on the reason of revelation of were, O. 5:2. The question is whether the Shikar (signs) of Allah retremed to thereim were the characteristics origans of the polyheists or they were of Muslims. Mr. Mujechur Rhama quoded author-ties from the opinion of the commendators for supporting the view that the characteristics or Shakar ir referred to in this weres were of the polyheists but Mr. Rizzel Hasan Gilani celled upon the other set of opinions. The opinion of Tir Muslammad other set of opinions. The opinion of Tir Muslammad Rench in his well-known or many zero Caurt Sharist Rench in his well-known or many zero quart favours the colinion of the Muslewar Rench in his well-known or many zero quart favours the colinion of the Muslewar Rench in his well-known or many zero quart favours the colinion of the Muslewar Rench in his well-known or many zero quart favours the colinion of the Muslewar Rench in his well-known or many zero quart favours the colinion of the Muslewar Rench in this well-known or many zero quart favours the colinion of the Muslewar Rench in this well-known or many zero quarter favours the colinion of the Muslewar Rench in this well-known or many zero quarter favours the colinion of the Muslewar Rench in this well-known or many zero quarter favours that the colinion of the Muslewar Rench in this well-known or many zero quarter favours that the properties of the polyheist properties of the p

There are some views that this verse has been abrogated. Mr. Mujeebur Rehman argued that the portion of the verse (عُلِهِ الْمُعَالِي الْمُعَالِي الْمُعَالِي الْمُعَالِي الْمُعَالِي الْمُعَالِي الْمُعَالِي الْمُعَالِي الْمُعَالِي الْمُعَالِيقِي الْمُعِلِي الْمُعَالِيقِي الْمُعَلِّي الْمُعَالِيقِي الْمُعِلِي الْمُعِلِي الْمُعَالِيقِي الْمُعَالِيقِي الْمُعَالِيقِي الْمُعَالِيقِي الْمُعَالِيقِي الْمُعِلِي الْم

It is not necessary to enter into this controversy. If the verse related to the characteristics or Shaa'ir of non-Muslims about taking animals for slaughter in Mina at the time of Hajj, a different order was passed in Q. 9: 28 which is as follows:

Q. 9:28

"بايهاالذين امنوا انحا المشركون نجس فلا يقربوا المسجد الحسرام بعد عامهم هذا وان خفتم عيلة فسوف يغنيكم الله من فضله ان شسماء

ان الله عليم حكيماً

Q. 9 : 28 O ye who believe! The Idolaters only are unclean, so let them not come near the inviolable place of worship after this thelr year. If ye fear poverty (from the loss of their marchandise) Allah shall preserve you of His bounty if He will. Lo! Allah is knower,

you of His bounty if He will. Lo! Allah is knower, Wise.

The Polytheists or Idol worshippers were restrained from coming near the Kaa'ba. There is a Hadith also that in order to implement this divine Injunction the Holy Prophet

夢 sent Hazrat Ali to Makkah decreeing the prohibition of Hajj for non-Muslims.

This Injunction is prohibitory of the Idol worshippers performing their Shaa'ir in Kaa'ba and the decree of the Holy Prophet A was prohibitory of their Shia'ar of Hajj (see Tafheemul Quran, vol. 2, p. 186, note 25). It is thus obviously concluded from it that Islamic Sharja does not allow a non-Muslim to adopt Shaa'ir of Islam, because Shaa'ir means the distinguishing features of a community with which it is known. If an Islamic State inspite of its being in power allows a non-Muslim to adopt the Shia'ar of Islam which effects the distinguishing characteristics of Muslim ummah, it will be the failure of that State in discharge of its duties. To allow a non-Muslim to adopt Islamic Shia'ar in an Islamic State amounts to an illegal behaviour with the Shia'ar of Islam and as such reason for its prohibition becomes stronger. The above mentioned verse 9: 28 and the subsequent Practice of the Holy Prophet prove the power of legislation of the Islamic State to prevent non-Muslims from adopting the Shia'ar of Islam. It is for this reason that it is also in the legislative power of the Islamic State to provide punishment for the non-Muslim who does not abstain himself from adopting the Shia'ar of Islam as has been provided in the impuened Ordinance. This will also cover the arguments of Mr. Mujibur Rehman about Taazir.

Mr. Mujibur Rehman formulated the following points in this respects:

- If Azan is one of the Islamic Shia'ar (distinguishing feature) and the same Shia'ar be found common among the non-Muslims, whether
 - found common among the non-Muslims, whether the non-Muslims can be stopped from it?

 (2) Whether in view of the Injunction regarding
 - Kalimatin Sawain (کلمه سواه) it is not essential that the Muslims and non-Muslims should join in it?

(3) Whether saying of what is احسس فسول) (most pleasant utterance) can be made a punishable offence?

The conduct of the Quadianis when they were in Qudian and held a majority and considerable influence there is relevant. The Quadianis had stopped the Muslims from calling Zaza in their own mosques. The Abrar sent onne volunteers to call Aza in mosques for Muslims in caused a large number of injuries to each of them. They had to remain bed ridden in hospitals. [Tehrik-ikhalamthal properties of the properties of the properties of National Park by Shortis Kashmiri, page 78). This could have been by brute force only during the British Rule. This is an example that what they considered to be their Shiz'm (distinctive feature) was much by them practically and the properties of the properties of the such restrictive the majority in power is legal.

The argument of Mr. Mujeebur Rehman against the prohibition of naming their place of worship as masiid was that according to the Quran, the word masjid is not exclusive for the Muslims but has been used for the

mosque of those who are non-Muslims now. When asked whether during he last 1400 years places of worship of persons other than Muslims have ever been known by the mane of masjid he answered in the negative, but after a few days stated that he had been able to trace out at least no synagogue of the leves in Kazachi on which the words, masjid—Bani Israel are written. Me showed Pintographs of the writing jum which it appears that the place of the writing jum which it appears that the place of the writing jum which it appears that they can be also someone as manjid—Bani Israel. Such a name is not common among the leves.

The question whether places of worship of person is there than those who are tollowers of the Holly Treple is have been called in the Quran by the name of mestid besides the point. Islam has been the divine religion from the very beginning, i.e., starting, with Adam. If the word who belonged to the Unmanh of a come other Prophet and followed the then prevailing religion of Islam, It cannot be concluded that the name mayid was the name given to the places of worship of non-Muslims too. The point is that during the last It'd by years this name has been exclusively given to the mosques of the Muslims. It has been in fact many than the control of the control of the mosques of the Muslims. It has been in fact many than the control of the mosques of the Muslims. It has been in fact manifely.

In the Holy Quran the word masjid has been used within its dictionary meanings but naw the same word is understand in the technical sense of the place of worship of the Muslims only (see Al-Alaqat-ul-Duwaliy); all Islam p. 212). According to this even Eidgah, (place of offering Eidnaver) is not a mastid.

Reference was made to the verse 22:40 which is as follows: —

O. 22:40

*الذين اخرجوا من ديارهم يغير حتى الا ان يقولســـوا وبنــــا الله • ونولا دفع الله الناس بعصهم بيعض لهدمت صوامع وبيم وصلــــوات و مساجد بذكر فيها اسم الله كنواً ، ولينصرن الله من ينصب و ، ان الله

Q. 22 : 40 Those who have been driven from their homes unjustly only because they said (Our Lord is Math — For had it not been for Allah's repelling some men by means of others, cloisters and churches and oratories and mosques, wherein the name of Allah is off mentioned, would assuredly have been pulled down. Verily. Allah helpeth one who helpeth tillin. Lo? Allah is Storne, Aminishty.

It was argued in view of this sanctity stacked to the places of worship of all denominations that a person cannot be prevented from calling his place of worship as assigit. It is, however, explained by Quarbi that out of the names given to the places of worship dolsters, churches and controler shall be to the places of worship and hermitages or actorier shall be to the places of worship and hermitage for the place of the places of worship of Musilian been used to denote the places of worship of Musilian that word masjid has been used even for the places of worship of the word masjid has been used even for the places of worship of the city has been used even for the places of worship of the the host Musilian soft, we will have to be of the place of worship of the hor Musilian only.

Masjid has also been considered to be a Shia'ur of Muslims in the Hadith already referred to in connection with the discussion of Azan Assassination was prohibited where masjid was seen. This was because of the masjid being a distinctive feature of Islam [Shia'z (Joha)]. The person who offered prayer in it has to be presumed to be a Muslim unless growed in the context.

The following two verses No. 17 and 18 from Chapter 9 (Q.9): 17-18) provide solution to the problem before us:Q. 9: 17

"ماكان للمشركين ان يعمروا مسجد الله شهدين علَى انفسسهم بَالكَفُرِ ؛ أولئك حنطت اعمالهم ، و في النارهم خالدون" Q. 9: 17 It is not for the idolaters to tend Allah's sanctuaries, bearing witness against themselves of disbellef. As for such, their works are vain and in the Fire they will abide.

Q. 9:18

Q. 9: 18 He only shall tend Allah's sanctuaries who believeth in Allah and the Last Day and observeth proper worship and payeth the poor-due and feareth none save Allah. For such (only) is it possible that they can be of the rightly guided.

Three has been adifference of view whether the non-Maslims or Idolaters can construct a mosque or enter into it. Regarding the construction the accepted principle is that though made by non-Maulinis, it must be made to serve as difference of view about the right of entry. The Mallikis and Hamblis are against their entry in the masjid. The Shafle considered it lawful with the permission of the management every in the easas of hambles. But the the management were put in the easa of hambles. But the

The Holy Prophet & had expelled the hypocrites from the masjid. It has been related on the authority of libnachbas that 'none while delivering the sermon on Friday the Holy Prophet ordered some persons who were stiting in the congregation for prayer, by name to get out from the masjid because they were munafiquen (hypocrites). (Rahul Maanib A Hasi, Vol. II, page 1).

This discussion may be summed up by the opinion of Sir Zafarullah Khan, a renowned Ahmadi.

'If Ahmadis are nnn-Muslims they can have no concern with masjid (mosque)'. (Tahdis-e-Ncmat page 162). The proposition was correctly put by him. But the Ordinance only prevents the Quadianis from naming or calling their places of worship as mosque.

This is not objectionable in Shariah. Rather it advances the Shariah objective.

The right to propagate other religions in an Islamic State cannot be un-limited on account of the principle of Irtidad (conversion of a Muslim to another religion). The Holy Ouran says as under—

Q. 5 : 54

Q. 5 : \$4 O ye who believe! Whoso of you becometh a renegade from his religion, (naow that in his stead). Allah will bring a people whom He loveth and who love Hlm, humble toward believers, stern toward disbelievers, striving in the way of Allah, and fearing not the blame of any blamer. Such is the gazee of Allah which He giveth unto whom He will. Allah is All-embratine, All-knowled.

O 2:217

اعماله في الدنيا و الآخرة و اولتك اصحاب الدرهم فيها خالدون"

Q. 2:217. And Whoso becometh a renegade and dieth in his disbelief such are they whose works have fallen both in the world and the Hereafter. Such are rightful owners of the Fire: they will abide therein.

It is not necessary to go into this question at any length since this has been the established practice of all religions that the conversion of a person from one religion to another was never looked with less than hostility by his coreligionists. An example in point is the antagonism shown by the Hindus including those in power in the so called secular state on group conversion of the Scheduled Castes to Islam.

It is possible that the reason may be that such secssion from one religion to another is likely to be a disintegrating force for that religious community. In the Quaddani's Literature also any person converted from Islam to Quaddanism and them e-converted to Islam is known as to Quaddanism and them e-converted to Islam is known as non-maturity. In this situation it a difficult to be done to the converse of the converse of the converse of the converse propagate their religion among Muslims succonditionally.

There have been instances in Islamic history when etiglious discussions were held in the Court of the Callph or Monarch about the superiority of one's religion. Muslim and non-Muslim religious scholars alike participated in it but such instances cannot be held to be effective percedents in favour of any aleged right of propagation of one religion in order to convert the Muslims to a religion other

Mr. Mujeebur Rehman did not rely upon directly on any verse of the Quran, tradition of the Holy Prophet & of the opinion of any jurist to substantiate his argument that Islam allows to non-Muslims a right to propagate their religion in an Islamic State.

Fle submitted that according to the Quran it is a duty to preach and what cumplements this duty is the right of the unbeliever to preach his religion. He referred to verse 0.2:170:

And when it is said unto them: Follow that which Allah hath revealed, they say: We follow that wherein we tound our fathers. What! Even though their fathers were wholly unintelligent and had no guidance

and submitted that the verse condemns blindly following one's ancestors. He also cited Verses O. 2:112: O. 5:105. O. 26: 71 to 75 and O. 43: 21 to 25 and submitted that a joint reading of these verses shows that whenever the Prophet preached to the infide is the message of truth they had only one answer that their ancestors were sufficient for them though their ancestors had no sense at all. It is the spirit of Islam that this stress on the doctrine of Taglid should be vanquished by resort to both type of arguments The Afagi مرتف سي and Anfusi (أفساقي) The Afagi argument deals with the order of nature, the creation of the earth and sky the alternation of day and night etc as described in the Ouran. They should be made to realise the orderliness and beauty of the system which would not be possible if there had been two gods. Anfusi argument means that they should ponder over the creation of different stages of life and they would discover that only one God has created

This is the method about which the Quran says:

O. 16: 125

هي احسرا Call unto the way of thy Lord with wisdom and fair exhortation, and reason with them in the better way. He emphasized that the main thing is argument

O. 8:42

ويحق من حي عن بينة"

He who perished (on that day) might perish by a clear proof (of His sovereignty) and he who survived might survive by a clear proof (of His sovereignty).

Lastly he referred to verses Q. 6 : 149; Q. 28 : 75; Q. 37 : 156, 157; Q. 27 : 64; Q. 21 : 24 and Q. 2 : 111 relevant portions of which are reproduced below: —

Q. 6:148

"هل عند كم من علم فنخر جوه أننا" Have you any knowledge that you can adduce for us?

Q. 37:156

'ام لکم سلطن مین' (O have you a clear authority)

Q. 37:157

"قاندا بكتابكم ان كنتم صادقه:"

(Then produce your book, if you are truthful).

Q. 28 : 75

"فقلنا هاتوا برهانكم"

(We shall say: Bring your proof).

"قل هاتوا برهانكم"

(Say bring your proof)

Q. 27:64

"قل هانوا برهانكم" (Say bring your proof)

O. 2:111

"قل هاتوا برهانكم"

(Say bring your proof)

He also cited a number of commentaries on the interpretation of these verses. It is not necessary to reproduce them as the meanings of these verses are clear that the Muslims can ask the Polytheists and non-Muslims

to give aroument in favour of their strong belief.

But Mr. Mujeebur Rehman's argument is that this gives the non-Muslim a right to preach his religion to convert them.

We do not agree with this even as a remote possibility. All these verses relate to the principles of Tableegh or propagation of Islam and the manner and method to be used for such propagation. The principle is that when talking to a non-Muslim for the purpose of propagating Islam one should be gentle and polite and should not only demonstrate logically and rationally all good points in Islam but also let the non-Muslim place his view about the good points in his own religion before him. It is necessary that a view point of the non-Muslim about his own religion should be plainly put forward so as to enable Muslims to refute them and to demonstrate the superiority of Islam over the conceptual philosophy of the other religion. In fact the Ouran does not only allow such free discourse among two persons but asks the Muslims to challenge the non-Muslim to bring forth the arguments in favour of his belief bring your) رهانوا برهسانكين bring word) رهانوا برهسانكي arguments). which is suggestive of the inability of the non-Muslims to give any such argument. (See Almaraghi Vol. 1 This is in the رغم في عرف التخاطب تكفيسية , Arbis is in the general rule of language a form of address for falsification).

There is a conclusive presumption that the arguments of the Quran cannot be refuted. No argument favourable to unbelief is possible.

This negates the possibility of the conversion of the Muslim by being influenced by the discourse of the non-Muslim in favour of his religion. The verses only apply to the form of pursuation which is required for propagation of Islam before the non-Muslim. These verses cannot be turned for the benefit of the non-Muslims in support of their claim to propagate their religion.

As stated there is' nothing in the Holy Quran, the Sunnali of the Holy Prophet & or the commentaries on them recognising the right of a non-Muslim to propagate or preach his religion among Muslims.

These verses and commentaries also are not sufficient for holding in favour of the fundamental rights of non-Muslims to propagate and preach their religion among Muslims. Depth this it is for the Islamic State to allow the non-Muslims to preach their religion as has been done in Article 20 of the Constitution but this can be allowed if the non-Muslims prach as non-Muslims and not by passing off as Muslims. It is for the legislature to lay down other

582 to 682 has dealt with the rights of the Minorities at length and has also stated in Assource of publishing materials in an Islamic State by non-Muslims to prove the superiority of their religion, but he added that propagation of one's crigion before Muslim individually is not permissible. He further added that no Muslim can be allowed to change his religion.

Maulana Maudoodi in his book Islami Rivasat pages

Mr. Mujeebur Rehman cited from the Declaration of Human Rights of United Nations passed in 1948. The Article relied upon by him is as follows:—

"Art. XVIII. Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance."

There is nothing in this Charter to give to the citizens

of a country the right to propagate or preach his religion.

Lastly reference may be made to two pamphlets issued
by the Islamic Council one is the 'Declaration of Human
Rights' and the other is "A Model of an Islamic
Constitution." Generally the Human Rights described in

the two Pamphlets on the basis of the injunctions of the Quran and the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (W) include the human rights as approved by the United Nations. Some of

- the rights are in addition, for example right to justice, right to protection against abuse of power, right to Ayungrights of the Minorities to be governed in their personal matters by their own personal laws, rights and obligations to participate in the conduct and management of public affairs, status and dignity of workers, right to social security, etc.
- In the pamphlet entitled "Universal Islamic Declaration of Human Rights' paragraphs XII and XIII deal with the right to freedom of belief, thought and speech and right to freedom of religion. They are reproduced below: "XII. (a) Every person has the right to express his
 - thoughts and beliefs so long as he remains within the limits prescribed by the law. No one, however entitled to disseminate falsehood or to circulate reports which may outrage public decency, or to indulge in slander, innuendo or to cast defamatory aspersions on other persons.
 - (b) Pursuit of knowledge and search after truth is not only a right but a duty of every Muslim
 - (c) It Is the right and duty of every Muslim to protest and strive (within the limits set out by the law) against oppression even if it involves challenging the highest authority in the State.
 - (d) There shall be no bar on the dissemination of information provided it does not endanger the security of the society or the state and is confined within the limits imposed by the law.
 - (c) No one shall hold in contempt or ridicule the religious beliefs of others or incite public hostilityagainst them; respect for the religious feelings of others is obligatory on all Muslims.
 - others is obligatory on all Muslims.

 XIII. Every person has the right to freedom of conscience and worship in accordance with his

religious beliefs".

Similarly Articles 8 and 16 of the Pamphlet A Model of an Islamic Constitution' deal with the religious rights of the minorities and are as follows:---

- "8 Every person has the right to his thoughts, opinions and beliefs. He also has the right to express them so long as he remains within the limits prescribed by law.
- 16. (a) There is no compulsion in religion.

Shariah shall apply.

- (b) Non-Muslim minorities have the right to practise their religion.
- (c) In matters of personal law the minorities shall be governed by their own laws and traditions, except if they themselves opt to be governed by the Shariah. In cases of conflict between parties, the
- It may be noticed that the right to propagate one's religion is not included in the Human Rights of the Minorities. This is in accordance with what has been stated above.

Article 20 of the Constitution confers the fundamental right upon all citizens of Pakistan-to profess, practise and propagate one's religion but this right is subject to law, public order and morality. It reads:

Subject to law, public order and morality ---

- (a) every citizen shall have the right to profess, practise and propagate his religion; and
- practise and propagate his religion; and

 (b) every religious denomination and every sect
 thereof shall have the right to establish, maintain
 and manage its religious institutions.

In the case of Jabindar Kashore PLD 1957, S.C. page 9, the Supreme Court had an occasion to interpret similar tanguage in Article 18 of the Constitution of 1956. It was held that the words 'subject to law' do not permit the Legislature to take away with another hand what has been oliven by the Constitution by one hand and this right may

only be regulated but cannot be taken away. Mr. Justice Muhammad Munir, Chief Justice (Retd) made the following observations in this respect:—

"But the scope of regulation by law cannot be so curtailed when a law and order situation arises".

Article 20 is also subject to law and order, and the right of preaching is subject to it.

It has already been noticed from historical review of Mirza Ghulan Ahmad's claims and their evolutionary trend that the Muslims of the Indian Sub-Continent had retiling of un-existence sroom after the claim of Mirza Grigorian and the state of the continent of the apprehension prophetically enough that this was likely to be the first step towards Prophethood. Mirza Sahlib was quick in refuting this and in claiming that the was a firm of the continent of the cont

This uneasiness resentment and hostility among the Muslims increased when the claim of being the Promised Messiah and Mehdi was made in 1890. It would be clear from the books of Mizza Sahib and other Qaudiani literature that Muslims crywdd around the places of his stay in different cities whenever he visited them. The Ulema were also extremely agliated.

This agitation reached its peak by the distinct claim of Mirza Sahlb to prophethood made in 1901.

After the establishment of Pakistan, there was such an agitation on this point that the Martial Law of 1953 had to be enforced to curb it. This, however did not succeed in quietening the Muslims' demand as voiced by the Ulema in their 22 points programme for incorporating in the Canstitution the non-Muslim and minority status of the Quadiants.

The agitation continued despite the imposition of Martial Law till the representatives of the Muslim public in the Parliament and the National Assembly had to pass the Constitution (Second Amendment) Act 1974 after giving a full hearing to the Quadianis through Mirza Assir Ahmad, Chief of the Quadianis Set, and to add a definition to Article 260 of the Constitution of .1973 declaring the Quadianis of the two well-known groups as non-Muslims and placing them through an amendment in Article 106, in Contraction, Parliament Mindels and Contraction of the Contraction, Parliament Mindels and Parliament and Parl

As a result of the declaration which was the result of a unanimous demand of the Muslims it was not possible for the Quadianis to call themselves Muslims or to propagate lain of their concept as true lains but they showed the least respect for the Constitutional Amendment and continued as before to call their faith as Islam. They of books, journals, etc as well as among individual Muslim to create reastment which obviously was likely to create law and order elituation and all this continued till the present Ordinance was passed and promulgated. In these creamatances the Ordinance appears to be covered by the maintainess of the World order.

For the above reasons the two petitions are without force and are dismissed.

Bafore finishing this judgment we would like to place on record our deep appreciation of the assistance given to us by Mr. Mulpiobur Rahman, petitioner and Mr. Rizzul Hasan Gllani, Advonate for the Federal Government. Mr. Gilani's preparation and presentation of the case was commendable.

Islamabad dated the 28th October, 1984.

(PLD 1985 FSC 8)





SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN (SHARIAT APPELLATE BENCH) 1988

- Mr. Justice Muhammad Afzal Zullah (Chief Justice)
- ن Mr. Justice Nasim Hasan Shah
- Mr. Justice Pir Muhammad Karam Shah
- Mr. Justice Maulana Muhammad Taqi Usmani

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

SHARIAT APPELLATE BENCH

Mr. Justice Muhantmad Afzal Zullah, Chairman, Mr. Justice Nasim Hasan Shah,

Mr. Justice Shafi-ur-Rahman,

Mr. Justice Pir Muhammad Karam Shah,

Mr. Justice Maulana Muhammad Taqi Usmani,

Capt. (Retd.) ABDUL WAJID and 4 others - Appellants
versus

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN—Respondent Shariat Appeals Nos. 24 and 25 of 1984, decided on 11th January, 1988.

(On appeal from the judgments/orders of the Federal Shariat Court, Lahore, dated 12-8-1984 in Shariat Petitions Nos. 17/1/1984, 2/1/1984, 17/L/1984 and 2/1/1/1984).

Manzoor Ellahi, Advocate-on-Record for Appellant No. 1 (in S.A. No.24 of 1984).

Appellant No. 2 in person (in S.A. No.24 of 1984).

Appellant No. 1 (In person) and Hameed Aslam Qureshi, Advocate-on-Record and others in person (in S.A. No.25 of 1984).

Dr. Riazul Hasan Gilani, Deputy Attorney-General and Ch. Akhtar Ali, Advocate-on-Record for Respondent (in both Cases).

Dates of hearing: 10th and 11th January, 1988.

JUDGMENT

IUSTICE MUHAMMAD AFZAL ZULLAH
(CHAIRMAN).—Appeals Nos.24 and 25 of 1984 jointly Filed
by two and four appellants respectively, are directed
against a decision of the Federal Shariat Court, rendered
under Article 203-D of the Constitution. They were
preferred under Article 203-F, have now been withdrawn

and dismissed accordingly.

The isinguaged judgmeot was passed on two petitions of the typellants separately presented, wherein a law-Anti-Islamic Activities of the Quadiani Group and Annadis (Probhibtion and Punishment) Group and Annadis (Probhibtion and Punishment) infective on the touchaton of the "Injuctions of Islam", in pursuance of Article 203-D. The Court declined to grant the prayer, after giving detailed reasons (running into over 200 pages), as required by Clause 2(4) and 7th Ecc.

Appeal No.24 of 1984 is by the "Laboti Groups" of No.25 of 1984 by the "Quadatin Gloups" of the 'Ahmadis,' as they are described in Article 106 and Clause (9) of Article 260 of the Constitution. They were added originally by Second Amendment in 1974 which was enacted by a duly elected parliament, in what have been considered as free and impartial elections, on the basis of adult franchise. This Court had be accepted it as competent to frame the constitution after the split of the country into two parts. It constitution after the split of the country into two parts, the presentage of votes for this purpose but also manniously in each floure. There was no dissent. The soft member walk out by one of the original movers was, as the official record/proceedings show, on the ground that the amendment did not go far enough.

The amendment defined the followers of Mirra

The amendment defined the followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, generally known 'the Ahmadis', as non-Muslims. It was enacted, in a democratic and parliamentary-cum-judicial method. The acknowledged leaders of both the groups of Ahmadis were afforded opportunity of hearing in very lengthy proceedings by a Special Committee of the Full House. The resolution referred to this committee (moved, amongst others, also by the sole member who later staged a walkout) interalia. contained that the Ahmadis were "indulging in subversive activities internally and externally": and that, in the then recent Conference of 140 delegations from all over the world, held in Mecca-Al-Mukurram, it was unanimously held that "Quadianism is subversive movement against Islam and Muslim World, which falsely and deceitfully claims to be an Islamic sect" - (National Assembly Parliament Debates Volume 4-1974), hence the amendment was sought. After lengthy hearing and voluminous proceedings (which are matter of record) the Special Committee unanimously resolved, as follows:

"(a) That the Constitution of Pakistan be amended as

- follows:
 (i) that in Article 106 (3) a reference be inserted to
 persons of the Ouadiani Group and the Lahori
- Group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis');

 (ii) that a non-Muslim may be defined in a new clause in Article 260.
 - To give effect to the above recommendations a draft Bill unanimously agreed upon by the Special Committee is appended.
 - Committee is appended.

 (b) That the following explanation be added to section 295-A of the Pakistan Penal Code:-
 - "Explanation.- A Muslim who professes, practises or propagates against the concept of the finality of the

prophethood of Muhammad (peace be upon him) as set out in clause (3) of Article 260 of the Constitution shall be punishable under this section." (the Gazette of Pakistan Extraordinary dated 14-11-

(the Gazette of Pakistan Extraordinary dated 14-11 1974--pp. 1205 and 1206)

The draft Bill recommended by the Committee is the same as was finally passed by the Parliament (for text see National Assembly Parliament debates, Volume-5, 1974).

It would have been noticed that the said Special Committee had recommended as amendment in the Penal Code also. It cannot be denied that these measures were adopted to resolve a longstanding controversy raging in the country for nearly three quarters of a Century over the position of Ahmadis-(appellants' description in ground No.10 in "Addendum dated 15-1-1985" filed in Appeal No.24 of 1984 is: "microscopic minority", as against Muslims who from the "vast majority" not only in Pakistan but as against Muslim World it is even much less). There has been blood shed, martial law, judicial inquiry and interventions, prosecutions and agitations over this controversy. All solutions had earlier been tried. This time the Constitutional and Parliamentary method was used. The jaw impugned before the Federal Shariat Court, which prima facie seems to be a sequel and result of what has been stated above, attempts to control and prevent some of the Anti-Islamic activities of the Ahmadis which had resulted in the grave consequences noticed above.

Coming to the appeals before us, as indicated already, the appellants callelenged the impugned law before the Federal Shariat Court on the touch stone of 'islamic Injunctions'. It has the jurisdiction under Article 200-D0 the Constitution to declare it as repugnant to them, as distinguished from the jurisdiction possessed by the other superior Courts to annul a law on ground of its repugnanty or a fundamental right, as govaranced in the Constitution or a fundamental right, as govaranced in the Constitution prayer, that the impugned law was repugnant to the injunctions of islam they filled appeals to (the Shariat

Appellate Bench of) this Court. The said Shariat Bench of the Supreme Court has been constituted under Chapter 3-A of the Constitution and has the exclusive jurisdiction to hear appeals against decisions of Federal Shariat Court under Article 203-D. The Bench comists of three permanent judges of the Bench are three senior judges of the Supreme Court having been members of superior judiciary for nearly twenty years. The Ulema Judges are scholars of international fame, who have organized (and head) eminent Darul Uluma and possess a high degree of attainment in various branches of knowledge. They have also seved on Judges lateral to the Court before appointment to the Shariat Appellate Bench.

saljourned are executed as a second of the saljourned and a second of the saljourned and a second of 1981 proyed for adjournment on the ground of his illness, for few months. Advoacte-on-Record of appellants in Appeal No.25 of 1984 had also supported the adjournment request). They again came up for hearing after two and a half years before a faul Bench. Cases like the present one, according to our practice, or no heard in a Bench of less than they hope, the two

The appeals in hand were fixed for 22-5-1985 but were

In this background, to our surprise when it was expected that these appeals would be heard this time, again the same appellant sent application for adjournment for year, this time on the ground that though he had recovered from illness, he had not yet recovered his full memory. He had not engaged a lawyer, He tolside on arguing his case, when adjourned. The intrinsic evidence in the application and some questioning of this work of the property of the propert

When the second appeal (No.25/1964) was taken up, the appellants therein sprung a still bigger surprise. They also were not willing to argue the case. Similar attempts were made on the basis of two applications placed on record, more than two years ago. It was well-known to the appellants that the requests in the applications were of such nature that orders could be cought in Chambers at least for the fixation of these applications. They related to the summoning of the tape-records regarding proceedings before the Federal Shariat Court and the expungement of a part off the impagned judgment, before the hearing of the

Be that as it may, the first request for tape-records, as explained at the Bar, was for resolving the controversy regarding the nature of arguments before the Federal Shariat Court, reference to which is made from page 90 to page 192 of the impugned judgment, and the same was sought to be expunged in the second application.

At the end of this application, the Court was told to determine' this issue "before the appeal is taken"; otherwise, the appellants "will have no interest left in appeal". Thus, a serious attempt was made to get the appeal adjourned for another long period.

After some discussion, we declined to summon the tape-records, at this stage, as it would entail unnecessary adjournment, and at the same time we assured the appellants that if they argue the appeals and during their hearing we felt the need for summoning the tape-records we would do even our saw initialities.

Finding no further scope to Press any forther the first request at this stage, the second application was then pressed. It was also an extraordinary request, in the incrematance. We were being virtually loid to "expunge" nearly two-third of the impugned judgment as unnecessary, relevant and "oursagous" for the appellants' religious relevant and "oursagous" for the appellants' religious of the new dispensation under Article 220-D of the new dispensation under Article 220-D of the new dispensation under Article 220-D of the new dispensation under Article 230-D of the new dispensation under Article 230-D of the new dispensation to the religion cap-of faism. They were required to establish that the have in question was required to the future time of follows.

Not only this, the facts recorded at page 8, parts 13 and 15 of the judgment (not tought the expunged) shows of that despite some formal statement, ander presumably to change position: if the verdict were algosine the appellants, they did argue with "persistence" and "emphasis" that they are an inon-Muslian. Twice learned Deputy Attorney-General, appellants on this fact; when, they tried to show that the record was not correct. We also noticed that in their grounds of appeal, the appellants in Appeal No.24 or 1984 in part 1 of page in part 1 of page in part 1 of page in page 1 of page 1 of

After hearing on the question of expungement, we felt that the request of the appellants in Appeal No.27 of 1984 that the request of the appellants in Appeal No.27 of 1984 the hearing the appeals whereafter fine de be, during the main hearing of the appeals the points and portions for expungement, might be noted for orders on this question in the final judgment. Now law was cited to show that it would not be thee proper procedure for us to follow in the would not be the proper procedure for us to follow in the bean examined whether the scope of special jurisdiction conferred by Article 203F visit-with the validity and "reasons" for the impugned judgment, the expungement order, was the lawful course to be adopted. As already cortect, the appellants having themselve teakers a decision content of the procedure of

Before going on the next application, the fourth in the two appeals, it is necessary to mention thal we have during writing of this judgment, discovered from the appellants' own pleadings and memoranda submitted in this Court and in the Federal Shariat Court that, they did argue the point that, they are not non-Muslims. If the appellants would have argued the appeal, we might have considered all this in juxtaposition to the constitutional position and what they stated before us as also in their statements before the Federal Sharist Court. After that the legal question might also have been cannined that if the appellants did ague a point and invited the Court to give decision on it, which went against them, could they in an appeal under Article went against them, could they in an appeal under Article and the country of the country of

The last application of the appellants in Appeal No. 25 of 1984 (there is no such applications in Appeal No. 24 of 1984), presented in Court, sought the exclusion of the two Ulema Judges from this Bench on the ground of bias. They are stated to have expressed opinion in favour of the enactment of a law as is involved in the appeals before us. Written material, in this behalf, was also placed on record. After having perused the same, we felt that it was like the expression of an opinion in a tentative manner and that too without hearing the full arguments as often Judges do when hearing applications for stay or preliminary arguments for admission of regular cases, or for that matter, when granting leave to appeal even in this Court. It has never been treated per se as either creating any kind of bias, prejudice or bar, Moreover, the Ulema Judges we have noticed, felt more concerned and bound than any other, by the Qur'anic Verse No. 135, In Chapter IV (Surah Al-Nisa). The text and the translation follows.

"O, ye who believe, be maintainers of justice, bearers of witness for Allah's sake though it may be against your own selves or your parents or near relations, be he rich or poor. Allah is most competent to deal with them both, therefore, do not follow your low desires lest you deviate, and if you swerve or turn aside then surely Allah is aware of what you do."

The Our'an and Sunnah are full of Injunctions emphasising unditated justice, with its much more ponounced importance in our polity, as compared to a state of the compared to the compared to

The treatment of similar objection by Referal Sharial Court in Federation of Pakistan v. Hazoor Bukhsh and 2 others FLD 1983 FSC 253 at pages 281 and 392, is also unecerptionable. Reliance therein was placed on Miss under the Pages 281 and 292, in the Pages 281 and 293 an

Even if opinions relied upon by the appellants, be treated as firm in judicial sense which is not the case, "the principle of Rajoo (y-y), in Islam would come in. In view of what happened in Court, it is often excessary to dwell on this aspect. Both the learned Ulema Judges stared that they first the control of the c

" بوقتس میری دیگی سے نا آغا ہے، اے جرسکام سے تفوی دیا ترام ہے۔ فوق صادر کرتے وقت ارشاد فرالے ہیں کہ دیری کا واقع اس کے جوابی استکامی سے پہتر ہے۔ اگر اس سے محمد قرال کی سکتان و امز بادو کر ہی صحت ہے۔ فرایا کرتے تھے، فوگوں کی رائے سے احراز کے ہے۔" (این وائیکری میں میں عائی میں) احراز کے ہے۔" (این وائیکری میں میں عالی میں)

Not only this, we in addition to this procedure to ensure confidence, also adopted the one laid down by this Court in an absolutely similar situation in Islamic Republic of Pakistan v. Abdul Wali Khan PLD 1976 SC 57 at p. 188, 1975 Pakistan Supreme Court Reports. When an objection was raised regarding the sitting of the two judges on the Bench hearing that case, it was observed as follows at page 214 of the Reports PLD 1976 SC 57 at p. 188;

"As regards the objection taken to the Constitution of the Bench, learned counsel were informed on the very first day that no party to a litigation can claim the right to be tried by a particular Judge or Judges of his choice. In the case of superior Courts, it is entirely a matter for the Judge or Judges concerned to decide as to whether they will or will not sit in that particular case. Mr. Wall Khan has been informed that both the learned Judges, against whom the objection has been raised, have now recorded minutes in writing which have been placed on the record of these proceedings to say that they do not feel embarrassed in sitting to hear this proceeding. The objection based purely on conjectures is, therefore, in our view, unwarranted, ludges concerned are fully conscious of their own responsibilities. There is nothing to show that they are in any way disqualified from sitting to hear this reference. The objection is accordingly overruled."

The relevant principles have been discussed in that case. No further discussion is necessary. The appellants refrained from referring to this case and insisted on citing Chairman, Federal Land Commission and another v. Sardar arkin Muhammad Khan, Mazari and 37 others 1985 S.C.M. R 317, which it seems, was not approved for reporting. However, when the opinion of two Judges on the Bental the end of the report, was brought to the notice of the objectors, this case was not present any further. Attempt, revertities was made of the distinguish Abrid Wall Khan's considerable of the distinguish Abrid Wall Khan's was seed as to whether they would it any way feel embarrassed in sitting on the Bench: to which, both answered in the negative. These proceedings were of such solimin character that we genuinely fell that now the abstract that was the standing at the Bar, amounced that they withdraw the appeal. We pointed not to him that he did not that their's was also the same position. Then the other appellants present in Court and the A.O.K. stood up and withdrew the appeal. We ordered its dismissal accordingly.

To our further surprise, the second appellant in Appeal No.26 of 1984 which stood adjourned to next day as stated earlier, also stood up and withdrew his appeal without any argument on giving any reason. It is without any argument of princip and proper source of the No.25 of 1984, he was then asked about his co-appellant's attitude to which the raphy was that he would be contacted appeal. We willed for quite some time and perforce passed order for its adjournment to another date. Though, according to facts if required a finding of abandonment, as in the case of B.Z. Kulkaus v. Federal Covernment of the contract of

Before parting, it needs be observed that, in the circumstances of the case, for the sake of propriety, we have not examined nor have tried otherwise to discover the underlying intention and motive for the conduct of the appellants. Amongst others, the questions which arise, in this context, are that if they were genuine, in this behalf, why did they seek decision of the first two applications particularly the one relating to the expungement of major part of impugned judgment, before the hearing of the appeal. This exercise would have involved examination of merits by the same Bench, the constitution whereof was objected to in the third application. It means that till then they had no apprehension that justice would not be done on that vital issue. And most important of all, they, as noted earlier, had already decided not to press the appeal if second application was disallowed. If they had to withdraw the appeal due to this reason then why it was not done at that stage when we declined to accept the most extraordinary plea and the facade that some members of the Court were biased was raised, although the decision not to press the appeal had already been taken by them.

Thus, in view of the facts and circumstances noted above, both the Shariat Appeals Nos.24 and 25 of 1984 stand dismissed as withdrawn and the impugned judgment of the Federal Shariat Court shall rule the field. There shall be no noters at notate.

Petition dismissed as withdrawn.

(PLD 1988 Supreme Court 187)





LAHORE HIGH COURT 1987

Mr. Justice Muhammad Rafiq Tarar

LAHORE HIGH COURT

Mr. Justice Muhammad Rafiq Tarar, J

MALIK IAHANGIR M. IOYA — Petitioners

Versus

THE STATE — Respondent
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 1592-B of 1987.

Mujeebur Rehman, Malik Mahmood Majid and Mirza

Khalilur Rehman Ramdey, A.-G. and

Awais Nasim for the State.

Rashid Murtaza Qureshi for the Complainant. Date of hearing: 28th June, 1987.

IUDGMENT

MR. JUSTICE MUHAMMAD RAFIO TARAR.— This is a petition for bail on behalf of Malik Jahangir Muhammad Khan Joya, Advocate who is accused of offence upd. 298-C of the P.P.C.

Petitioner and his co-accused who are Qadianis by faith displayed badges bearing "KALMA TAYVABA" on their persons and thus committed the offence u/s 298-C of the PPC

- 3. The petitioner and his co-accused moved an application for bail in the Sessions Court, Sargodha. Co-accused were granted bail by the Additional Sessions Judge but this concession was denied to the petitioner because of this "adamant attitude" towards law and successive acts of abusing the concession of bail.
- as a constraint of the control of th

Sh. Mujecb-ur-Rehman did not controvert the contents of the above noted extract. He however stated that he does not want to discuss to the question pertaining to faith and requested that his statement to that effect be

recorded.

5. The learned Advocate-General requested for adjournment as he had to attend the Provincial Assembly and case was adjourned to 16.480° On the said day. Some had to be adjourned to 16.480° On the said day. Majeebu-ur-Rehman, Mailik Mahmood Majid and Mira. Maseer Ahmad Advocates submitted an application for withdrawal of the bail application. The grounds taken in this petition are that in the course of arguments the

petitioner's coursel (5th. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman, Advocate) submitted that the arguments be restricted to the limited question of bail and that "he did not wish to enter into detailed arguments which might buck on the merits of the main case and findings whereupon may prejudice the case of the prosecution or the defence." In is further stated that the counsel also requested that his statement to this effect the case was adjourned on the request of the learned Advocate-General who wanted to go to the Assembly Dambers. It was further submitted that "certain extraneous matters were brought under discussion and it papeared as if the Court was about to enter into a roving enquiry into matters which are not mentioned in the FLIA, and which are, if at all, more appropriately a subject for investigation or trial" and "in the circumstances the consister to withdrew his ball apolication for the present".

to the contents of the application and the language used therein. He added that insinuations made amount to contempt of Court and as such he be given opportunity to make a reply statement in order to place on received correct factual and legal position. The matter was adjourned to 22-4987 and then to 28-61-897 on which date the learned Advocate-General addressed arguments and Mr. Rakhid Murraz-Qureshi, Advocate filed a reply to the withdrawal of the petition is being sought mala fide to avoid adjudication of the question of applicability of

Section 295-C of the P.P.C. Quoting references from various religious books of the Qadiani community including Aik Ghalti Ka Azala, Auiena-i-Kamalat-e-Islam and Tabligh-i-Risalat written by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad it has been

The learned Advocate-General took strong exception

asserted therein that Mizza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani proclaimed himself as "Muhammad dur Rasul-ullah"; he used most filthy language against all those who rejected his claim to Prophethood and, on his own showing, he was product (الإصادية) of the British imperialism, therefore,

when he claims that he is "Muhammad dur Rasul-ulin'd and his followers believe him as such they offer gavest contempt and insult to the Holy Prophet Muhammad (pace to upon him). Mohing on the afforcasil references it is built to be the superior to the su

- 6. The plea that Court was about to commence? wrong enquiry on the question of faith (so was stated in Court but in the withfrawal application the worst arguments which might fouch on the merits and "matters which are not mentioned in F.I.R....." have been used) has been taken to avoid the question sought to be raised by the learned Advocate-General and the learned connect for the complianant. It is pertinent to note that in the ball law regarding Kalama Tayyaba relating to the Nominamian and the properties of the comment on this assertion as the ball application has been written as the pointed out that for Non-Muslim Qadlanis, the use of Mthatwan but in view of the insimantion made, it may be pointed out that for Non-Muslim Qadlanis, the use of KALMA TAYTAMBA in the seems or with the meaning attributed by them becomes relievant for settly which the first the properties of the p
- 7. I would not have incorporated the contents of the application and that of the reply, had a request simpliciter to withdraw the main application been made but the learned counsel for the petitioner chose to use improper language and to include uncalled for insimuation. The learned Advocate-General submitted that these remarks

amount to contempt. This situation necessitated the recording of contents of the withdrawal application and the reply in this order. As regards initiation of contempt proceedings, though the language used is intemperate and insinuation made is contemptuous but as the advocates who made the withdrawal application belong to minority this Court should show benevolence and decline to proceed further in the matter.

With these observations the bail application is adismissed as withdrawn.

Application dismissed

(PLD 1987 LAHORE 458)





BALOCHISTAN HIGH COURT (QUETTA) 1987

→ Mr. Justice Amir-ul-Mulk Mengal

BALOCHISTAN HIGH COURT (QUETTA)

Mr. Justice Amir-ul-Mulk Mengal

ZAHIRUDDIN and 4 others Petitioner versus

THE STATE Respondent

Mujeeb-ur-Rehman assisted by Mubarak Ahmed. Syed Ali Ahmed Tariq, Khalid Malik, Ehsanul Haq and Mirra Abdul Rashid for Petitioners

Ch. Muhammad Eiaz Yousuf, Muhammad Moquim Ansari and Basharatullah as Amicus-curia for the State

Dates of hearing: 19th September, 3rd, 4th and 5th October, 1987.

Criminal Revisions Nos. 38 to 42 of 1987. Decided on 22rd December, 1987.

IUDGMENT

MR. JUSTICE AMIR-UL-MULK MENGAL .-- I propose to dispose of the following Criminal Revisions by this single judgment since these petitions arise out of common questions of facts and law.

- Criminal Revision No.38 of 1987, Zahiruddin v. The State.
 Cr. Revision No.39 of 1987, Rafi Ahmed v. The
- State.
 (3) Criminal Revision No.40 of 1987, Abdul Majid v.
- Criminal Revision No.40 of 1987, Abdul Majid The State.
- Criminal Revision No.41 of 1987, Abdur Rehman v. The State.
- Criminal Revision No.42 of 1987, Ch. Muhammad Hayat v. The State.

The relevant facts leading to filling of these petitions are that different ELRs. were lodged against the pretitioners with identical allegations that they were wearing badge of KALMA TAYPAME although they were Abandeis. Consequently challans were put before the Estra-Assistant. Commissioners, and City Magsitate, Quetta, who after conducting the trial convicted the petitioners under section 920-C, FLC, and sentenced each of them to undergo rigionus imprisonment for one year and to py fine of K. microscopic and the progression of the properties of the progression of the progres

The fact that petitioners are Ahmadis and were wearing badge of KALMA TAYYABA was not disputed by any one of them at the trial.

Being dissatisfied with the order of conviction the performed appeals in the Court of learned Sessions Judge, Quetta who was pleased to transfer the same to the Additional Sessions Judge-I, Quetta. After hearing the appellants the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Quetta was pleased to dismiss the appeals vide his

order dated 16-6-1987.

All these petitions have been filed against the aforesaid orders dated 10-7-1986 passed by City Magistrate and order dated 16-6-1987 passed by Additional Sessions

Iudge-I, Quetta.

Learned counsel for the petitioners Mr. Mujecb-urRobert and raised several legal questions which were of
public importance, hence the Court appointed Mr.
Muhammad Moquim Ansari and Mr. Basharatullah,
Advocates as amicus curiae. Besides....., Mr. Ejaz Yousuf,
was also heard as State counse.

Before proceeding further it will be proper to dispose of the preliminary legal objections as raised by Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman learned counsel for petitioners. It was vehemently urged that since five separate appeals filed by the appellants, were disposed of by a common judement. hence learned appellate Court has erred in law by violating the provisions of section 367. Cr.P.C. read with section 424. Cr.P.C. Learned counsel referring to the word "every trial" as used in section 366, Cr.P.C. canvassed that there is no conception under Criminal Procedure Code for consolidating the judgments. It was further averred that even if a common judgment is written, it is required of the judge to discuss the case of each individual accused person separately and with reference to material on record, It was also contended that if a judge without discussing the evidence of every individual accused person separately and distinctly and without making reference to the evidence regarding the individual accused person passes a common judgment, the same becomes erroneous and thus, liable to he set aside with orders of remanding the same for rewriting. Reference was made to the following cases:--

- (i) Raja Muhammad v. The State reported in PLD 1965 Karachi 657. In this case it was observed that disposal of two cross-cases by one judgment is not illegal. However, care must be taken that cach case should be disposed of separately on material of its record without reference to the material and second of others reserved.
- (ii) The case of Gul Sher v. The State reported in PLD 1963 Karachl 598 wherein it was held that when two appeals are heard together each appellant is

- entitled to consideration of his case separately and individually.
- (iii) Tahir v. The State reported in 1968 P Cr. L J 465 wherein it was observed that if the judgment of appellate Court is neither setting out facts of case nor points for determination nor discussion of evidence led, the appeal cannot be said to have been discosed of as required by law.
- (iv) Another reference to the case of Syed Abdul Waheed v. The State reported in 1989 Fc. I.79 also Indicates that appellate Court dealing with six appeals and arriving at comulious judgment was said to have not compiled with the relevant provisions of Cr.P.C. and the case was remanded for rehearing and decision by separate judgment on evidence in each case.
- (v) Finally the case of Kalabepari v. The State reported in PLD 1989 Deca 549 was relied upon wherein it was held that final Court of appeal on plagment as to the application of its mind to the evidence from which at least the Court of revision would be in a position to judge whether there and or had been a proper appreciation of veidence and at the points falling to be decided in the case by

From the preusal of the aforesaid judgments and section JA. Dr. C. It may be observed that the judgment of the amplitude of the property of the pudgment of the appellate Court should be such as to enable the High Court in revision to grasp the nature of the case without reference to the record. If a judgment deals with the material on record and also discussed the received the desiration of the property of the

cannot be said to have been passed in violation of section 424, Cr.P.C.

Applying the observations made in the cases referred to hereinabove to the present case, it may be pointed out that the learned Appellate Court has dealt with the legal as well as factual aspects of the case. Since all the petitioners have admitted the fact that being Ahmadis they were wearing badge of KALMA TAYYABA hence the point for determination was whether or not they have committed an oftence within the meaning of section 298-C, P.P.C. This point was common in all the appeals hence it cannot be said that the appellants were prejudiced in any manner by common judgment or that the learned appellate Court common judgment or that the learned appearate Court failed to abide by the provisions of sections 367 and 424, Cr.P.C. I have perused the judgment of the appellate Court in the light of the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioners and I see no reason to hold that the same s in contravention of section 424 Cr.P.C. The reason being that the nature of the offence was the same i.e. being Ahmadis the petitioners were wearing badge of KALMA TAYYABA. There was no occasion to make reference or to discuss the evidence as led by the prosecution for the reason that all the petitioners admitted before the trial Magistrate that they are Ahmadis and were wearing badge of Kalma Tayyaba, All of them took a common stand that by doing so they in fact have committed no offence. Since in all the five petitions, point for determination was whether wearing of badge of KALMA
TAYYABA by Ahmadis constitutes an offence within the purview of section 298-C. P.P.C. hence common judement did not suffer from any legal infirmity. Furthermore, no injustice has been caused to the petitioners. I, therefore, see no reason to dislodge the judgment on this preliminary legal objection.

It was next contended by Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman that the conviction awarded to the petitioners is not sustainable as the charge put to the petitioners was defective. According to learned counsel, the Magistrate while framing charge violated provisions of Chapter XIX Cr.P.C.

particularly section 223. Crf.C. Learned counsel contended that the charge are and not to the petitioners was different from the questions put to the petitioners as different from the questions put to the petitioners in dear section 32. Crf.C. could not have been put to the petitioners in their statements reported under section 32. Crf.C. could not have been put to the petitioners without first amending the charge to that effect. In order to appreciate the aforesaid contention it may be profitable to reproduce the charge against the petitioners, which was as reproduced to the charge against the petitioners, which was as

section 342, Cr.P.C. was as under:-من: کما سدوست ہے کہ آپ نے کلی طبیعہ کا چج کا کر قاد مائی ہوئے کے نامطے مسلمانوں کی دل

whemence that there was palpable inconsistencies in the question put to the accused/petitioners under section 312. C.P.C. and the contents of the charge. This according to the learned counsel caused great prejudice to the petitioners in their defence inasmuch as they were misled to the their defence inasmuch as they were misled.

After perusal of the relevant provision of Cr.P.C. relating to framing of charge, irrestible conclusion to Academic Academic Perusal Conference of Perusal Conference Perusal Conference

Relying on the case of Surdan Clan Single Y. Engreno as reported in A. T. R. 1981 Labor 8th and the case of Muhammad Ebsan Khan v. State as reported in 1968 F.C.I. 799, the learned counsel argued that the "manner" in which the offence was committed must specifically be put to the accussed in the charge. I have persuade the Jorgest two Judgments. Both of which incidently pertain to the accussed in the charge in the reverse in this foreset countries of the control of the control of the countries. The countries of the countries of

Examining the facts of this case in the light of observations made in aforesard cases as well as the provisions enshrined in Cr.P.C., I am of the view that the charge has been properly pet to the petitioners and defence. The slight change in the questions put under section 342, C.P.C. has in no manner handicapped the petitioners in their defence because the questions put under section 342, C.P.C. has in the petitioners put under section 345, C.P.C. The petitioners were well aware that they were facing charge under section 289-C.P.P.C. They, therefore the control of the control of the petitioners were used aware that they were facing charge under section 289-C.P.P.C. They, therefore took a common plea that by wearing badge of Kelma Toyasha they have consulted no officene under law understand how the petitioners were hampered in their defence or were in any manner prejudiced from the questions put to them under section 325, C.P.C. The result, therefore, would be that this objection is not tenable, thus, the same is overcuried.

This leads us to the moot question which needs determination and which may be put as under:-

petitioners who were Qadiyanis have committed an offence within the meaning of section 298-C, F.P.C.

Lengthy and dexterous arguments were advanced by the Muiceb-ur-Re-human and learned Amicus Curiae on this

Lengthy and dexterous arguments were advanced by Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Re-hman and learned Amicus Curiae on this point. The contentions raised by Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman may be summarised on the point as unders-

- (a) Wearing of badge of Kalma Tayyaba does not constitute an offence within the meaning of section 298-C, P.P.C, because Kalma Tayyaba has not been expressly mentioned in section 298-C, P.P.C. and on the principle of literal construction It cannot be deduced that it forms part of section 298-C, P.P.C.
- (b) The omission to mention Kalma Tayyaba in section 298-C is not accidental but it is intentional. The legislature was fully well aware that saying or uttering Kalma Tayyaba is common between Muslims and Ahmadis.
- (c) The criminal law should be interpreted strictly and that too in favour of the subject. The principle of Expressio Unius Est Exclusio Alterious i.e. Express mention implied exclusion was not
 - properly appreciated by Courts below.

 (d) That in order to construe the true meaning of section 298-C, P.P.C. the Rule of "Ejusdem Generis and Nosoitur Associis" are applicable.
- (e) It was further contended that "Or" as used several times in section 298-C, P.P.C. has been used mostly in explanatory and illustrative form. The same has been used very often neither in conjunction nor in disjunction. However, the learned counsel submitted that only three offences are made out in section 298-C, P.P.C.
 - (f) That mens rea is the basis of commission of any offence which is lacking in the present case.

On the other hand the learned Amicus Curiae Mr. Muhammad Moquim Ansari as well as Mr. Basharatullah, advanced lengthy arguments. The salient features of their arguments may be summed up as under: -

- (i) The intention of the Legislature is manifest and clear. The literal and grammatical meanings of the words used in the aforesaid sections required no further interpretation. The Rule of Ejusdem Generis and Noscitur Associsias en on tapplicable, because the intention of legislature is absolutely clear.
- (ii) Going through the legislative history on the point, the learned amicus curiae submitted that sections 298-B and 298-C, P.P.C. are independent sections creating distinct offences. Section 298-B, relates to protect the Holy names, titles and places, whereas section 298-C, describes offences pertaining to general behaviour.
 - (iii) It was further contended by them that intention of legislature can best be inferred from the preamble of a particular statute which provides a guideline indicating the intention of the legislature.

In order to appreciate the contentions as raised by the learned counsel for the partles it would be proper at this stage to reproduce Ordinance XX of 1984 called as Anti-Islamic Activities of Qadiani Group, Lahori Group and Ahmadis Froibibition and Punishment) Ordinance, 1984:-

"Ordinance XX of 1984 Anti-Islamic Activities of Qadiani Group, Lahore Group and Ahmadis (Prohibition and Punishment) Ordinance, 1984.

An Ordinance to amend the law to prohibit the Qadiani Group Lahori Group and Ahmadis from indulging in Anti-islamic activities.

(Gazette of Pakistan, Extraordinary, Part I, 26th April, 1984). No. F.17(1)/84-Pub.--The following Ordinance made by the President is hereby published for general information:-Whereas it is expedient to amend the law to prohibit

Whereas it is expedient to amend the law to prohibit the Qadiani Group, Lahori Group and Ahmadis from indulging in Anti-Islamic activities:

And whereas the President is satisfied that circumstances exist which render it necessary to take immediate action;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the Proclamation of the fifth day of July, 1977, and in exercise of all powers enabling him in that behalf, the President is pleased to make and promuleate the following Ordinance:

PART I—PRELIMINARY

- Short title and commencement.--(1) This Ordinance may be called the Anti-Islamic Activities of Qadiani Group, Lahori Group and Ahmadis (Prohibition and Punishment) Ordinance, 1984.
 - (2) It shall come into force at once.
 - Ordinanace to override orders or decisions of Courts.

 The provisions of this Ordinance shall have effect
 notwithstanding any order or decision of any Court:

PART II—AMENDMENT OF THE PAKISTAN PENAL CODE (ACT XLV OF 1860)

 Addition of new sections 298-B and 298-C. Act XLV of 1860.— In the Pakistan Penal Code Act (XLV of 1860), in Chapter XV, after section 298-A, the following new sections shall be added namely: -

in Chapter XV, after section 298-A, the following new sections shall be added namely:-"298-B. Misuse of epithets, descriptions and titles, etc., reserved for certain holy personages or places-

(1) Any person of the Qadiani Group or the Lahori Group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name) who by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation:

- (a) refers to or addresses, any person, other than a Caliph or companion of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), as 'Ameer-ul-Munineen', 'Khalifa-tul-Munineen', 'Khalifa-tul-Musimeen', 'Sahab' or 'Razi Alah Anho';
- (b) refers to, or addresses, any person, other than a wife of the Holy Prophet Muhammal (peace be upon him), as 'Ummul-Mumineen';
 (c) refer to, or addresses, any person, other than a
- member of the family (Ahle-bait) of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), as Ahle-bait; or
- (d) refers to, or names, or calls, his place of worship as 'Masjid';

shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years, and shall also be liable to fine.

(2) Any person of the Qadiani Group or Labori Group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other calls (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other calls who by words, either spoken or written, or by visible to representation, refers to the mode or form of close prayers followed by his faith as 'Azan, or recites Azan as used by the Madians, while the purpose of the prayers as used by the Madians, while the purpose of the prayers o

295-C. Person of Osdian Group, etc., calling himself a Muslim or praching, or prospecting binnelf a Muslim or praching, or prospecting bin falls. All the Muslim or process of the Goldin Group or the Muslim or praching or prospecting binnelf as a Muslim, or calls, or refers to, his faith as Islam, or praches or prospecte his faith, or invite-others to accept the faith, when there spoke or the second or praches or prospecte his faith, when there spoke or manner whatsoever outrages the religious feelings of Muslims, shall be punished with impressment of the processor.

either description for a term which may extend to three years and shall also be liable to fine. "

As the outset it was strenuously urged by learned counsel for the petitioners that to construe real meaning of the words used in an enactment and to know intention of the legislature it is a well-settled principle that a statute must be read as a whole. According to the learned counsel it is the statute which is to be read as a whole and not some sections from here and there which may be read together. On this legal proposition the learned counsel argued further that section 298-B and section 298-C, PPC are both part of the same statute i.e. Ordinance XX of 1984, therefore when there is ambiguity, (as according to the learned counsel the words of section 298-C, PPC are ambiguous) the same is to be interpreted with reference to section 298-B, PPC. It was further contended that only those actions of Oadianis which have been prohibited under section 298-B. PPC have been made nunishable in section 298-C. PPC have been made punishable in section 298-C.
According to the learned councel a Qadiani or Ahmadi is add to have posed himself as a Muslim under section 298-C, PPC if he refers to or addresses to any other person, other than a Caliph or companion of the Holy Problem with a Muhammad (peace be upon him), as 'Amere-ut-Mumineen', 'Khalifa-tul-Muslimeen', 'Sahalif' or 'Razi Allah Anho' or for that matter recalls his place of worship as Masiid etc. which are mentioned in section 298-B (1). (a), (b), (c) and (d). Thus, the learned counsel attempted to conclude that since reciting of KALMA TAYYABA or wearing badge of Kalma Tayyaba are not mentioned in any of the clauses of section 298-B, the same cannot, therefore, be presumed to be offences in section 298-C, PPC. Having resort to the maxim expresso unius exclusio alterius, it was argued that the provisions of section 298-C are general whereas offeners mentioned in section 298.B are whereas offences mentioned in section 298-B are particulars, therefore, the particular excludes the general and thus section 298-C, PPC provides only those actions as offences which are particularly and expressly mentioned in section 298-B, PPC. Another limb of the arguments of the learned counsel for the netitioners was that it is not the

function of the court to add words in the statute which otherwise are omitted by legislature. Since Kalma Tayyaba has not been mentioned, rather it is omitted in section 298-C, PPC, therefore, the same cannot be extended into or added to section 298-C, PPC. In fast the learned counsel was describing a well-settled rule of interpretation that offence cannot be created by implication.

In support of the aforesaid contention the learned counsel relief on the case of Khizar Haya't y, Commissioner. Sargodha Division and others PLD 1985 Lah. 349. It was theld in the aforesaid case that it is well-settled rule that the held in the aforesaid case that it is well-settled rule that the courts cannot extend a statute to meet a case for, which courts cannot extend a statute to meet a case for, which cannot extend a statute to meet a case for, which cannot be consected in the control of t

unanimous. No case can be found to authorise any Court to alter a word so as to produce a casso anissus, said Lord Halbbury in Mersey Docks v. Henderson. In Cawford v. Spooner, the Judicial editor of the Court of

"The authorities on this subject are numerous and

The case of Qasu and two others v. The State reported in PLD 1969 Lah. 48 and the relevant observations being at

in PLD 1995 Lan. 48 and the relevant observations being at page 52 read as under:

"It is exiomatic that nothing is to be added to a statute, and words are not to be read into it. 'A case not provided for in a statute is not to be dealt with

merely because there seems no good reason why it should have been omitted, and the omission consequently to have been unintentional' has been quoted as the gist of the decision in Lloyds Bank v. Elliot by Maxwell in his book Interpretation of Statutes, Eleventh Edition, at page 12, under the heading 'Omission not to be Lightly Inferred'."

The third case referred to in support of the aforesaid

ine third case referred to in support or the storestal contention was the case of Ch. Khadim Hussain v. The State PLD 1985 S C (AJ and K) page 125. On page 130 while following the principles laid down by the Supreme Court in case of State v. Zia-tur-Rehman and others reported in PLD 1973 S C 48 is was cherostal vs. Must Publicated in PLD 1973 S C 48 is was cherostal vs. Must Publicate and the state of State vs. Zia-tur-Rehman and others reported in PLD 1973 S C 48 is was cherostal vs. Must Publicate and vs. Must Publicate

"It is only in the case of any ambiguity that a Court is entitled to ascertain the intention of the legislature by construing the provisions of the statutes as a whole while taking into account the circumstances which led to the enactment of the statute. The rule is welllounded that a statute has to be construed as a whole and every part of the statute is to be given a meaning consistent with the other convision thereof."

The aforesaid rules of interpretation or any other rules have been devised to as to exactly secratian or discover the legislative intent in a statute. The fundamental and base phonomena is to give effect to the legislative intent from the words used in a statute. If the words are plain and clear, or make does not arise to have resort to different rules in own and the state of the resort of the resort in the rules of interpretation but to give effect to the ordinary grammatical eneming of the words used in an encatment. This is now almost a well-settled law and if any reference is at all continuous and the state of the rules of the rul

"All rules of interpretation have been devised as sides the discovery of the legislative intent behind an enactment. Where the words are plain and unambiguous that intent can be best judged by lying full effect to the ordinary grammatical meaning of leff between words. But when this is not the case, an attent by should be made to discover the true intent by considering the relevant provision in the contribution in the contribution in the contribution.

the whole Act in which it appears and by having regard to the circumstances in which the enactment came to be passed. The previous state of law the mischlef sought to be suppressed and the new remedy provided are relevant factors to be given due consideration."

It is further a well-established principle of construction that the Courts are not supposed to add or to take from a statute anything unless there are adequate to take from a statute anything unless there are adequate to take from a statute anything unless the supposed to take from a statute anything unless that the legislature commences that the legislature in the present on the legislature in the present on the legislature in the present of the Rasharatulla, learned amient courts. The first slage existed till 21-9-1974, when there has a before provided in the law of under the state of the legislature in the legi

"(3) A person who does not believe in the absolute and unqualified finality of the Prophethood of Muammad (peace be upon him) the last of the Prophets or claims to be a Prophet, in any sense of the word or of any description whatsoever, after Muammad (peace be upon him), or recognizes such a claimant as a Prophet or a religious reformer, is not a Muslim for the purposes of the Constitution or law."

It was the stage when the legislature made a declaration that Qadianis are non-Muslims. After being declared as non-Muslims the Qadianis or Ahmadis etc. continued to claim themselves to be Muslims, but there was no penal section under any law to forbid them from claiming to be Muslims. However, for the purpose of the montitutional rights they were non-Muslims Regreinsfler came the next stage when Muslims and non-Muslims were specified in the Constitution by an amendment so made in the Constitution, known as Constitution (Third was self- necessary to provide penal clauses in law to give effect to the Constitutional amendment as mentioned hereiabove. This was done by Onfiance XX of 1984 already reproduced in the preceding parts by virtue of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Already reproduced in the preceding parts by virtue of Pakistan Paral Cofe, I status with the premittee—

"Whereas it is expedient to amend the law to prohibit the Qadiani Group, Lahori Group and Ahmadis from indulging in anti-Islamic activities;"

meaning thereby that Qudiants being non-Muslims continued indulging in anti-laimine activities. From this brief survey of legislation in respect of the actus on brief survey of legislation in respect of the actus of XX of 1984 was primarily meant to carb the activities of Qudiants from Indulging in anti-laimic Activities. The admixtudy in Pakistan Penal Code Section 298-B, P.P.C. is admixtudy in Pakistan Penal Code Section 298-B, P.P.C. is admixtudy in Pakistan Penal Code Section 298-B, P.P.C. is admixtudy in Pakistan Penal Code Section 298-B, P.P.C. is admixtudy in Pakistan Penal Code Section 298-B, P.P.C. is admixtudy in Pakistan Penal Code Section 298-B, P.P.C. is admixtudy in Pakistan Penal Code Section 298-C. is admixtudy and the Code of the Section 298-C. is admixtudy and the Code of the Section 298-C. is admixtudy and the Code of the Section 298-C. is admixtudy and the Code of the Section 298-C. is admixtudy and the Code of the Section 298-C. is admixtudy and the Code of the Section 298-C. is admixtudy and the Code of the Code

From the above discussion, I conclude and hold that section 298-B, P.F.C. and section 298-C, P.F.C. are two independent sections creating distinct offences. Section to the property of the section of the section 290-C prescribes punishment for conduct and general behaviour of a Qudini if the directly or indirectly poses himself as a Muslim, or calls, or referr to, his faith as bilant, or preaches the property of the property of the property of the property of the property works of the property of the prope

representation or in any other manner whatsoever outrages the religious feelings of the Muslims. It is thus clear that there is no ambiguity in the words used in section 298-C. P.P.C. to discover the legislative intent. Mr. Mujeeb-ur-P.F.C. to discover the tegislative intent. Air. outpreoughters from the first conjointly used in a particular provision of law. It in fact is the rule of Noscitur Associis. But from the bare perusal of section 298-C, P.P.C. it transpires that the aforesaid rule is not applicable because as already observed, section 298-C is an independent section creating distinct offences. I am. therefore, of the firm opinion that no other rule of therefore, of the firm opinion that no orner rane on interpretation or construction can be adopted in interpreting section 298-C, P.P.C. except that the legislative Lintent can be well-judged by giving effect to grammatical meanings of these words as well as scheme of the Ordinance. It thus, ends the discussion on this point.

 (3). Attitude of body or mind, esp, one assumed for effect, Likewise the word "Pose" as defined in Shorter Oxford English Dictionary, Volume II Third Edition revised, means an act of posing, an attitude or posture of the body, or of a part of the body, esp. one deliberately assumed, or in which a figure is placed for effect, or for artistic numoses, fig. An attitude of mind, esp. One assumed for effect, inter to assume a certain attitude. Similarly in Legal Thesaurus the word "Pose" means act as, act the part of, ape, assume the character of, assume the role of but the State Counsel Mr. Eigz Yousuf, relied on the definition used in Corpus luris Secundum wherein it meaos, affirm, to state as a proposition. The learned State Counsel then went on defining the word "affirm" and then allude but this was scriously objected to Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman on the ground that meaning of words cannot be construed in that manner

However, the simplest meaning of pose as used herein scens to be assumed the role of orto pretend to be what in fact one is not. Thus, in its simplest form if a Qudiani poses hinself as Muslim encan when he acts as a Muslim or he assumes the role of a Muslim. Thus, when a Qudiani by his conduct or by any positive act, assumes the role of a Muslim and acts as a Muslim, his act falls within the mischief of section 295C, P.P.C. For instance if a Qudiani displays or brands himself by affixing badge of Kalima Physical States of the control of the poses himself to be a Thyphala as in the instant case, he poses himself to be a

- The next word as repeatedly used in this section is 'Or' accordingly to the learned counsel the word 'or' has mostly been used in an illustrative or explanatory form. It has neither been used in conjunctive nor disjunctive form. However, according to the learned counsel, section 298-C, creates three oftenoes which are as under.
 - (1) if a Qadiani, who directly or indirectly poses himself as Muslim or calls or refers to his faith as Islam:

- (2) Preaches or propagates or invites others to accept his faith by words either spoken or written or by visible representation. (3) in any manner whatsoever outrages the religious
- sentiments of Muslims

Thus, according to the learned counsel the word "or" has been used only twice as disjunctive and the remaining "or" has been used in conjunctive or in illustrative form

The learned counsel attempted to substantiate tis version with the help of the fullowing chart which he himself prepared and which is reproduced as follows:-



Mr. Basharatullah on the other hand contended that "OR" has been used disjunctively creating 7 offences in section 298-C. P.P.C. Be that as it may, the question put in simplest form is if a Qadiani poses himself as a Muslim or...commits an offence within the meaning of section 298-C, P.P.C. The word Muslim as defined by the Constitution means a person who believes in the unity and oneness of

Almighty Allah, in the absolute and unqualified finality of the Prophethood of Muhammad (peace be upon him), the last of the prophets, and does not believe in. or recognizes as a prophet or religious reformer, any person who claimed or claims to be a prophet, in any sense of the word or of any description whatsoever, after Muhammad (peace be upon him). Thus, a person enters the realm of Islam only if he believes in the unity and oneness of Almighty God and in the absolute and unqualified tinality of Prophethood Muhammad (peace be upon him) as last of the Prophets. Learned Amicus Curiae Mr. Muhammad Moquim Ansari rightly pointed out that KALMA TAYYABA is not a Shigar as pointed out by Mr. Majeeb-ur-Rehman but it is one of the fundamentals of Islam without which no one can be entered in Din-e-Islam. It was also pointed out by learned State Counsel Mr. Ejaz Yousuf that as per Sahhie Bukhari Sharif. KALMA TAYYABA is one of the five fundamentals of Jelam It is otherwise known that whenever a con-Muslim converts his religion and adopts Din-i-Islam, the first fundamental is that he recites KALMA TAYYABA. There is thus, no cavil that KALMA TAYYABA is one of the fundamentals of a Muslim. He, who recites KALMA TAYYABA is generally known to be a Muslim. Thus, when a Qadyiani wears a badge of KALMA TAYYABA and roams in the streets, he poses himself to be a Muslim. In the instant case the petitioners admit that being Qadlanis they have affixed badge of KALMA TAYYABA when they were apprehended. There remains thus, hardly any doubt that the petitioners committed an offence within the meaning of section 298-C, P.P.C. The petitioners failed to put any explanation for affixing the same except that as per arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioners that KALMA TAYYABA is common Shigar between Muslims and Oadianis. This aspect has been thoroughly and dexterously dealt by the Federal Shariat Court in the case of Majib-ur-Rehman and 3 others v. Federal Government of Pakistan and another as reported in PLD 1985 F.S.C. 8 and it was observed at page 111 as under:-

"This Injunction is prohibitory of the Idoi worshippers performing their Shia' ar In Kaa'ba and the decree of the Holy Prophet & was prohibitory of their Shia'ar of Hagi Jees Tahkeemul Qur'an, Vol.2, p.186, note 25). It is thus, obviously concluded from it hat Islande Sharia does not allow a non-Muslim to adopt Shia' of Islam, because Shia'ar means the distinguishing features of a community with which it

This may be a complete answer to the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Now I embark upon to determine yet another point raised by Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman that no criminal offence can be made out unless mens rea is proved, on the part of the accused. According to the learned counsel since KALMA TAYYABA being common between Oadianis and Muslims hence the same was in fact affixed not with an intention to ridicule KALMA TAYYABA or to pose themselves as Muslims or injure the feelings of Muslims but just to practice their own religion and there was no intention or mens rea for doing so. On the other hand Mr. Basharatullah, the learned-Amicus Curiae pointed out that generally criminal intention is fundamental ingredient for commission of an offence but it is not always to be found out in any particular offence. According to the learned Amicus Curiae there are provisions in Pakistan Penal Code in which no criminal intention is revealed. Reference was made to sections 124-A, 131, 340, 140 and 402-A, P.P.C.

Be that as it may, it is to be seen in the instant case is to what was the intention of the Gadyanis to were badge of KALMA. TAYVABA and to go in convoided streeth? The make the people believe that they are Musilius. This depicts the criminal intention or mean rea on their part. Thus, it cannot be agued, keeping in view the admitted facts of this case, that the puffitneers acted with no mean or assign any reason for affixing badge of KALMA.

TAYYABA while going out in busy streets of the town except that they pretended to be Muslims or they wanted others to believe that they are Muslims.

The last but the most pertinent question in this petition was about the vires of Ordinance XX of 1984. Although Mr. Mujeehaus-Rehman has very candidly conceded that vires of any legislation cannot be challenged in exercise of revisional jurisdiction of this Court yet he attempted to argue this point indirectly. However, undoubtedly vires of any legislation cannot be challenged collaterally or incidentally before High Court in its revisional jurisdiction, as in this capacity question regarding illegality, impropriety, excess of jurisdiction or illegal assumption of jurisdiction by subordinate courts can only be scrutinized. It may be seen that this law (Ordinance XX of 1984) even otherwise has been declared as a valid piece of legislation by the Federal Shariat Court in case of Mujeeb-ur-Rehman and others v. Federal Government of Pakistan and another reported in PLD 1985 F S C 8. It was also pointed out by Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman that1 appeal against the aforesaid judgment is sub judice before the Supreme Court. As per Article 203-GG of the Constitution, the verdict of Federal Shariat Court is binding on the High Court. The said provision of the Constitution is hereby reproduced:-

"203-GG. Subject to Articles 203-D and 202-F, any decision of the Court in the exercise of its jurisdiction under this Chapter shall be binding on a High Court and on all Courts subordinate to a High Court.

Thus, this Court while exercising revisional jurisdiction shall not call in question the validity of Ordinance XX of 1984.

So far as merits of the case are concerned, as discussed hereinabove, the petitioners have admitted that they are Qadiyanis and were wearing badge of KALIMA TAYYABA, and no explanation whatsoever has come on record as to why they did so. The above factual and relevant legal aspects have been appropriately discussed and determined

by the trial Court as well as appellate Court. There is apparently no illegality, impropriety or excess or failure of jurisdiction in deciding the matter, warranting interference.

The upshot of the above discussion is that I find no merits in these petitions. However, regarding quantum of sentence taking into consideration the peculiar circumstance of case and the fact that petitioners are first offenders a lenient view is taken. Thus, the sentence of each of the petitioners is reduced from I year RJ. to 9 months' BJ. the amount of fine however, shall remain the

Resultantly, with the aforesaid reduction in sentence all the five petitions are dismissed.

same

Before leaving the case, I feel it incumbent to note my appreciation for the valuable assistance rendered by Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman, and the learned Amicus Curiae, Mr. Basharatullah and Mr. Muhammad Moquim Ansari, Advocates, as well as Mr. Ejaz Yousuf.

Petitions dismissed.







FEDERAL SHARIAT COURT 1990

 Mr. Justice Gul Muhammad Khan (Chief Justice)

Mr. Justice Abdul Karim Khan Kundi

Mr. Justice Abdul Razzak A. Thahim
 Mr. Justice Fida Muhammad Khan

FEDERAL SHARIAT COURT Mr. Justice Gul Muhammad Khan, Chief Justice

Mr. Justice Abdul Karim Khan Kundi,

Mr. Justice Ibadat Yar Khan,

Mr. Justice Abdul Razzak A. Thahim

Mr. Justice Fida Muhammad Khan, II

MUHAMMAD ISMAIL QURESHI Petitioner versus

PAKISTAN through Secretary, Law and Parliamentary Affairs

..... Respondent Shariat Petition No.6/L of 1987.

Mian Abdul Sattar Najam, Deputy Attorney-General, Hafiz S A.Rahman and Iftikhar Hussain Ch. for the Federal Government

Nazir Ahmad Ghazi, A.A.-G., Riaz-ur-Rehman Yazdani and Ialaiuddin Khuld, A.A.-G. for the Puniab Government.

Mian Muhammad Aimal, Addl, A.G. for the N.W.F.P. Covernment

Hafix S. A. Rehman for the Sindh Government.

Chari Rashid and Allah Rakhsh Gondal for Others.

Maulana Saeeddudin Sherkoti, Maulana Salauddin Yousaf, Maulana Abdul Shakoor, Maulana Fazal Hadi, Maalana Abdul Falah, Maulana Subhan Mahmood, Mufti Ghulam Sarwar Oadri, Maulana Gohar Aman and Maulana Rizaul Hassan Noori Jurisconsults.

Dates of hearing: 26th to 29th November, 1989 and 4th to 7th March, 1990.

Decided on 30th October, 1990.

JUDGMENT

GUL MUHAMMAD KHAN, CL. This order shall also dispose of Shariat Petition No. 1/o. 1984 and S.S. M. No. 10687 on the same point. Petitioner Muhammad Insenii Qureshi, Advocate, challenges section 292-C of the Pakksian Penal Code, which was enacted vide Ordinane I of 1986. The aller in the same petitioner had moved a similar activation of the period of

Section 295-C. Use of derogatory remarks etc. in respect of the Holy Prophet, Whoever by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation, innuendo, or insinuation, directly or indirectly, defies the sacred name of the Holy Prophet Muhammad \$\mathscr{S}\$ shall be punished with death, or imprisonment for life and shall also be liable to fine.

2. The precise objection taken against this provision is that the alternate punishment of life imprisonment therein is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qura and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet 55. The contention raised is that any disrespect or use of deregatory remarks etc. In respect of the Holy Prophet 55 comes within the purview of hadd and the punishment of death convided in the Holy. Qura and Sunach cannot be death convided in the Holy. Qura and Sunach cannot be

altered. The learned counsel had relied on Verse 13 of Surah An(a), Verse 65 of Surah Al-Nisa in this regard. The learned counsel also referred to some Traditions of the Holy Prophet '' in support of his plea to plead that the sentence of death only is the punishment and no Court shall be given the authority to pronounce the lesser sentence of life imprisonment.

- a. This Court issued public notices and also requested some Jurisconsults to assist. The case was heard at Lahore, Karachi and Islamabad on so many dates and had the assistance of the following Jurisconsults:-
 - (1) Maulana Subhan Mahmood Sahib.
 - (2) Maulana Mufti Ghulam Sarwar Qadri Sahib.
 - (3) Maulana Hafiz Salahuddin Yousaf Sahib.
 - (4) Maulana Muhammad Abdo-hu Al-Falah Sahib. (5) Maulana Syed Abdul Shakoor Sahib.
 - (6) Maulana Fazle Hadi Sahib and (7) Maulana Saced-ud-Din Sherkoti Sahib.

Out of the above, the following supported the plea taken by the petitioner to say that sentence of death is only sentence for this offence:-

- (1) Maulana Subhan Mahmood Sahib.
- (2) Maulana Mufti Ghulam Sarwar Oadri Sahib.
- (3) Maulana Hafiz Salahuddin Yousaf Sahib.
- (4) Maulana Muhammad Abdo-hu Al-Falah Sahib.
- (5) Maulana Syed Abdul Shakoor Sahib and
- (6) Maulana Fazle Hadi Sahib.

The following further stated that in case repentance is shown by the offender the sentence would be waived:--

- (1) Maulana Subhan Mahmood Sahib.

 (2) Maulana Mufti Ghulam Sarwar Qadri Sahib and
 - (3) Mantana Hafiz Salahuddin Youast Sahih

- Maulana Saced-ud-Din Sherkoti, however, stated that even lesser punishment could be given.
- 4. Maulana Subhan Mahmood relied upon Verseš and 66, 3377, 492. 2217, 577, 5911, 65, 4728. He has related some Ahadith and juristic opinions wherein the har related some Ahadith and juristic opinions wherein the contemmer has been considered an apostate. He has further relied upon a Hadith related on the authority of Abu Gulabah wherein the punishment of contemmer has been related by Ozel Ayar that Holy Prophet said 'Kill He person who abuses the Prophet and whip the one who abuses his companions.' He also relied upon Ahadith that Holy Prophet & Phad punished his contemners with death. He also referred to the onesenaus of opinion of the truits that the punishment of contemmer is death. If imprisonment can be given to a woman contemmer or a non-Muslim contemner of the Holy Prophet &?".
- 5. Maulana Mutti Ghulam Sarwar Qadri, reiden Vesses 497, 956,96, 964-16, 289, 33, 37, 468, 261-261 of the Holy Quran and some Ahadith to asy that punishment of death only is persentised for combinence. He also reierred to the Ahadith wherein the Holy Prophet & Pada prostored to the Ahadith wherein the Holy Prophet & Pada prostored Ahadith of the Holy Prophet & Pada prostored to the point that repertance is acceptable in any offence and the point that repertance is acceptable in any offence. Reference was also made to the synings of the prominent Hard Juriste specially ibn Abidits and concluded that the reperture view of Hanal Huritis.
- 6. Maulana Hafiz Salahuddin Yousaf, relied upon the views of Hafiī Jurists that the repentance of the contenuer can be accepted and thereafter he will not be given the punishment of death. He also cited verses of Holy Quran and Ahadith of the Holy Prophet 8°, particularly, and Hadith related on the authority of Ibn Abbas that Holy Prophet 8° said, "Kill the person who changes his religion (Islami." In his view a Maulim contenuer becomes an

apostate and so must be condemned to death. He also quoted opinion of Ibn Tainiyah that the punishment of the contemner is death. He also relied upon the views of Imam Malik, Shafi and Ahmad to the same effect.

- 7. Maulana Muhammad Abdu-hu Al-Falah, among other verses relied Verse 4:46 of the Holy Quran and Ahadith of the Holy Prophet As wherein the Prophet has prescribed the punishment of death for his contemmer. He further stated that there is consensus of the opinion among the Jurists on the Point that the punishment of the contemmer is death.
- 8. Maulan Syed Abdul Shakor cited Verses 924.
 3857 a. 91. He also quoted Hadish of the Hay Prophet

 57 that the punishment of contenner is death and that he

 68 that the punishment of contenner is death and that he

 69 that his contenners with death. He further quoted

 61 views of different Jurists from the book Al-Figh ala

 61 Wazahibil Africa by Abdul Rehamal Jazzeri, Vol. V, pages

 74-275 and Raddul Mukhtar Vol. III pages 290-291.
- 9. Maulana Fazle Hadi, relied upon Verses 49:2, 33:57,28, 58:22, 9:12, 9:65 and 66. He also cited some Ahadith of the Holy Prophet 85 wherein the punishment of death has been prescribed for the contemner of the Prophet. He also quoted opinions of Jurists that the punishment of the contemner is death.
- 10. N°10-lana Sneed-ud-Din Shr/skul, quoted Verses SS, 942,353, 813, 2187, 229 and 33.57 of the Holy Quran. He also cited many of Ahadith wherein the Holy Prophet with Jad punished his contemners with death as also he had also he had punished since the ment. He also referred to many views of Jurist's specially those described by Maulana Ashraf. Ali Thanvi in his book Imdadul Fatawa Vol. V, narest 16:1.16.
 - Almost all the Jurisconsults have relied upon the following verses which are as under:-
 - "33:57 Lo! those who malign Allah and his Messenger, Allah hath cursed them in the world and the

Hereafter, and hath prepared for them the doom of the disdained.

Explaining this verse Allama Qurtubi writes:

"Everything which becomes a means of malignment of the Holy Prophet whether by quoting words bearing different meanings or similar actions comes under his malignment (241). (Al-lamiu Liahkami I)

Quran, Vol. XIV, page 238)."

Aliama Ismail Haggi while explaining this verse

writes:

"With the maignment of Allah and his Prophet is

meant only the malignment of the Prophet in fact, and mention of Allah is only for glorification and exaltation and to disclose that the malignment of the Prophet is indeed the malignment of Allah."

12. The next verses relied upon (9:61-62) is as follows:—

"9:61-62 And of them are those who vex the Prophet and say: He is only a hearer. Say: A hearer of good for you, who believeth in Allah and is true to the believers, and a mercy for such of you as believe. Those who vex the Messenger of Allah, for them there is a painful doom." (9:61).

"They swear by Allah to you (Muslims) to please you, but Allah, with His Messenger, hath more right that they should please him if they are believers." (9:62).

Ibn Taimiyyah while explaining these verses writes:
"Verse 9:62 denotes that the malignment of the Prophet is
the opposition (مانات مناق) of Allah and His Prophet".

(Assarim-ul-Maslol, pages 20, 21)

13. Ibn Taimiyyah further writes: "It is related on the authority of Ibn Abbas that when a man from a group of contemners came to the Prophet, he said to him "why you and your friends abuse me." That person went and brought his friends and they all swore in Allah and said that they have not abused him. On this the following verses were revealed:—

58-18-

"On the day when Allah will raise them all together, then will they swear unto him as they (now) swear unto you, and they will fancy that they have some standing. Lot is it not they who are the liars?

58:20:

"The devil hath engrossed them and so hath caused them to forget remembrance of Allah. They are the devil's party. Lo! is it not the devil's party who will be the losers?

These verses are Ilnked with Verse 58:20 which is as under:--

58:20:

"Lo! those who oppose Allah and His messenger, they will be among the lowest."

14. Thus this link of the verses of the Holy Qur'an is obvious that these abusers and contemners of the Prophet are the opponents of Allah and His Prophet about whom the Qur'an save:

"When thy Lord inspired the angels, (eaying) I am with you. So make those who believe stand firm. I will throw fear into the hearts of those who disbelieve. Then smite their necks and smite of them each finger (6:12).

That is because they opposed Allah and His messenger. Whoso opposeth Allah and His messenger, (for him) Lo! Allah is severe in punishment. (8:13).

punishment. (8:13).

And if Allah had not decreed migration for them. He verily would have punished them in this world, and

theirs in the Hereafter is the punishment of the Fire. (59:3).

That is because they were opposed to Allah and His, messenger; and whoso is opposed to Allah (for him) verily Allah is stern in reprisal." (59:4).

So these verses clearly prescribe the severe punishment of death for the opponents of Allah and his Prophet, who include contemners of the Prophet Å& (ibid., page 24).

15. The Holy Qur'an further mentions in this regard:--

"If the hypocrites, and those in whose hearts is a disease, and the alarmists in the city do not cease. We verily shall urge thee against them, then they will be your neighbours in it but a little while. (33:60).

Accursed, they will be seized wherever found and slain with a (fierce) slaughter." (33:61).

These verses state that the punishment of these munafiqin (contemners) is death, (ibid., page 42).

16. The Holy Qur'an has described the glorification and exaltation of the Prophet in another way and has ordered the Muslims to malatain it and be careful in this regard otherwise their good deeds will be rendered vain. Our'an asays:

"O ye who believe! Lift not up your voices above the voice of the Prophet, nor shout when speaking to him as ye shout one to another, lest your works to rendered vain while ve perceive not." (49:2).

Ibn Taimlyysh while explaining this verse writes "In this verse the believers have been prohibited from raising their voices over the voice of the Prophet and that their loud voice before the Prophet may not render their good deeds as vain and they will not understand it.

17. It is obvious from different Verses of Qur'an that infidelity and apostasy render actions of any person as vain. The Holy Qur'an says:--

They question thee (O Muhammad) with regard to warfare in their sacred month. Say Warfare threnic is a great (transgression), but to turn (men) from the way of Allah, and to disbelieve in him and in the lavislable place of Worship, and to expet his people tenee, is a greater from with Allah, for persecution is worse than Allling. And they will not exare from fighting against you till they have made you becometh a renegade and dieth in his disbelief such are they whose words. have fallen both in the world and the Hereafter. Such are rightful owners of the Fire they will abole therein. (2.21)

This day are (all) good things made lawful for you. he food of those who have received the Scripture is lawful for you, and your good is lawful for them. And on are the vittuous somen of those who received the Scripture before you (lawful for you) when ye give homeur, not in fornication, nor taking them as secret concubines, whose denieth the faith, this work is yain and he will be among the losers in the Hereafter. (53).

This is the quidance of Allah whereby he guideth whomsoever of his bondmen he listeth. And if they had associated, to naught would have come all that they were wont to work. (6:88).

And verily it hath been revealed unto thee as unto those before thee (saying); if thou ascribe a partner to Allah thy work will fail and thou indeed will be amone the losers. (39.65).

That is because they are averse to that which Allah hath revealed, therefore. He maketh their actions, fruitless. (47:9).

18. In order to stop insinuations against the Prophet, Holy Qur'an prohibited the believers to use ambiguous words as used by the jews for insulting the Prophet. The Holy Qur'an says:-- "O ye who believe! say not (unto the Prophet):

"Listen to us" but say "Look upon us, and be ye listeners. For disbelievers is a painful doom." (2:104).

Maulana Muhammad Ali Siddiqui while explaining this verse, writes: "The jews used this word as insult of the Prophet. The word 'raina' win) has two meanings, good and the dad, its good meaning is "Be kind and stendive to use he had meaning is that jews spoke it Reezna (win) which means 'Ohi our Shepherd' and they used this word to degrade the Prophets. So it is an innerendo amounting to degrade the Prophets. So it is an innerendo amounting to prophet the second of the prophets of the second of the prophets of the second of the prophets of the second of the means which lead to the contents of the Prophet.

19. The Jews used the word 'raina' (راعب) as raeena (راعب) for defecting the religion (of Islam). Holy Quran says:--

"Some of those who are jews change words from their context and asy: We hear and disobeys hear thou as one who heareth not' and Listen to us' distorting with their tongues and slandering religion. If they had said: 'we hear and we obey hear thou, and look at us' it had been better for them, almore upright, and the said of the sa

Allama Qurtubi writes, "they Muslims were prohibited from speaking this word so as to stop the means leading to the contempt of the Prophet. The glorification and exaltation of Prophet is the base of the religion and thus depriving it is depriving the religion." (Maalimul

Quran by Muhammad Ali Siddiqui, Vol. I, Pages 463-468).

20. It has been related on the authority of Abdullah

Bin Abbas that a munafiq man named Bishar had a dispute
with a jew in some matter. The jew told him to go to the
Prophet for decision and the munafiq told him to go to
Kaab Bin Ashafa. Anyhow they went to the Holy Prophet

87 and the Prophet decided in favour of the jew. The person (mandal) was not willing on that decision and thus they brought the dispute before Bazart Umar. The jew told lateral Umar that Holy Prophet is Ban already decided in International Conference of the Prophet Conference of the Hazart Umar wast to munaring "Is this so", He sald "Ves". Hazart Umar wast to munaring "Is this so", He sald "Ves" the munaring and said, "I decide so for the person who does not agree to the decision of the Holy Trophet." On this Verse agree to the decision of the Holy Trophet." On this Verse gree to the decision of the Holy Trophet." On this Verse that the property of the Prophet. The Prop

"By nay, by thy Lord, they will not believe (in truth) uotil they make thee judge, of what is in dispute between them and find within themselves no distlike of that which thou decidest, and submit with full submission." (4:65). (Ruhul Masan Vol. V. page 67)

This action of Hazrat Umar as approved by Holy Qur'an is an authority for the sentence of death for contempt of the Holy Prophet &.

21. The Holy Qur'an has further declared that the contempt of the Prophet is apostasy in any form it may be. Holy Qur'an says:—

"And if thou ask them (O Muhammad) they will say: We did but talk and jest. Say: Was it at Allah and his revelations and his messenger that ye did scoff?

Make no excuse. Ye have disbelieved after your (confession of) bellef. If we forgive a party of you, a party of you we shall punish because they have been

guilty." (9:66).

(9:65).

22. Ibn Taimlyyah while explaining these verses writes, 'This text is on the point that cutting jokes with Allab, his verses and His Prophet is infidelity. So the contempt Is more liable to be infidelity as is derived from this verse that he who iosults the Prophet becomes apostate.' (Assarimul Masalu, page 31).

Abu Bakar thn Arabi white exptaining this verse writes, "the hypocrites spoke this word either intentiolation or as a joke and whatever the case may be it is infidelity because making joke with the words of infidelity is also infidelity, (Ahkmul Qur'an, Vol. II, page 564).

23. The Holy Qur'an, as a glorification of the Holy Prophet ASP prohibited even the stightest cause of annoyance and declared that marriage with the wives of the Prophet after his death is prohibited for the believers so as to avoid not being means of the contempt of the Prophet. Holy Ouran save:—

Oy who believe! Enter not the dwellings of the Prophet for a meat without waiting for the proper time, unless permission be granted you. But if ye are invited enter and when your meal is ended, then disperse. Linger not for conversation. Lot that would cause annoyance to the Prophet, and he would be shy of laskingly you (to go); but Allah is not shy of the truth. And when ye ask of them (the wives of the truth. The when ye ask of them (the wives of the curtain. That is pure of hearts and for their hearts. And it is not for you to cause annoyance to the messenger of Allah, nor that ye should, ever marry his wives after him. Lot that in Allah's sight would be an

enormity. (33:53)."

4. The Holy Prophet 55° is the best interpreter of the above-noted verses of the Holy Our an and it is also proved by his Sunnah that his contemner is liable to the penalty of death. Reference may be made to the following Abadity.

- (i) It has been related on the authority of Hazart All that Holy Prophet 65° said: "Kill the person who abuses a Prophet and whip by stripes the one who abuses my companions." (Al-Shifa, Qazi, Ayaz Vol.11, baze 194).
- (ii) It has been related on the authority of the Abbas that a blind person in the period of Holy Prophet

Prophet . This blind person bade her to abstain from it and warned her not to do so but she didn't care. One night when she was as usual abusing the Holy Prophet &, this blind person took a knife and attacked her belly and killed her. Next morning when the case of murder of this woman was referred to the Holy Prophet AF, he collected the people and said, "who has done this job, Stand and confess because of my right on him for what he has done." On this the blind person stood and came rolling the people before the Holy Prophet & and said, "O Prophet, I have killed this slave woman because she abused you. I have constantly forbade her but she didn't care for that. I have two beautiful sons from her and she was my very good companion, but vesterday when she started abusing you. I took my knife and attacked on her belly and killed her." The Holy Prophet 50 said. "O people! be witnesses that the blood of this woman is vain (الساطا)." (Abu Daud, Vol.II,

(iii) It has been related on the authority of Hazrat Ali that a jew woman used to abuse the Holy Prophet 龄 and thus a person killed her. The Holy Prophet 经 declared her blood as vain (心) (ibid).

pages 355-357).

- (v) It has been related on the authority of Jabis Ibn Abdullast hist Holy Prophet 67 said, "who will help me against Kaab bin Ashraf. He has indeed teased Allah and His Prophet." On this Muhammad Ibn Maslamah stood and said, "Of Prophet said, "Yes". Then he went alongwith Abbas Ibn Hiber and Ibad Ibn Bishar and killed him, flushkari, Vol.11, page 81.
- (vi) It has been related on the authority of Bara Ibn Azib who said that Holy Prophet sent some persons of Ansar under the headship of Abdullah Ibn Atik to a jew named Abu Rafia who used to tease the Holy Trophet & and they killed him. (Assarimul Masilul by Ita Taimlyyah, page 152).
- (vii) It has been related on the authority of Umair Ibn Umayyah that he had a 'mushrikah' sister who teased him when he met the Holy Prophet A and used to abuse the Holy Prophet A. At last one day he killed her with his sword. Her sons cried and said, "We know her murderers who killed our mother and the parents of these people are 'mushrik', (Infidels)." When Umair thought that her sons may not murder wrong persons, he came to the Holy Prophet A and informed him of the whole situation. The Prophet said to him, "Have you killed your sister?" He said, "Yes." Prophet said, "Why" He said that she was harming me in your relation. The Prophet called her sons and asked about the murderers. They showed other persons as murderers. Then Prophet informed them and declared her death as vain. (Maimauz Zawaid wa Manbaul Fawaid, Vol.V. page 260).

(viii) It has been narrated that after the conquest of Makka, the Holy Prophet, after giving general pardon, ordered killing of Ibn Khatal and his sheslaves who used to compose defamatory poems

- about the Holy Prophet. (Al-Shifa by Qazi Ayaz, Vol. II, page 284 Urdu Translation). (ix) It has been narrated by Qazi Ayaz in Shifa that a
- (ix) It has been narrated by Qazi Ayaz in Shifa that a person abused the Holy Prophet ⁶⁰. The Prophet said to Sahaba "Who will kill this person." On this Khalid Iba Walid asid, "I will kill him." The Prophet ordered him and he killed him (ibid).
- (x) It has been narrated that a person came to the Holy Prophet and said, "Oh Prophet! My father abused you and I couldn't bear it thus killed him." The Holy Prophet 孫 confirmed his action."(ibid), page 285).
- (si) It has also been related that a woman who belonged to Ban Khatmah tribe used to abuse the Holy Prophet & The Holy Prophet & Said to his companions, "who will take revenge from this, abusive woman." A person of her tibe took the responsibility and killed her. He then came to the Holy Prophet who said "In this tribe the two the property of the property of the property of the unity and intertive," (Blob, bear 28%).
- 25. Abdur Razaq in his Musannaf has related the following Traditions about the contempt of the Holy Prophet and its punishment:
- (i) Hadith No.9704: It has been related on the authority of Brimah that a person abused the Holy Prophet 能, The Holy Prophet 能, aid, "who will help me against my (this) enemy."
 Zubair said, "I". Then he (Zubair) fought with him and killed him. The Holy Prophet 能, and the said with the him and killed him. The Holy Prophet 能, and the said with the sai
 - Zubair said, "I". Then he (Zubair) fought with him and killed him. The Holy Prophet M? gave him this goods.

 (ii) Hadith No.5705: It has been related on the authority of Urwah Ibn Muhammad (who relates
 - from a companion of the Prophet) that a woman used to abuse the Holy Prophet Mr. The Holy Prophet Mr said, "who will help me against my

- (this) enemy." On this Khalid Ibn Walid went after her and killed her.
- (iii) Hoddith No.9706c II has been related on the authority of Abdur Razay who relates from his father that when Ayub libn Yahya went to Adnan, a man was referred to him who had abused the tidy Prophes IIP. He consulted (the Ulana) in this him to kill him and he killed him. Abdur Rahman had related to him a haddith in this regard that he had met Ulara and had got a great knowledge from him. Ayub also referred this action to Abdul Mallis (or Yeshid Ilbn Abdur Aladis). He replied
- (iv) Hadith No.9707: It has been related on the authority of Saeed Ibn Jubair that a person falsified the Holy Prophet & The Prophet sent Ali and Zubair and said to them, "kill him when you find him."
 - (v) Hadith No.9708: It has been related on the authority of Ibn Taimi who relates from his father that Hazzat Ali ordered the person who blamed (abused) the Holy Prophet 48 be killed. (Musannaf Abdur Razaq, Vol.V., pages 377-378)
- 26. It is pertinent to mention here that Holy Prophet is had pardoned some of his contemners but the Jurists concur that Prophet himself dis had the right to pardon his contemners but the Ummah has no right to pardon his contemners. (Assarumal Maslul, Ibn Taimiyyah, pages 222-223).
- 27. Ibn Taimiyyah writes, "Abu Sulaiman Khatlabi said, "When the contemner of the Holy Prophet '&' is a Muslim then his punishment is death and there is no difference of the opinion among the Muslims about this matter in my knowledge." (Assarimul Masulu, page 4).
 - 28. Qazi Ayaz writes, "Ummah is unanimous on the point that the Punishment of a Muslim who abuses the

Holy Prophet & or degrades him is death. (Al-Shifa, Vol.II, page 211).

Qadi Ayaz further wites. "Every one who abuses Holy Prophet 8th", points out any defect in him, his lineage, his religion or in any of his qualities, or makes allasion with him or resembles him with another thing as his insult, disrespect, degradation, disregard or his defect, he is contemner and he will be killed, and there is consensus of the ulensa and Jurists on this point from the period of the time of the content of the content of the point of the 12th. The content of the content of the content of the content of the 24th.

29. Abu Bakar Jassas Hanafi writes, "There is n difference of opinion among the Muslims that a Muslir who maligns or insults the Holy Prophet for intentionall becomes apostate liable for death. (Ahkamul Quran Vol.II., page 109). It will be useful to note one Hadith herei-

"It has been related on the authority of Abdullah Ibn Abbas that Prophet # said, "Kill the person who changes his religion (Islam)." (Bukhari, Vol.II, page 123).

- 30. It has been related by Qazi Ayar that Haronous Rashid asked man Malik about the punishment of the contemner of the Prophet and told him that some Juriste of Iraq had suggested the punishment of whipping in stripes. Intam Malik became furious on that and said, "Online Williams of the Williams of th
- 31. Ibn Taimiyyah, while relating the opinions of the Jonathan and Jonathan and Jonathan arasi Shafe has related that there is consensus of opinion among the Muslims that the punishment of contemner of the Prophet is death, if he is Muslim. (Assarimul Mayalla, page 3).
- 32. The above discussion leaves no manner of doubt that according to Holy Qur'an as interpreted by the Holy Prophet & and the practice ensuing thereafter in the

Ummah, the penalty for the contempt of the Hoty Prophet M is death and nothing etse. We have also noted that no one after the Holy Prophet M exercised or was authorised the right of reprieve or pardon. The next question arising in the case is thus to specify or clearly define the offence of contempt of the Holy Prophet.

Allama Rashid Raza, while explaining the meaning of the word (4) writes, "It means anything with which the body or the mind of a living person is pained though very lightly." (Al-Manar, Vol. V. age 445).

Allama Ibn Taimiyyah, while explaining the significance of the contempt writes, "th means to curse the Prophet, prays for any difficulty for him, or refers to him such a thing which does not behove with his position or uses any insuling falser and unreasonable words or imputes ignorance to him or blames him with any human weakness etc." (Assarind Madul, Br Taimiwah, page \$264.

34. Ibn Tainhyyah, while concluding the discussion about the scope and what constitutes the offence of the contempt of the Prophet 87 writes, "Sometimes a word in a situation may amount to injury and insutt while such a word may not amount to injury and insutt on another occasion. This shows that the interpretation of the words which bear different meanings and senses changes with the change of circumstances and occasions. And when (——) (insult, contempt) has neither been defined in Shariah nor in dictionary, the custom and usage will be relied upon in

determining, its interpretation. So what is considered contempt and insult in the custom and usage that will be considered contempt and insult in Shariah as well and vice versa." (Assarimul Maslul, Ibn Taimiyyah, page 540).

35. Crimian Hability may require the wongful selts to done interfluentially or with some further wongful purpose in mind, or it may suffice that it was done in exclassly, and in each case the mental altitude of the doer is such as to make punishment effective. If a person intentionally chose the wrong doing, penal discipline will furnish him with a sufficient motive to choose the right intended, for the future. If, on the other hand, he committed the forbidden act without wrongful intent, yet realising the possibility of the harmful result, punishment may be an

36. Yet there are other cases in which, for sufficient consumations, the law is content with a lower form of mens rea. This is the case with crimes of negligance. And one of the consumer consumers in the case with crimes of negligance. And the consequence in question, in another case the law may over beyond this, looking, an ame reponsible for his act, independently altogether of any wrongful state of mind or of ratal trans by editinguished as wenning of Strict liability.

37. The wrongs thus are of three kinds:-

- Intentional or Reckless Wrongs, in which the mens rea amounts to intention, purpose, design, or at least foresight.
- (2) Wrongs of Negligence, in which the mens rea assumes the less serious form of mere carelessness, as opposed to wrongful intent or foresight. With these wrongs defences such as mistake will only negative mens rea if the mistaketiself is not negligent.
- (3) Wrongs of Strict Liability, in which the mens rea is not required, neither wrongful intent nor

culpable negligence being recognised as a necessary condition of responsibility, and here defences like mistake are of no avail.

38. An intention thus is the purpose or design with which an act is done. Suppose one buys a guits intention may be to shoot for sport or game, to use in self-defence or to shoot some one to cause his death. However, if the latter act is proved as not shooting for defence but as killing then the intention can be said to be to do this very thing i.e., to kill him.

39. An unintentional act is one lacking such purpose or design. An act such as killing, which consists of a cause and an effect, may be unintentional when the actor brings about consequences which he does not intend. One may about consequences which he does not intend. One may kill by mistake, say firing at a game or wrongly imagining this mit to be someone else. In the former case, he fails to foresee the consequences, in the latter he is ignorant of some of the circumstances.

40. A system of law, however, could provide that a ma be held liable for such consequences, even those held held not intend them. In the first place, such a rule would obviate the need for difficult inquiries into the mental element. But secondly, and more important, the rule could be justified on the ground that a man should not do acts which he foresses will involve consequential harm to others, whether or not he intends to cause this harm. Such behaviour is clearly reckless or blameworthy, unless the behaviour is clearly reckless or blameworthy, unless the and test.

41. Both in this special connection and generally, then it is to be observed that the law may, and sometimes does, impute liability, outside the strict definition of intention, for what is called onstructive intention. Consequences which are in fact the outcome of negligence merely are sometimes in law deal twith as intentional. Thus he who intentionally does grievous bodily harm to another, though with no desert to dail thin, or certain expectation of

- 42. Law frequently, though by no means invariably, treats as intentional, all consequences due to that form of negligence which is distinguished as reclievances that is to wrongful act. The foresight of the reasonable man is of course an obviously useful evidential test, whereby to infer, what the actor himself foreseaw, but the rule infer, means the actor himself foresaw, but the rule intentioned has transformed it into a presumption of use and the course of the course of
- 43. In the Shariah, it makes no difference whether the criminal Intent precedes the offence or synchronizes with it. In either case the penalty is identical. This principle is substantiated by the following Tradition of the Holy Prophet &: "Allah condones all those sinister ideas coming into
 - "Allah condones all those sinister local coming into the minds of the members of my Ummah which they have not expressed or put into practice."

That is why the Shariah draws no line of distinction between homicide or infliction of injury decided upon beforehand and unpremeditated homicide or injury and lays down identical penalty in both the cases. The prescribed punishment for murder is 'qisas' whether it is premeditated or not. '

44 The intention may be definite or indefinite. The intention of an offender to do a definite vrong to an indefinite person will be regarded as definite intent. If the foreigner is conscious of the potential results of his act and offence would in spite of its indefinite results be treated as definite as, whatever the results produced by it. The Hanafites and the Hamblites as well as some jurists of the Shaffee School do not differentials between definite intents in criminal cases including homicide.

involves a definite victim.

Further, In determining the accountability of the offender and the sort of offence he is guilty of, the jurists place both definite and indefinite intents on equal footing and regard them as subject to the same injunction except when the offence consists of houncide and the criminal intent is indefinite.

45. The Shariah has kept in view the difference between criminal latent and the motive of crime, right from its very beginning but has not admitted of the bearing of the motive on the commission and pattern of the crime and the motive on the commission and pattern of the crime and Shariah whether the motive of offence is noble, just as Shariah whether the motive of offence is noble, just as tilling, in retailation, for the more of one's next of kin or for the indigatity suffered at the hands of the victin, or for the indigatity suffered at the limit as it is its of reconstructions and the commission of the commission of the reconstruction.

46. In other words, the motive of crime has nothing to do with the criminal intents not does it affect the patient or crime or its punishment. So it is practically possible to orferoes are concerned but it is not so in the case of penal punishment. The motive does not affect the 'hadd' or qlass' offences because the law-maker has confined the Powers of the Court to the prescribed punishments. The confined the Powers of the Court to the prescribed punishments of contract the property of the Court to the prescribed punishments the law-maker empowers the Court to determine the quantum of Penally and choose the kind of penally so that it may be possible for the Court to penally so that it may be possible for the Court to determine the quantum of Penally and choose the court of penally so that it may be possible for the Court to the court of the court o

47. In other words the difference between the man-made laws in force and the Islamic Shariah is that the latter does not recognize the effect of motives in the case of offences which are eats gorised as 'Hudodo' or 'Qiasa' but in the case of other offences, there is nothing in the Shariah inhibition the Court to take into account the motive of

- crimes although it does not theoretically admit of its effect on Punishment.
 - 48. It will be seen from the above discussion that Shain recognises an offence Ilable to Hadd only if it is accompanied by an express intention. Shainh also waives the penalty of Hadd if any doubt occurs therein. It is also based on a Tradition of the Holy Prophet ## that doubts disrel sentences of Hadd.
- 49. So the wrongs of the first category only in para. 37 show will started the penalty of Hadd and it will apply to the contemmer of the Holy Prophet ®F. Further, as intention is to be gathered from the facts surrounding the event, the started the started the started the started the started the started that the never intended to commit the offence and is penitent if the words said, jesture made or the set done mind or malloc. We may also clarify that penitence, in an alteged offence of contempt of the Holy Prophet ®F, would be availed to show that time of the accused had no gullty starts or malice and the penalty will be despelled on using the starts or malice and the penalty will be despelled on our an intended contempor.

The Holy Our'an says:

- "33:5 And there is no sin for you in the mistakes that ye make unintentionally, but what your hearts purpose (that will be a sin for you), Allah is forgiving, merciful."
- "6:54 When those come to thee who believe in Our Signs, Say: "Peace be on you; Your Lord had inscribed for Himself (the rule of) Mercy: verily, if any of you did evil in ignorance, and thereafter repented and amended (His conduct), lo! He is oft-Frovicing Most Mercfin!"
- "16:106 Anyone who, after accepting faith in Allah, utters unbelief, except under compulsion, His heart remaining firm in faith but such as open

their breast to unbelief, on them is Wrath from Allah, and theirs will be a dreadful penalty."

"40:19 (Allah) knows of (the tricks) that deceive with the eyes, and all that hearts (Of men) conceal."

50. It has been related on the authority of Hazzt Umar that he heard the Prophet \$\vec{x}\$ say "the reward of deeds depends upon the intention and every person will get the reward according to what he had intended. So whoever emigrated for overdly benefits of rot awoman to marry, his emigration was for what he emigrated for." (Bukhari, Vol.) page 1, Hadith No.1).

51. It has been related on the authority of Ubbaye Ibn Ka'b who said, "There was a person among the Ansar whose house was situated at the farthest and of Madina. but he never missed any prayer along with the Messenger of Allah . We felt pity for him and said to him: O, so and so, why don't you buy a house near the Prophet's house so as to save you from the troubles of the heat and the coming from a long distance. He said: Listen! by Allah, I do not like my house to be situated by the side of Muhammad 2.1 took (these words of his) ill and came to the Apostic of Allah (3) and informed him about (these words). He (the Holy Prophet) called him and he said exactly like that (which he had mentioned to Ubbaye Ibn Ka'b) but made a mention of this also) that he wanted a reward for his steps. Upon this the Apostle of Allah (AF) said: in fact for you is the reward which you intend. (Muslim, Vol. I, English Translation by Abdul Hameed Siddiqui, pages 323-324, Hadith No.1404). The above Tradition clearly shows that on the face of it the words said sounded contemptuous but that was not the intention of the ulterer and so he was absolved of any penalty.

52. It has been related on the authority of Yahya Ibn Sayyed that the Apostle of Allah was seated while a grave was being dug at Madina. A man suddenly looked down into the grave and said: Bad is the sleeping place of a believer. The Apostle of Allah retorted: What a bad thing you have said: The man evolated in the said of the s

but 1 meant that fight in the way of Allah (is better). Then the Apostle of Allah said thrice: There is nothing like death in the way of Allah. There is no other tract of land in the world in which I would prefer my grave. (Mishkat, Vol.II), pages 662-663, English Translation by Faziul Karim, Hadith No. 573).

53. It is relevant to meation here that the mere fact that the words suttered sounded contemptuous of the Prophet is not an offence until it is based on malicious action or degration. For example, speaking loudly has been probibited before the Prophet. The Holy Queria asys, "Oy see that the Prophet are peaking loudly has been probible of the Prophet. The Holy Queria asys," Oy see that the Prophet nor speak loud to him in talk, asy empsy about to one another, lest your deeds become void and ye precieve not." (492). In this connection Allama Qurtubl while explaining Verse 492 writes, "this is the prohibition of shouting, and raining the voice over the voice of Prophet which actually injured hun. However, it will be no offence which actually injured hun. However, it will be no fer from the contraction of the cause of brattice of the rightening the

34. Allama Alusi, while explaining Verse 492 writes, when this verse was revealed Shit lim Qais" whose voice was naturally load, went to his house and closed his door and started weeping. When he didn't attend the gatherings of the Prophet for a long time, the Holy Prophet of the Common of the C

55. Allama Aiusi further writes, "their shouting before the Prophet is of two kindse (i) which does not amount to rendering the good deeds wain (ii) which based on malitious and insulting action as in case of shouting and speaking with loud voice in battle, and the prophet of the property of insults at Prophet to call the people with loud voice and he called people with to the prophet with loud voice and he called people with such a loud voice that all the pregnant women delivered their pregnancies by that And the second is based on malicious and insulting actions as was done by the

56. Quitable writes that the last portion of this verse was revealed about a person who said, "I will marry Hazrat Alaha after the death of the Prophet." When Prophet was informed he was genetly injersed by that. At this occasion with the property of the

37. The Holy Prophet 86 didn't punish Mistal, Hassan and Haman who had actually participated in the accusation of Harat Aishia and he also did not declare them as hypocrites. In-rainarylas, texplaining that position writes, 'they had not intended the injury of the Prophet and there was not any-sign of that, while line Useay had intended the injury. This was because at that time it had with the property of the p

Ali and Zaid and enquired from Batriah and consequently didn't declare those who didn't intend the injury of the Prophet as hypocrites on the possibility in their mind that Holy Prophet might have divorced the accused wife. But after the order that his wives in this world will be his wives hereinafter and that they are the mothers of the believers, their accusation would be the injury of the Prophet at any cost." (Assarium Masile), ais Shattime Rasul, page 49).

58. Maulana Ahmad Yar Khan Badayuni writes, "intention" of the contemner is necessary for proving the offence of contempt of the Holy Prophet AB. If a person said, "The Holy Prophet AB was poor and was not a fortunate." So he will become infided only when he intends the contempt of the Prophet with that." (Nurul Irfan, Part X, page 74).

39. Some of the Jurists are, however, of the opinion that if the contempt of the 10ly Prophet & is in manifest that and express words, the contemner will not be asked as to what was his intention but if the words are such which bear are or have the capacity of bearing different meanings and the senses out of which only one amounts to contempt, he will libe asked as to what was his "intention." (Al-Shifa by Qazi Avaz. Vol.II. assee 231).

60. We, however, do not agree. Firstly, the meaning and import of words differ from place to place. Again context may also suggest different meaning. The accused therefore, must be allowed an opportunity to explain lest Perphet of the Again of the A

Qu'an 17:13, 14, 36:65, 27:20, 22, 16:93 and 21:23. We also find from the Traditions referred to in pāras,36-41 above that the right of an accused to explain is there and cannot be taken away. It is, therefore, only after the explanation that the Court can decide whether the works os said were intended to malign, were they used maliciously and contemptuously or were uttered innocently.

61. It has been related on the authority of Ubaidullah Ibn Rafi' a that he heard Hazrat Ali saying, "Allah's Apostle sent me, Az-Zubair and Al-Migdad somewhere saying, 'Proceed till you reach Rawdat Khakh. There you will find a lady with a letter. Take the letter from her", "So. we set out and our horses ran at full pace till we got at Ar-Rawda where we found the lady and said (to her). "Take out the letter." She replied, "I have no letter with me." We said, "Either you take out the letter or else we will take off your clothes." So she took it out of her braid. We brought the letter to Allah's Apostle and it contained a statement from Hatib Bin Abi Balta'a to some of the Maccan pagans informing them of some of the intentions of Allah's Apostle. Then Allah's Apostle said, "O Hatib what is this? Hatib replied, "O Allah's Apostle "Don't hasten to give your judgment about me. I was a man closely connected with the Ouralsh, bul I did not belong to this tribe, while the other emigrants with you, had their relatives in Mecca who would protect their dependents and property. So I wno would protect their dependents and property. So I wanted to recompense for my lacking blood relation to them by doing them a favour so that they might protect my dependents. I did this neither because of disbelief nor apostasy nor out of preferring kufr (disbelief) to Islam."
Allah's Apostle said, "Hatib has told you the truth..........." (Rukhari Vol.IV pages 154-155, Hadith No.251).

62. A Hanafi Jurist, Allama Muhlyuddin, writes, "the jurists opine that in matter of the contempt of the Prophet & the ruler or the judge has to look into the situation and the general conduct of the contemner before dec

63. An Indian renowned scholar Maulana Ahmad Raza Khan Brailwi verties in this regard, "There is difference between the words of infidelity and the Position of the person who quotes these words and becomes infidel with that. (Tamhid-e-lman, page 59). He further says. "The use of the word rains (24) js into ontempt now as it is not said in the context of contempt of the Prophet in these days," (Rhalmer, Nubuwwat, page 71).

64. It has been related that a jew vomins named Zalaab Bint 3-latin sixed polion in meat and offered it to the Prophet 87 liked eating the meat of the zar of the goat, the mixed more poison in that part of the meat. Holy Prophet 87 and Bishair loh Al-Bar'a who was accompanied with the Holy Prophet 87 attended eating, he felt that it is poisonous and called that jew voman and asked her about that. She confessed to have mixed polson in that meal. The Holy Prophet 87 the masked her as to why she had done so. She answered that she thought If you (Prophet) are a king, we will get ried of you and If you are a Trophet, there will be no harm to you. The Holy Prophet 87 forgave her. (Apriyls) all polsons and the prophet 87 forgave her. (Apriyls) all polsons are proposed to have been dealer than the prophet 87 forgave her. (Apriyls) all polsons are proposed to the properties of the prophet 88 forgave her. (Apriyls) all polsons are proposed to the propert 88 forgave her. (Apriyls) and the properties of the propertie

65. It is also to be noted that Allah Almighty creates no stitution or inequality in the status of the Prophets though He did bestow on some of them more gifts than others. We quote here for reference the following verses from the Holy Qur'an-

"17:55 We did bestow on some Prophets more (and other) gifts than on others: and We gave to David (the gift of) the Psalms."

"2.253 Those apostles we endowed with glifs, Sóme above others, To one of them Allah spoke, Others He raised. To degress (of honour); To Jesus the son of Mary. We gave clear (Signs), and strengthened him with the Holy Spirit. If Allah had so willed, succeeding generation would not have fought among each other, after clear (Signs) had come to them, But they (chose) to wrangle, some believing and others rejecting. If Allah had so willed they would not have fought each other; but Allah fulfilleth His plan."

*2:136 Say ye; "We believe in Allah, and the revelation given to us, and to Abraham. Ismail, Isaac, Jacob, and the Tribes, and that given to Moses and Jesus and that given to (all) Prophets from their Lord, We make no difference between one and another of them: and we how to Allah in Islam!."

*3.84 Say: We believe in Allah, and in what has been revealed to us and what was revealed to Abraham, Ismail, Isaac, Jacob, and the Tribes, and in (the Books) given to Moses, Jesus, and the Prophets, from their Lord; We make no distinction between one and another among them, and to Allah do we bow our will (in Islam).

and Verses 2:285, 4:150 and 4:152.

6. Practically, all the Jurisconsults and Scholars agreed that in view of the above verses and the equal stories of all the Prophets as such, the same penalty of death as determined above shall apply, in case any one utter contemptuous remarks or offers insult, in any way, to any one of them.

67. In view of the above discussion we are of the view that the alternate punishment of life imprisonment as provided in section 295-C, P.P.C. is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as given in Holy Qur'an and Sunnah and therefore, the said words be deleted thereform.

68. A clause may further be added to this section so as to make the same acts or things when said about other Prophets, also offence with the same punishment as suggested above. 69. A copy of this order shall be sent to the President of Pakistan under Article 203-D(3) of the Constitution to take steps to amend the law so as to bring the same in conformity with the Injunctions of Islam. In case, this is not done by 30th April, 1991 the words "or imprisonment for life" in section 295-C, P.P.C. shall cease to have effect on that date.

Order accordingly.

(PLD 1991 Federal Shariat Court 1)





LAHORE HIGH COURT 1992

O Mr. Justice Mian Nazir Akhtar

LAHORE HIGH COURT

Mr. Justice Mian Nazir Akhtar

SARFRAZ AHMAD and 7 other Petitioners versus

Respondent

Crl. M. No.2162/B of 1992,

THE STATE

Decided on 2nd August, 1992. Muhashir Latif for Petitioners

Nazir Ahmad Ghazi, A.A.G. for the State.

Rashid Murtaza Qureshi for the Complainant.

JUDGMENT

MR. IUSTICE MIAN NAZIR AKHTAR.— The petitioners had applied for pre-arrest bail in a case registered against them and a few other persons for offences under sections 293-A, 293-C and 298-C of the P.P.C. A P.S. City Nankana Sahib District Sheikhupura. They were allowed an interim pre-arrest bail by my learned brother Rashid Aziz Khan. I, vide his order, dated 106.1992.

- 2. During the course of arguments, the learned A.A.G. and the complainant's learned counsel stated in a fair manner that they did not oppose confirmation of bail of the two ladies namely Mrs. Sarfraz Ahmad and Mrs. Balqis Begum as their case was covered by the exception to section 497 of the Cr.P.C. Hence the interim pre-arrest bail granted in them is confirmed.
- 3. Mr. Mubashir Latif. learned counsel for the petitioners contended that Sarfraz Ahmad petitioner No. 1 and Ijaz Ahmad, petitioner No.3, were Muslims and not Qadianis and that they were falsely and maliciously roped in the case. The said petitioners were present in the Court and on Court question firmly stated that they were not Oadianis and were true Muslims following the faith / Figah of Ahl-e-Hadith and considered followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad to be 'wrong'. They further stated that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad in his claim of prophethood was a 'llar' and he as well as his followers belonging to Qadiani and Lahori groups were 'Kafirs' and outside the fold of Islam. During the course of investigation it was verified that Sarfraz Ahmad, petitioner No.1, his wife petitioner No.2 and liaz Ahmad, petitioner No.3 were Muslims, Therefore, interim bail granted to Sarfraz Ahmad and Ijaz Ahmad is also Confirmed. I may however observe that the police finding that Mrs. Sarfraz Ahmad, petitioner No.2 is not a Oadiani is open to serious doubts because in reply to several Court questions she did not say a single word against Mirza Ghulam Ahmad or his followers. She has been allowed ball primarily on the ground of womanhood and the further question whether or not she is a Qadiani and whether she has committed any offence is left to be decided by the trial Court.
- 4. So far as Babar Ahmad petitioner No.8 is concerned, his name does not appear on the disputed invitation cards and there is no material to connect him with the commission of the offences alleged in the F.I.R. Hence the interim hall allowed to him is also confirmed.

- As regards the other petitioners namely Bashir Ahmad, Muhammad Yousaf and Ijaz Ahmad son of Siraj Din, the petitioner's learned counsel contended as under:—
 - (i) In view of the provisions of section 196 of the Cr.P.C. the F.I.R, (which includes the offence under section 295-A of the P.P.C.) lodged by a private person is non-existent.
 - (ii) The offence under section. 298-C of the P.P.C. does not fall within the prohibition of section 497 of the Cr.P.C. There being no defiling of the name of the Holy Prophet, the offence under section 295-C was not made out.
 - (iii) The mere use of the words:

did not constitute any offence and that Qadianis had the right to use the same.

- (iv) Law merely prohibits the Qadianis to use the words specified in section 298-B of the P.P.C. and not the other expressions used in the invitation cards.
- (v) The invitation cards were got published by Sarfraz Ahmad, who was not a Qadiani. He placed on the record a photo copy of the receipt to show that payment for printing of the 50 invitation cards was made by Sarfraz Ahmad.

4. On the other hand, Mr. Nazir Ahmad Ghazi, the learned Ansistant Advocate-General, streasurally approach the prayer for bail in respect of Bashir Ahmad, Muhammad Younf and Igig Ahmad son of Siraj Din and urged that there was nothing to show that the police was actuated with mala. fide intentions to arrest them. He pointed out that the patitioners neither pleaded mala fides in the petition nor may argument was raised to show that their arrest would be any argument was raised to show that their arrest would be

mala fide. He further submitted that the Qadiani and Lahori followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad cannot use Shiair-e-Islam so as to pose themselves as Muslims. He added that Oadianis were a separate community which had nothing to do with Islam and the Muslim Ummah because Mirza Ghulam Ahmad had faisely proclaimed himself to be a prophet in clear violation of the teachings of Islam and had declared that all those who did not believe in him were Kafirs. He even went to the extent of laying a claim that he was Adam, Ibrahim, Moosa, Isa and even Muhammad, the He had ventured to attribute to رنعوذ بالله من ذلك). He himself the verses: of the Holy Our'an, which were revealed entirely in relation to the Prophet Hazrat Muhammad . While, when the Mirzais utter the word 'Muhammad'. they predominen y visualize Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Oadiani, Likewise, they send Darood on Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani. Thus while reciting the 'Kalma Tayyaba' and 'Darood', they have in their mind Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani and by so doing they defile the fair name of the Holy Prophet . In support of his contentions he referred to some passages from the following books:-

(1) Haqeeqat-ul-Vahi, (2) Rohani Khazain, Vols. 18 and 19, (3) Tuhfa Golarvia, (4) Taryaq-ul-Qaloob, (5) Zamima Anjame-Athum, (6) Ali Chalati Ka Azala, (7) Tazkira, (8) Dafi-ul-Bala, (9) Durr-e-Sameen, (10) Kashit-e-Nooh, (11) Tabligh-e-Rasalat, (12) Nazool-e-Masih

He also placed reliance on the following judgments:-

- Murad Khan v. Fazal-e-Subhan and another PLD 1983 SC 82;
- (2) Mujibur Rahman and 3 others v. Federal Government of Pakistan and another PLD 1985
- Malik Jehangir M. Joya v. The State PLD 1987 Lah.
 458. and

FSC 8;

(4) Mirza Khurshid Ahmad and another v. Government of Punish and others PLD 1992 Lab. 1.

Mr. Rashid Murtaza Qureshi, learned conneel for the complainant relienated the argument raised by the learned A.A.G. and added that the petitioners had committed the foliance mentioned in the F.I.R. and deserved maximum punishment under the law. They were non-Muslims better lent their names to be published on an invitation card which on the face of it shows that the invitation was from Muslims. He further urged that the cards were got published by Nasir Almad and not by Jisz Ahmad as submitted that the Mirtasia were repeatedly committing the offence under the above-referred sections and deserved to be severely dealt with.

counsel that the E.I.K. Is incompetent as a whole because it includes an offence under section 298-A of the P.P.C., cognizance whereof is barred without the order of the authorities specified in section 196 of the C.P.C., has no force. The bar contained in section 196 of the C.P.C. Lis relatable to cognizance of an offence by the Court and to the power of a private person to report the matter to the police. Even otherwise, the P.I.K. includes offences under cognizance by a Court without the order of the competent authority does not extend to the said of fences.

5. The first argument of the petitioners' learned

6. There is no force in the contention of the petitioners' learned counsel that Qadianis are only forbidden to use the words, specified in section 208-8 of the P.F.C. and that they were at liberty to the all other Shlair-claims and expressions commonly used by Maullian including those printed on the invitation cards. The use of the words specified in section 298-8 of the P.F.C. (by the other period of the control of the words apecified in section 298-8 of the P.F.C. (by the other period of the control of the other period of the control of the control of the other period of the control of the control of the other Shlair-claim by the Cadiania brighting those printed in the juviliation cards.

prima facie, would constitute an offence under section 280°.

of the P.F.C. A bear reading of the card creates an irresistible impression that the persons who have extended the invitation or lent their amounts to be published for Muslims. The mere fact that the offence under section 280°. Compared to the P.F.C. does not fall within the prohibition of section 290° of the C.F.C. does not entitle petitioners Nos.4, 5 and 6 to claim ball particularly when there is nothing to show that they were sought to be arrested with mals fide intentions of the property of the C.F.C. does not entitle petitioners Nos.4 5 and 6 to the property of the C.F.C. does not entitle petitioners Nos.4, 5 and 6 to claim ball particularly when there is nothing to show that they were sought to be arrested with mals fide intentions on urged during arguments.

7. There is considerable force in the arguments of the learned A.A.G. and the complainant's learned counsel that the followers of Mirza Ghuiam Ahmad belonging to Oadiani or Lahori groups are non-Muslims and constitute a separate community not forming a part of the 'Muslim Unima'. This view finds full support from the judgments in the cases of Mujeeb-ur-Rehman and Khurshid Ahmad referred to by the learned A.A.G. The followers of Mirza Ghuiam Ahmad belonging to Qadiani or Lahori groups have been declared to be non-Muslims under Article 260(3)(b) of the Constitution of Pakistan. Mirza Ghulam Ahmad had laid a claim that he was Ahmad and Muhammad and that he possessed all the qualities of Hazrat Muhammad and all other Prophets. He claimed that finality of prophethood of Hazrat Muhammad AF was not affected due to his prophethood because he was none else but Havrat Muhammad (F) (in Zilii or Broozi form). The Oadianis who believe in the teachings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad recite 'Darood-o-Salam' for him, which according to Muslims is the entitlement of the Holy Prophet, Hazrat Muhammad & By sending 'Darood' on Mirza Ghulam Ahmad the Qadianis treat him equal to Hazrat Muhammad and thereby relegate the Holy Prophet to the position of Mirza Sahib. This act of the Qadianis, prima facie, amounts to defiling the sacred and exalted name of the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad

which is punishable under section 295-C of the P.P.C. It was vociferously urged by Mr. Nazir Ahmad Ghazi, the learned A.A.G. that the 'Darood' in the form of زعمت نعنى على

rprinted on the disputed invitation cards was meant for Mirza Ghulam Ahmad but the said assertion was not controverted by the petitioners' learned counsel. The offence under section 295-C of the P.P.C. is punishable with death or imprisonment for life and fine and falls within the prohibition of section 497 of the C.P.C.

8. For the foregoing discussion, Bashir Ahmad, Vousaf and Jiaz Ahmad, petitioners No.4, 5 and 6 respectively are not entitled to the concession of pre-arrest bail. The interim bail order, dated 10.6.1992 quathem is, therefore, recalled and their bail petition dismissed. The petition in respect of the petitioners Nos.1, 2, 3, 7 and 8 is allowed and the interim bail order qua them is confirmed.

Order accordingly

(1992 PCr. LI 2346)





LAHORE HIGH COURT 1992

Mr. Justice Mian Nazir Akhtar

LAHORE HIGH COURT

Mr. Justice Mian Nazir Akhtar

NASIR AHMAD and another Petitioners

THE STATE

Crl. Misc. No. 2163/B of 1992.

Mobashar Latif Ahmad for Petitioners.

Respondent

Nazir Ahmad Ghazi. A.A.G. for the State.

Rashid Murtaza Oureshi for the Complainant.

Decided on 2nd August, 1992.

ORDER

MR. IUSTICE MIAN NAZIR AKHTAR.— The petitioners seek ball in a case registered against them and a few other persons for offences under sections 295-A, 295-C and 298-C of the P.P.C. at P.S. Nankana Sahib. District Sheikhupura.

According to the allegations made in the F.I.R. Nasir Ahmad, petitioner No.I is a Qadiyani and offer propogates Qadlayni religion. In this connection a criminal case stands registered against him already. In the present case, invitation cards for marriage ceremony of the daughter of Nasir Ahmad petitioner were got printed and distributed by the accused persons. The cards embody expressions Shiair-e-Islam like

which are used by Muslims. Thus by publishing the invitation cards containing Shlair-e-Islam the petitioners and their co-accused have posed themselves to be Muslims in violation of the provisions of section 298-C of the P.P.C.

 The learned counsel tor the petitioners has raised the following points to claim bail:—

- (1) The F.I.R. is incompetent as it includes the offence under section 295-A of the P.P.C. cognizance whereof is barred in the absence of an order under the authority of the Central or Provincial Government, or from an officer empowered in this behalf by either of the two Governments as provide" under section 196 of the C.P.C.
 - (2) The offence under section 298-C of the Cr.P.C. does not fall within the prohibition contained under section 497 of the Cr.P.C. There being no defiling of the sacred name of the holy Prophet Muhammad & the holy Prophet Muhammad & the holy Prophet of the P.P.C. was not made out.
 - (3) The mere use of words

the right to use the same.

(4) Law merely prohibits Qadiyanis to use the words

(4) Law merely prohibits Qadiyanis to use the words specified in section 298-B of the P.P.C. and not the other expression used in the invitation cards.

- (5) Invitation cards were out published by Sarfraz Ahmad, co-accused who was not Oadivani.
- 4. On the other hand, Mr. Nazir Ahmad Ghazi, the learned A.A.-G. strenuously opposed the prayer for bail and ureed that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and his followers belonging to Qadiyani or Lahori groups are non-Muslims and constitute a separate community and were not entitled to pose themselves as Muslims in any manner. In this connection he referred to several extracts from the following books/pamphlets of Mirza Sahib:-
 - (1) Hageegat-ul-Vahi, (2) Rohani Khazain, Vol. XVIII (a compilation of Mirza Sahib's writings). (3) Tuhi Golarvia. (4) Tariag-ul-Oaloob. (5) Zamima Anjam-c Athum, (6) Alk Ghalati Ka Azala, (7) Albushra, (c Tazkira, (9) Dafi-ul-Bala, (10) Durr-e-Sameen, (11) Kashti-e-Nooh, (12) Tabligh-e-Rasalat and (13)

Nazoolie Marib

He also referred to some passages from the book 'Kalama-tul-Fasal written by Sahibzadah Mirza Bashir Ahmad M.A. (son of Mira Ghulam Ahmad Oadiani) to urge that the Oadivanis treat all other Muslims who do not accept Mirza Ghulam Ahmad to be the promised Maseeh or Prophet, as Kafirs and non-Muslims. He placed reliance on the case of Mujeeb-ur-Rehman and others v. Federal Government of Pakistan 1985 FSC 8, Malik Jehangir M. Joia v. The State PLD 1987 Lah, 458 and Khurshid Ahmad v. The Government of Punjab PLD 1992 Lah. I to urve that followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad belonging to Oadlani or Lahori groups are non-Muslims and by virtue of provisions of section 298-C of the P.P.C., are not entitled to pose themselves as Muslims directly or indirectly. He areed that Shiaine-Islam embodled in the invitation cards give an impression that the persons who have extended the invitation or lent their names for Takeed-e-Mazeed (further reminder to attend) are Muslims. Moreover, the Oadianis send Darood on Mirza Ghulam Ahmad treating him equal or even superior to Hazrat Muhammad All willia well and

and in this way, defile the sacred name of the Holy Prophet

See and commit the offence under section 295-C of the P.P.C. Mr. Rashid Murtaza Oureshi, learned counsel for the complainant adopted the arguments of the learned A.A.G. and added that the petitioners had committed the offences mentioned in the F.I.R. and deserved maximum punishment under the law. He pointed out the petitioner No.1 was a habitual offender against whom another criminal case stood registered. He submitted that the petitioners had falsely posed themselves as Muslims and sent the invitation cards to several Moslims as well and thus injured their feelings. He controverted the assertion of the petitioners' learned counsel that the cards were not printed by a Muslim named Sarfraz Ahmad and has placed on the record a copy of the affidavit of Sagheer Ahmad Sheerazi, proprietor of Sheerazi Printing Point, Iaranwala in which he deposed that the cards were got printed by Nasir Ahmad, petitioner No.1.

5. The first contention raised by the petitioners' learned counsel that the F.I.R is incumpetent as a whole merely because it includes the offence under section 295-A of the P.P.C. cognizance whereof is barred in the absence of an order by the Central or Provincial Government or an officer authorised by either of the two, has no substance. The F.I.R includes other offences under sections 295-C and 298-C of the P.P.C. as well which require no order from any official authority in the matter of taking of cognizance by the Court. Moreover, the stage of taking cognizance of the offence by the Court has not yet reached so as to attract the provisions of section 196-A of the Cr.P.C. The police can conduct investigation into the offences mentioned in the F.I.R. and submit a challan in the Court of competent jurisdiction. If the order of the competent authority allowing the Court to take cognizance of the offence under section 295-A of the P.P.C. is not received, then the Court would be competent to take cognizance of other offences alone.

6. A bare reading of the invitation cards, prima facie, gives an impression that these have been got published and sent by Muslims. No doubt, under section 298-B of the P.P.C., some sepcific expressions like Amir-ul-Momineen.

Khalipha-tul-Mominen, Khalipha-tul-Muslimen, Suhabi or Ahili-Bail cannot be used by the Qadiani or other followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. However, the express of more and the control of the control of

- The argument raised by the learned A.A.G. and the complainant's learned counsel that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and his followers are non-Muslims and belong to a separate community not forming part of the Muslim Ummah embodies nothing but the whole truth. The teachings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad show that he considered only his own followers to be Muslims and declared all other Moslims who did not accept his claim of prophethood to be Kafirs and non-Muslims. In Kalmat-ul-Fasal, Mirza Bashir Ahmad has made detailed discussion in Chapters 2, 3 and 6 on the basis of teachings of Mirza Sabib to show that all those who did not believe in the claims and teachings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad were Kafirs and non-Muslims and that the Oadianis/Ahmadis should not attend their marriage or death ceremonies. Mirza Ghulam Ahmad dld not attend the funeral ceremony of his own son Fazal Ahmad who did not believe in him. Ch. Zafarullah Khan the first Foreign Minister of Pakistan did not participate in the funeral prayers of Hazrat Qaid-l-Azam, the Founder of Pakistan. Thus there can be no cavil with the proposition that followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad belong to a separate community and are otherwise non-Muslims in the true religious sense. They have been so declared by virtue of the provisions of sub-Article (3-B) of Article 260 of the Constitution of Pakistan.
- 8. Mr. Nazir Ahmad Ghazi, the learned A.A.G. has referred to a large number of books, pamphlets and writings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad to show that he was "planted" by the British Imperialism. He referred to the application of Mirza Sahib (sent to the Lieutenent-Governor of Punjab) in which he described himself as a

of the British Government (Tableegh-i-Risalat, افودكا شتر يزاد) Vol. VII. page 88). He contended that the basic object of teachines of Mirza Sahih was to persuade Muslims of the Sub-continent to bow their heads in complete obedience to the British Government, to consider obedience to the British Government as a part of Islam to treat Island as Haram in future, and to break the Muslims' bond of love for Hazrat Muhammad الشاكة through الشاكة (i.e. sharing of prophethood with Hazrat Muhammad . He has also urged that the teachings and beliefs of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad relating to Almighty Allah, the Holy Prophet and finality of his prophethood, the Holy Our'an, the KALMA TAYYABA, the traditions of the Holy Prophet. the concept of Eiman. Hai, lehad, respect for the earlier Prophets including Christ, respect for Ahl-e-Bait and the Holy places of Makka and Madina are diametrically opposed to those of Muslims throughout the world. The above arguments have considerable weight but since I am dealine with a hail matter, I need not enter into an elaborate discussion on the said points. However, I may briefly refer to some of the beliefs and teachines of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad for the limited purpose of disposal of this bail petition and to see whether the Darood printed on the disputed invitation cards Is meant for Mirza Ghulam Ahmad or not and whether it can directly or indirectly have the effect

Daroood-o-Salam is the highest act of virtue which fosters the Muslims' bond of love and respect for the Holy Prophet . The question arises whether Mirza Ghulam

Ahmad ever claimed that he was a Nabi/Prophet and deserved Darood (i.i.e) like the Holy Prophet?

16. Mustims throughout the world uphold the chrished belief of absolute and unqualified finality of prophethod of Harrat Muhammad δθ. They firmly and contemptuously reject the idea of arrival of any new Prophet after Hazrat Muhammad δθ. According to the Holy Qur'an the Prophet Hazrat Muhammad δθ. According to the Holy Qur'an the Prophet Hazrat Muhammad δθ. According to the unambiguous words that there could be no Prophet after him. However, Mirza Sabib claimed to be a Trophet and extenced the idea that Hazata Muhammad δθ. was not the advanced the idea that Hazata Muhammad δθ. was not the advanced to the other hazata and the superior that the superior

رس of Hazzat Muhammad ﷺ and claimed that in his Hazzat Muhammad ﷺ had appeared in the world in Brazi form and added that in his first appearance in Arabia he was like (گرده) (moon of the first apply) and that is second appearance through him (Mirza Sahib) he was like المناص ومناص المناص المناص

11. Following the teachings of his father, Mirza Bashir-ud-Din Mehmood declared that any person can progress and achieve the highest status and can even excel Hazrat Muhammad (ﷺ, (Alfazail 17th July, 1922), ومود بناله من ذائب

12. It is the firm belief of Muslims that after Allah, the highest position in the universe is enjoyed by Hazata Muhammad AF. and that no Muslim can imagine to be equal to him. What to speak of the Holy Prophet, no Muslim can claim to be equal to a companion (about of the Muslim can, claim to be equal to a companion (about of the Muslim can, claim to be equal to a companion (about of the Muslim can, claim to be equal to a companion (about of the Muslim can, claim to be equal to a companion (about of the Muslim can, claim to be equal to a companion can be expected to the can be expe

Holy Prophet. However, Mirra Sahib has ventured to claim complete equality and identify with Hazzat Muhammad 原子. He asserted (in Khuba Ilhamia) that any person who differentiated between him and Mustafa (i.e., Hazzat Muhammad, 原子 neither saw nor recognised him (Mirra Sahib) (山上边 wia ya-ya. He claimed that he got the name of Muhammad 原子 and Ahmad 原子 alongwith the status of prophethood because he was lost in the love of the Holy Askal he words as under-

Strangely enough, Hazrat Abu Bakar Siddlone whose love for the Holy Prophet was exemplary and matchless did not acquire the status of a Prophet. The reason is obvious. The door of new prophethood was closed for ever, Hence, any degree of love for the Holy Prophet cannot fructify into prophethood. However, other spiritual positions short of prophethood can be attained by Muslims. The companions of the Holy Prophet who had profound love for the Holy Prophet were warned by Allah not to raise their voice above that of the Holy Prophet failing which their good deeds were to be lost imperceptibly. Allah's warning was meant to keep Muslims within certain limits so that they should not show equality with the Holy Prophet even in respect of the volume of their voice. Due to love for the Holy Prophet Muslims love the Ahl-e-Bait and even the places where he lived, and moved about. They love the sand, dust, dates and even streets of Makka and Madina. The burial place of the Holy Prophet (Roza-l-Rasool) is loved and respected by Muslims as a part of Jannat in view of the tradition of the Holy Prophet (ماين بيق و منوي روضة من رياض الجنة) (Siraj-ul-Munir, Sharrah Jame-al Sagheer, page 246). However, Mirza Ghuiam Ahmad has ventured to show disrespect to Hazrat Muhammad & by claiming equality and identity with

him. He also showed disrespect to the Holy places of Makka and Madina by declaring Qodian as Hazam like Makka and Madina and by saying that a visit to Qadian was superior to and better than Nall Haj. He went to the satisst to using demogatory language about burial place of the Holy Prophet Hazard Muhammad 6% Apparently in his scall to show superiority of the Holy Prophet over Christ scall to show superiority of the Holy Prophet over Christ Lewrens. Mirza Sahlib, words as under or of Christ from heavens. Mirza Sahlib, words as under or or Christ from

"جم بار بالكوريك يي كدهفرت و كواتن بدى فصوصيت آسان برزنده يزعة ادراتى

Whatever the worth or value of the argument qua the comparative status of Hyzar Muhammad 65 and Hazart Eisa Christ (24 - 44) once thing is clear that Mirza Sahib had made highth dispragging reassits about the burial pace of the 19th Prophet which a Muslim shudders to the control of the cont

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad (who proclaimed himself to be 'Muhammad') has shown contempt and disrespect for Hasnain .

13. After expressing the above-referred views which shock the minds and injure the feeling of Muslims, Mirza Sahlb has claimed that he deserved Darondo-Salam. According to him Allah sends Darond on him. The book Tazkitah containing revelations of Mirza Chulam Ahmad contains the following revelation at page 2770.

مرسلوة وسلام كالقلاكها وواموجود ، مرجد عرى نسبت في عليدالسلام في يلقظ كما محابف

كبا وكله خدات أبها توبيرى بيماعت كاميرى أسبت بياتهر ويانا كيون ترام وكيا." (ارجين أبر 2 مونير 3 مندريد دوائي فزائن خ17 س 148 زمرز كادمائي)

Again the book Haqeeqat-ul-Vahi (by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad) contains the following revelation in Chapter 4. page 75:---

"اصحاب الصفه وما ادرك ما اصحاب الصفة ــ ترى اعينسهم

تقبض من الدمع ـــ يصلون عليك°

مندور دون (کار کار کار ۱۳۵۵ تر زا قام زار کار کار ۱۳۵۵ تر زا قام زار کار کار ۱۳۵۰ تا که The same revelation is also found at pages 242 and 631-32 of the book Tazkirah. Meaning thereby that Ashaabi-Suffa (persons sitting on the platform) recite Darood for

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. Thus it is evident that the Oadianis recite Darood-o-Salam for Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and thereby equate him with Hazrat Muhammad far. This prima facie, amounts to defiling the sacred and exalted name of the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad AF because in this manner his position s lowered to that of Mirza (فود كا الشهر الال Ahmad who, on his own showing was. (المود كا الشهر الالال) of the British Government, who considered faithfulness and obedience to the British Government as a part of Islam, declared 'Iehad' to be Haram, who slighted Imam Hussain and who declared all Muslims (who did not believe in him) to be Kafirs. During the course of arguments it was firmly asserted by the learned A.A.G. that the Darood printed on the invitation cards in انحمده و تصلي على رسوله الكسرين question was meant for Mirra Chulam Ahmad but this assertion was not controverted by the petitioners' learned counsel. Hence, there are reasonable grounds for believing that the petitioners have committed an offence under section 295-C of the P.P.C. which falls within the prohibitory clause of section 497 of the Cr.P.C.

 For the foregoing discussion, the petitioners do not deserve the concession of bail. Resultantly, their bail petition is dismissed.

Rail refused.

APPENDIX "A"

1- کریل شاست بیرد آنم (تریم) کریل بروقت بیری برگاه بسیاد در 200 میرنی بیری کریبان عی جی (نزول دادی بیری برگاه بسیاد در 200 میرنی بیری کریبان عی جی

2_ وقاله اعلى الحسنين فضل نفسه اقول نعم والله ربي سيظهر. (زیر) اورانبول نے کھا کرائ فخص نے المام مین اورانام حسین سے اسپینتی اجماع مجا، یمی

كبتا بول كه بال اورثير اخدا اختريب خاجر كروي كا_ (ا عَازَاحِدِيُ اللهِ 52 مندرجه روحاني نزائن، جلد نبر 19 بسخه 164 ازمرزا تأوياني)

3- نسيتم جلال الله والمعجد العلى وماوردكم الاحسين النكر فهذا على الإصلام احدى المصالب لدى نفحات المسك قلر مقنطر.

(ترجمه) تم نے خدا کے جلال اور تیرکو بھلا دیااور تبیار اور معرف حسین ہے۔ کیا تو اٹکاد کرتا ہے۔ پس ساسلام برایک مصیبت ب کشوری کی خوشور کے پاس کو وکا ذعیرے.

(ا كازا بحدي الربع ، ووما في نوزان ، جلد ثير 19 م طي 194 از مرزا قاد ما في) 4- اے قومشید: - اس رامرادمت کرو کرشین تمیارا نجی ہے۔ کونکد ش بی کا کتا ہوں کہ

آج تم بن ایک ہے کداس حسین سے بور کر ہے۔

(وافع ابلام فينبر13 مروماني نزائن، جلدنبر18 بسفينبر233 ازمرزا قادياني) 5- السوى اليوك فين تحية كرقر أن في قام مصين كورتيد اليه عدا كالحي فين ويا الكدم مك

فذكورتيس ان سے قريدى اليمار باجس كا نامقر آن شريف ش موجو ب يم ميم موجودي ادرسول بول اسموح كائق بكامام حين كواس سكيانست ب (نزول المسيح موفر مر 44 مندردروها في فزاكن ن 18 م 421 م 423 ازم زا قاد ماني)

6- تم في مشركول كى طرح صين كى قيركا طواف كيائي وجهيس تيزاند كااورند وكركا في في اس کشتہ سے جات جات کہ جونومیدی سے مرکبا۔ اور مخداس کی شان جھے ہے چھوڑیا وہ قیمی۔ ميرك ياس شداكي محاميال بين پس متم و كولوادر ش شدا كاكشة مول ليكن تهمادا حسين دشمون كا

(هُمِيرُول الْمِحِ الْعِلَالِ الرِي مِنْ 80 روماني تُواكَن نَ19 مِن 193,192 ازمرُوا قاد يالْ)

با تو باشوال شده مند شکر ادارای اگر که بده منطق بداند هسد سدارای به باشد با بده باشد با با در باشد به مین کا خدات ایکندم با بین آن کهاان و تحق آخر دارا سکنه ما کدودان برخت کا بده سند که باز میکند که باشد که باشد که باشد شکاه در میسید شک سکه ماداری کا میکند که میکند ک (زمال شکنت که سال میکند که می

319 7- المام شین نے جربعاری نیک کا کام دنیا عمل آ کرکیا، وومرف ای نقررے کہ ایک دنیادار کے

4



LAHORE HIGH COURT 1992

Mr. Justice Khalil-ur-Rehman Khan

LAHORE HIGH COURT

Mr. Justice Khalil-ur-Rehman Khan

Mirza KHURSHID AHMAD and another—Petitioners

Versus

GOVERNMENT OF PUNJAB and others—Respondents Writ Petition No.2089 of 1989, C.M. No.5577 of 1989 and C.M. No.2049 of 1991.

C.A. Rehman, Mubashar Latif Ahmad and Mujeeb-ur-Rehman for Petitioners.

Maqbool Elahi Malik, Advocate-General assisted by N.A. Ghazi, A.A.G. with Irshadullah Khan and Magsood Ahmad Khan for Respondents.

Maqsood Ahmad Khan for Respondents.

Muhammad Ismail Qureshi for Respondent (in C.M.
No. 5377 of 1989)

Rashid Murtaza Qureshi for Respondent (in C.M. No.2049 of 1991):

No.2049 of 1991):
Dates of hearing: 6th, 7th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st and 22nd May, 1991.

Decided on 17th September, 1991

IUDGMENT

MR. IUSTICE KHALIL-UR-REHMAN KHAN.-- Mirza Khurshid Ahmad and Hakim Khurshid Ahmad, petitioners, who claim to be members of the Ahmadiyya community

and respectively office-bearers of the Central and Local Organizations of the said community filed this constitutional petition seeking declaration to the effect that the order dated 20-3-1989 of the Provincial Home Secretary. banning the centenary celebrations by the Qadianis in the Magistrate Jhang, under section 144 of the Code of Crimical Procedure prohibiting the Qadianis of Jhang District from the activities detailed in the said order and the order dated 25-3-1989 of the Resident Magistrate, Rabwah, whereby the office bearers of the Ahmadiyya community, Rabwah, were informed and directed to remove ceremonial gates, banners and illuminations and further ensure that no further writings will be written on the walls and that the prohibitions, contained in the order dated 21st March, 1989. have been extended till further orders, are illegal, void and of no legal effect. They also sought a direction against the aforesaid respondents not to prevent the petitioners from exercising their assertedly basic and fundamental right granted to them by Article 20 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan.

2. The aforenoist declaration and direction were sought on the assertion that more than hundred years had passed that the Ahmadiyya community was founded on 2nd March, 1899, and on completion of hundred years Ahmadia of Rabwall like Ahmadia all over the world have decided to celebrate the year 1899 commencing from 21rd March, 1999, as a centenary year of their community and the petitioners and other Ahmadio of Rabwah had decided to wear new clothes, distribute sweets among childrenserver food to poor and assemble for meetings so as to ercount the important events of the last hundred years of the Ahmadiyas community. It was added that feelings of some of the fanction night not be injured if any Ahmadi excles his own children about the well being of the Ahmadiyas community or their successors, or their prachangs in Africa or other foreign countries. It is

asserted that there was no legal justification for prohibiting Qudainsi (who pronounce themselves Ahmadis) from celebrating centenary of their community rather it is their fundamental and inherent and innate right to rejoice on an occasion which according to them is a landmark in the shotty of their community. It was ruther asserted that the District Magistrate has not expressed anywhere in his order that he is convicted that there is genuine apprehension of the properties of the programment of the programment second into their programme.

 The other pleas taken in the petition are that th overwhelming majority of the citizens of Rabwah ar Ahmadis and the other citizens live like honoured friend and brothers of Ahmadis and they associate in the occasional celebrations of each other and hence none of the necessary ingredients of section 144, Cr.P.C. was present when the order was passed. On the above premises it was contended that the District Magistrate instead of directing Ahmadis to refrain from celebrating the occasion should have prohibited others from obstructing or disturbing the celebrations of Ahmadis as the Ahmadis could not be prevented from doing that which is not prohibited by law. It is further asserted that the provincial Government instead of advising the District Magistrate that those "fanatics" who cannot even tolerate the existence of Ahmadis in Pakistan and dub them as apostates, have been carrying on false propaganda against them to misicad uninformed citizens and so they should have been warned not to create trouble or interfere in the celebrations of Ahmadis. It is also averred that legal rights of the citizens cannot be violated on the ground that the fanatics or irafluential persons will create trouble. It is further averred that Ahmadis intended to assemble and hold meetings on 23rd March, 1989, and also throughout the year, the purpose 2070 march, 1989, and also introugnout the year, the purpose whereof is to offer special thanksgiving prayers, to express their gratitude to God Almighty for bounties and favours of which they have been recipients for the last one hundred years and to make aware the next generations regarding

commitments and sacrifices of their elders and the obligations of the younger generation towards the Ahmadiyya community.

4. If was urged that the meetings and other acts intended to be held, done and conducted being the constitutional right of every member of Ahmadiyas being the substitutional being the constitutional right cannot be abelieged feature for the stage a riot. Learned counsel agued that though the order dated 21st March, 1989, eyelfer don 28th March, 1989, and despite the fact that it was not extended any further dated 23s-1989 making the insupraed directions.

The petitioners have in the petition also challenged the vices of section 298-C inserted in the Pakistan Penal Code under the provisions of Anti-Islamic Activities of the Qadiani Group, Lahori Group and Ahmadis (Prohibition and Punishment) Ordinance, 1984 (XX of 1984), on the ground that the same offends against the Fundamental Right No.20 of the Constitution of Pakistan, which confers on every citizen of Pakistan the right to profess and propagate his religion. This ground was not, however, pressed during the arguments by the learned counsel for the petitioners saving that the said question has been raised in another case before the Supreme Court of Pakistan and the petitioners will obtain decision of the said question from the Supreme Court. It may also be noted that all the three learned counsel for the petitioners who argued the petition did not rely on the "right to propagate" the belief of Oadianis as they restricted their arguments and pleas by placing reliance on the "right to profess and practice the religion of one's own choice".

5. Mr. C.A. Rehman, Advocate, who argued legal aspects of the case submitted that the Ahmadis could at each prohibited to propagate to others their faith but no publibition can be made to deliver lectures on the life of the Holy Prophet Minhammad (p.b.u.b.) as well as on other religious topics to the public He, however, added that

references to be made by the Oadianis in these topics will of course be interpreted according to the views expressed in their books. He added that as a matter of fact neither any public celebrations were to be made nor any processions public celebrations were to be made nor any processions were planned to be taken out with fun fair. He stated that neither any pamphlets were to be distributed nor any banners were to be displayed. On the above premises a argued that the holding of such celebrations in the aforesaid manner cannot be prohibited as Articles 16, 19 and 20 of the Constitution guarantee to every citizen and a community the right to profess and practise religion and to communicate faith and views to the Children or members of the community. Learned counsel contended that the the District Magistrate taken one by one or taken as a whole are violative of the Rights as the objective sought to be achieved would also be violative of the Fundamental Rights. The learned counsel submitted that though centenary year of 1989 has expired yet the petition has not been rendered infructuous for the reason that exercise of the claimed right in the manner noted above is a matter of daily occurrence and as such determination of the scope and limits of the right to profess and practise their faith would guide the Ahmadis as well as the other citizens to adopt correct public conduct

6. Learned counsel submitted that there was morgramme to do any of the acts complained of in public places though such a right to do these sets in public places though such a right to do these sets in public meetings and in public cannot be denied. He explained that no programme was made and no speech was intended to be and as such the District Maggiartee has Insulted the Muslims that the Muslims would feel annoyed or that there will be breach of peace. He argued that if no necession of performance of such acts which are otherwise lawful breach of peace was agreemented them the measure to avoit breach of peace was agreemented them the measure to avoit breach of the peace was agreemented that the measure to avoit breach of the peace was agreemented that the measure to avoit breach of the peace was agreemented that the measure to avoit breach of the peace was agreed that if no performing these acts. In support of this plea reliance was placed on Remnad Zanin of this plea reliance was placed on Remnad Zanin

Devasthanam Tehsildar v. Kadarmeera Ambalam (AlR 1932 Mad. 294), In re: R.S. Srikanta Iyer (AIR 1937 Mad. 311), and Smt. Jasoda Lekhraj v. Emperor (AIR 1939 Sindh 167).

- 7. Before proceeding further notice may be taken of application (C.M. 5377-89) for impleadment as respondent submitted by Maulana Manzoor Ahmad Chinioti so that the views of the Muslims could be presented to the Court as the Muslims of the world believe in absolute and unqualified finality of the prophethood of Hazrat Muhammad five and according to them Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, the founder of the Ahmadiyya community was an imposter. He urged that the applicant is a necessary party as the applicant is office-bearer of International Khatm-e-Nabuwwat Mission and he taking serious notice of the proposed activities, of the Ahmadis amounting to subversion of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, which also amount to outraging the religious feelings of the Muslims, approached the Government of the Punjab with representative delegates of the Majlis-e-Tahaffuz-e-Khatm-e-Nabuwwat and expressed their deep Qadianis and urged the Government to stop it immediately otherwise it will result in insurmountable riots throughout the country whereupon the Government of the Punjab decided to ban the centenary celebrations of the Oadianis. This application came up for hearing on 18th December, 1989, when the learned counsel for the petitioners suggested that the applicant may meanwhile file a written statement and the question of impleadment may be taken up along with the main petition. The applicant was therefore, allowed to file a written statement and the application as well as the main petition was ordered to be fixed for disposal.
- 8. Another application (C.M. 2049-91) was filed by on Abdul Nasir Gill, a Christian, for impleading him as a party. This application was based on the premises that the literature and the Anti-Christ utterances of Mirza Chulam Ahmad Qadiani are highly reprehensible and repulsive in the eyes of all good Christians. Learned counsel for the

applicant explained that the avowed object of the celebrations is to recount the history of the community " which obviously will include references to the writings and literature of the community which is highly objectionable as it includes filthy language and disparaging remarks against Jesus Christ and Christians. He added that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Oadiani claims himself to be the Maseeh Ma'ood (i.e., Maseeh whose reappearance has been promised) and so it is necessary to refute such a claim in defence of the beliefs of Christians and honour of lesus Christ. He somitted that the vituperatnic attacks of the Oadianis contained in their writings against lesus Christ. will be recounted to the great annoyance of the Christian community in their meetings and celebrations and these acts would naturally give rise to animosity between the Christians and the Ahmadis which is likely to result in serious incidents of breach of peace.

9. These two applications were opposed by the learned counsel for the petitioners who further pressed that these applications be rejected before hearing the arguments any further. If may be noted that this request was pressed when one of the learned counsel had already concluded his arguments and while the learned Advocate-Ceneral had commenced his arguments. This request was disposed of video r., a dated 31th May, 1991 which reads as underr.

*Learned counsed (*C.A. Rehman at this stage states that the application (C.M.3377-99) for impleading as a party be decided before proceeding further in the matter. It is pertinent to note that he has already concluded his arguments in support of the petition. Mr. Mubashir Latif Ahmad, Advocate, another counset for the petitioners has also addressed arguments on the scope of the petition and questions involved in the matter. Now it is the respondent and the applicant to make the reply. Moroover order dated.

"The applicant has submitted this application for being impleaded as a respondent. A copy of the petition has been provided to the learned counsel for the writ-petitioner, who suggests that the applicant in the meanwhile may file the written statement, and the question of impleadment may be taken along with the main petition. This is acceptable to the learned counsel for the applicant.

Let the written statement be filed. To come up for arguments on the application as well as the main petition in the week commencing 27-1-1990.'

In these circumstances the request to decide the application for impleading at this stage is intended to prolong the proceedings and resolution of controversy raised in the petition. The question, therefore, will be decided along with the main petition, as suggested by the learned counsel himself. Let arguments on behalf of respondents and others proceed."

10. As regards the question of impleading the applicants as respondents it will be noted that learned counsel initially. It seems, had no objection to the providing of hearing to the applicant as he himself suggested that the first applicant be allowed to file written statement. The applicant on behalf of general body of Muslims is opposing the Ahmadiyya views and had lodged protest against the centenary celebrations on account of which these celebrations were banned by the Provincial Government and the impugned prohibitory directions were issued by the District Magistrate. The applicant's plea was that his presence necessary to show that the preaching of the religious topics by the Qadianis in Pakistan in public meetings in the shade and colour of Oadlanis is an offence. This very plea was adopted by the learned counsel for the Christian applicant with the emphasis that discussion of so-called religious topics by Oadianis will result in breach of peace as their views and teachines are outrageous to the religious feelings of not only Muslims but also of Christians It may be noted that the netition is being pressed despite expiry of the centenary year on the plea that determination of the right to hold meetings to preach their views is necessary as these are matters of daily occurrence involving the members of Qadiani community. If these are matters of daily occurrence then it involves all citizens, inclusive of Muslims and Christians. They are therefore, entitled in opposition to this petition to be heard. The two applications are therefore, accepted and the applicants are allowed to be impleaded as respondents. These two applications stard disposed of accordingly.

11. Now notice may be taken of another application (C.M2051-91) filed by the petitioners. This application was moved when Mr. C.A. Rehman, Advocate, learned counsel for the petitioners had concluded his arguments in support of the petition and Mr. Muhammad Ismail Qureshi, learned counsel for Maulana Manzoor Ahmad Chinioti, and the learned Advocate General had partly made their submissions in reply to the arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioners. Learned Advocate-General before commencing the arguments filed a list-indicating the topics with reference to which he will point out the views of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, the founder of the Ahmadiyya community, as expressed in his books which are going to be recounted and reiterated in these celebrations and meetings. He explained that these views and writings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and his disciples referred to in the list submitted to the Court, are outrageous to the religious feelings of the Muslims of not only Pakistan but of the world who are opposing these views since the time that these were expressed and these one hundred years have seen the sacrifices offered by the Muslims in laying bare the falsehood of the claim to prophethood of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, He argued that any repetition in public of these views would not only amount to commission of offences but would also cause grave annoyance to the Muslims at large and thus lead to breach of peace. He pointed out that the effect of holding the centenary celebrations i.e., to recount the history of the community. the status of Micza Ghulam Ahmad, his preachings etc., on the law and order situation is to be seen in the historical perspective which include the constitutional mandate of declaring the Ahmadis as non-Muslims. But before the learned AdvocatesGeneral or other counsel could dilute upon the aforenoted topics, this application (C.M.2051-91) upon the autorenoted topics, this application (C.M.2011-91) was moved by the petitioners asserting that the only question involved in the petition is the legality of the District Magistrate's order and the relief prayed for is that the orders dated 21st and 25th March, 1989, be struck down with a direction to the respondents not to prevent the petitioners from exercising their fundamental rights but on 8-5-1991 during the course of arguments, the learned Advocate-General entered Into doctrinal controversies and religious polemics and during submissions he wrongly attributed certain beliefs to the petitioners which they strongly repudiate as misconceived and incorrect. An affidavit in support of the application was also filed. It was added that the question of faith and belief of the petitioners is totally irrelevant and extraneous to the determination of the legal questions involved and that this Court is not the proper forum for religious polemics and that the writ petition does not seek any adjudication or declaration on the question of faith nor has the Court any jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the religious belief of any person. It was added that the misconceived, incorrect and ill-informed assertions made at the Bar by the oppositeparty about the faith of the petitioners are likely to create hatred and ill-will against the Ahmadiyya community and that the incorrect allegations repeated at the Bar have been carried into the National Press which has been widely publicized and the petitioners' faith has been wrongly projected in disparaging terms and that this Court is being use by the respondents to malign and vilify the Ahmadiyya community and to create hatred against them.

On the above premises it was prayed that the arguments be ordered to be restricted and confined to the legal questions alone and that the direction be given to ensure fair and equal press coverage to both the sides. This application was argued by Mr. Mubashir Latif Ahmad, Advocate. He praved that this application be decided before allowing learned Advocate-General and other Advocates to address any further arguments on behalf of the respondents.

Learned Advocate-General in his arguments indicated the books of Oadiani community with reference to which he wanted to show that the views expressed therein if allowed to be propagated publicly would amount to commission of offences under the Pakistan Penal law and commission or offences under the takesan renatist and and would also outrage the religious feelings of the Muslims, the predominant majority of the country and thus ignite riots. He pleaded that prohibition was imposed in the interest of the members of Qadiani community also as their public conduct and acts would have resulted into clashes causing serious threat to their safety. Learned Advocate-General explained that the learned counsel for the petitioners having himself stated that religious topics including the life of Prophet Muhammad W and the lite and teachings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, founder of the Qadiani community will be recounted, cannot urge that doctrinal controversies and religious polemics be not allowed to be urged. He added that by laying bare the outrageous nature of the teachings and writings of the outrageous nature of the teachings and writings of the founder and his disciples, the purpose is neither to raise doctrinal controversies or religious polemics but to show the devestating effect that the propagation of these views would have on the law and order situation. Ife further surged that if would be wrong to contend that by this exercise, he is seeking adjudication of questions of fath or religious belief. He explained that members of Qadlani community are entitled to profess and practise faith is religious belief of their choice and whether their faith is good or bad is not his concern but when they come to practise their religious belief in the manner that amounts to propagation or invite others to such manifestations or outrage the religious feelings, then they or any one doing so commits offences under the law of the land. He urged so commiss ortences under the law of the land. He urged that he has, therefore, the right to explain to the Court the religious topics with reference to books of the petitioners community which will be outraging the religious feelings and would amount to commission of offences and which formed basis for taking preventive measures in terms of section 144 Cr.P.C.

12. The objection zaised in the petition moved by the petitioners was overruled for reasons to be recorded later and the learned coursel for the parties were told that they are fire to the views and preachings of the founder of the petition of the period of

13. Learned counsel for the petitioners (Mr. Mubashir Latif Ahmad) referred to section 9 of the Civil Procedure Code in support of the plea that Courts have no jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the questions of faith or the question whether faith of a person is good or bad or determine the doctrinal controversies or religious polemics specially when the right to propagate the faith of Ahmadiyya community is not being claimed or being asked to be adjudicated upon. The argument as canvassed does not depict the true picture of the controversy raised in the petition and the question canvassed at the Bar This application is rather a device to side track the issue. It will be recalled that claim of the petitioners is that in these meetings amongst other things life and teachings of Prophet Muhammad & and related religious topics will be discussed. He posed the question. How could these discussions even in the shade of opinion of Ahamdis could be-banned?' According to the learned counsel all that was to be done and performed in these celebrations was legal and permitted by law. In order to refute both these pleas, according to the respondents, reference to the views and preachings as contained in the original and recognised books of the founder of the Ahmadiyya community was necessary. It is wrong to assert that these were only fanatics who may have reacted adversely and who may try to create law and order situation. The entire history of Ahmadiyya faith and the opposition to it put by Muslims in the subcontinent, would show that it is not the fanatics who are continent, would show that it is not the tanatics who are opposing them but it is the general body of Nuslims which considers views of Ahmadis outrageous to their religious feelings and faith. The purpose of making prérence to the books was to highlight these aspects and to refute both the above noted pleas. The purpose is not to show that the faith of the petitioners is not good or that they should not of the petitioners is not good or that they should not profess or practise their faith, or to enter into religious polemics so as to seek resolution of doctrinal controversy. There is no question of entering into religious polemics with Qadianis as the kind of faith which Mirza Ghulam Ahmed preached and the Oadianis hold and entertain is Ahmed preached and the Qadianis hold and entertain is considered by Muslims offensive, outrageous, misconceived and violative of the fundamentals of Islam since the time of Holy Prophet Mushammad %F till date in all Muslim countries. The claim of prophethood of Mirza Chulam Ahmad is resented and rejected by Muslims who resent all and any encroachment on the nexus between Islam and finality of Prophethood. According to the Oadianis, non-Ahmadis are unbelievers and are outside the pale of Islam. Thus the Oadianis or Ahmadis constitute a pale of Islam. Thus the Qadianis or Ahmadis constitute a separate Ummah. So they are not part of Muslim Ummah. This is evident from their own conduct and beliefs. They thus try to substitute themselves for the Muslim Immah by turning out the Muslims from that Ummah. The Ahmadis could pose as Muslims under the shelter of the British Government whose interest Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was serving according to general body of Muslims, by causing disintegration of the Muslim Ummah. On the question of integration of Muslim Ummah, the views of the great luminary of Muslim society is that "Muslim Ummah is secured by the idea of the finality of Prophethood alone".

He further said "After all, if the integrity of a community is re rurner said. After all, it the integrity of a community is threatened, the only course open to that community is to defend itself against the forces of disintegration. And what are the ways of self-defence! Controversial writings and refutation of the claims of the man who is regarded by the parent community as a religious adventurer. Is it thus fair to preach toleration to the Parent community whose integrity is threatened and to allow the rebellious group to

carry on its propagands with imputity, even when the propagands is highly abusive?" (Thoughts and Reflections of Iqlab) page 255). There is no Reeling point between the Ahmadis and Muslims as Muslims believe in the finality of Prophethods while the Ahmadis on the contrary believe be seen that the explanations or justifications by the beautiful the contraction of the propagation of the situation in hand, as the matter is not of entertaining a the state of the s

originally published by their community or pointing out any part of the objected to views contained in the books which is considered to be not containing the version as originally published. This list was neither filled nor any such aimaccuracies or version was pointed out orally, rather Mojeeb-methaman, Advocate, who addressed the Court on this aspect of the case, stated that the petitioners would not like to stand committed by submitting such a list

14. Reliance of the learned counsel for the petitioners on section 9 of the Civil Procedure Code is misplaced. This section deals with general jurisdiction of the Civil Court to try suits of civil nature and the Explanation added provides that suits which involve questions of religious rites or ceremonies only are not suits of civil nature unless those questions affect a right to property or office. No such question has been raised before me. This is a petition which invokes extraordinary constitutional jurisdiction vesting in this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution and the declarations and directions are being sought by invoking fundamental rights enshrined in the Constitution. The right to profess and practise faith but not the right to propagate the faith and the views was invoked and pressed into service. The arguments on the controversy were limited to this extent purposely by the learned counsel for the petitioners. It is in this context that the respondents sought to meet the pleas raised and to show that though right to propagate is not being canvassed yet the pleas raised, the arguments advanced and the relief prayed for if allowed would essentially result in securing the propagation of the faith and objected to views publicly or even privately. Thus the questions raised are not being urged in the context of section 9. C.P.C. before a 'Civil Court'. It may be pointed out at this stage that the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the issue raised, despite passing of the centenary year is a live issue as the members of the community would hold the celebrations even now if their right to do so is recognised and declared by the Court. This Court, therefore, has examined the questions raised in the

aforenoted context and allowed the learned counsel full freedom to canvass the propositions and address the arguments so long as they remained relevant in the aforenoted context. The questions of morality of the views or the explanations for the purposes of showing justification of these objected to views were not allowed to be raised as the District Magistrate and the Government were not required to go into such justifications. The explanation that teachings and beliefs of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad have been misunderstood or misconceived by the Muslims in all these one hundred years is not relevant in the context of the present controversy. It is pertinent to note also that the explanations and justifications along with the objected to views were canvassed before the Federal Shariat Court and the same have been noticed and commented upon by the said Court in its judgment in the case of Mujeeb ur Rehman v. Federation of Pakistan PLD 1985 FSC 8. This decision, It was conceded, is blinding on this Court. The finding of the Federal Shariat Court recorded at page 87 reads as under-

It is, therefore, established beyond any studow of doubt that as Sir Zafarauliah Khan put It, either the majority of people living in Pakistan are unbelieven (Kelif) or the Qualina see unbelieven which nearest the same Ummah. There is no meeting point because of the belief of the Muslims in the finality of prophethood and the contrary belief of the Qadisnis who believe in Muira Sahib as a new Prophetument of the Muslim Muira Sahib as an enverpoletum of the people of the Muslim Ummah could be left unresolved because of debance of form in British India but in an Islamic State in which there are Institutions to determine the issue this matter does not present any difficulty. The legislature as well as the Patenta Shariat Court are completent to

It is, therefore, apparent that Ahmadis and Muslims are two separate and distinct entities and reference to the

books of Ahmadiyya community and its founder would be necessary not only to distinguish the two entities but also to show the validity as well as necessity of the passing of the impugned orders and directions. With these reasons the application (C.M. 2031-89) stands disposed of.

 The stage is now set to examine the controversy, subject-matter of the Petition, on merits. The petitioners challenged:

- Order, dated 20th March, 1989, of the Provincial Government banniog the centenary celebrations announced and advertised by the officebearers of Local Organization of Ahmadiyya community;
- (2) Order, dated 21st March, 1989, passed under section 144, Cr.P.C. by the District Magistrate, Jhang; and
- Order, dated 25th March, 1989, of the Resident Magistrate, Rabwah;

on the grounds, Interalls, that the ban imposed is violative of the fundamental right to profess and practise one's religion guaranteed by Article 20 of the Constitution and the profess and practice one's dependence of the District Magietzae, Jhang, under section 144. Cr.P.C. is Illegal, unwarranteed and uncalled for. As the main stack was directed towards the orders of the District Magietzae, band and the Resident Magietzae, the same are being reproduced for ready reference:

Order dated 21-3-1989 of D.M. reads:

"WHEREAS it has been made to appear to me that Ordains in District plang are going to hold Centerary Celebration of Qudiantia to 23rd March. 1989, for which they have arranged illustration, decoration of buildings, exection of decorative gates, holding of processions and on wall, distribution of sweets and service of special food, exhibition of bodges, bunstings and banners etc. which is highly being objected by the Muslims and is likely to

disturb public peace and tranquillity and thereby cause danger to human life and property;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Punjab, Home Department, Labore, vide its Teleprinter Message No.7-1-H-SPL-III/88, dated 20-3-1989 has decided to ban the said Centenary celebration fur Qadianis by the Oadianis in the Province of Punjab:

AND WHEREAS section 298-C of the Pakistan Penal Code, (Act XLV of 1860) provides that any person of the Cheland Group who directly post-directly post-shimself are any person of the Cheland Code of the Chela

AND WHEREAS in my opinion as also keeping in view the above-mentioned Government decision and the contents of Pakistan Penal Code, immediate prevention is desirable and there are sufficient grounds to proceed under section 144, Cr.P.C., 1999 and the directions hereinafter appearing are necessary in order to prevent danger to human life and property and disturbance of public peace and transmillity.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Ch. Muhammad Saleem, District Magistrate, Jhang, in exercise of the powers conferred upon me by section 144, Cr.P.C., 1898, do hereby prohibit the Oadianis in District Jhang from the following activities:

- (i) Illumination on buildings and premises:
 - (ii) Erection of decorative gates;
- (iii) Holding of processions and meetings;(iv) Use of loudspeaker or megaphone;
 - (v) Raising of slogans:
 - (vi) Exhibition of badges, buntings and banners etc;

- (vii) Distribution of pamphlets and pasting of posters on the walls and wall-writings;
- (viii) Distribution of sweets and service of food;
- (ix) Any other activity directly or indirectly which may incite and injure the religious feelings of Muslims.

THIS ORDER shall come into force with immediate effect and shall remain in force till 25th March, 1989.

NOTWITHSTANDING the expiry of this order, exertyfting done action taken, obligation, liability, penalty or punishment incurred investigation, inquiry or proceeding pending, jurisdiction or power conferred and fresh proceedings against offenders in the Counts of Magiltateia having still Class Powers under the Claimbal Procedure Code. Claimbal Procedure Code offences committed during the enforcement of this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued or launched as if this order shall be continued to the continued or launched as if this order shall be continued to the continued or launched as if this order shall be continued to the continued or launched as if this order shall be continued to the continued

THIS ORDER shall be given wide publicity beat of drum, by publication in the official Gazette affixing copies thereof on the notice boards of the District Courts, Offices of the Superintendent of Police, Jhang, Assistant Commissioners, Tehsildar, Municipal and Town Committees and all Police Stations in the District Jhang.

GIVEN UNDER my hand and seal of the Court (this 21st day of March, 1989,"

16. The order dated 25-3-1989 of Resident Magistrate

''امی ایک استفدن کشورساند پی تجدید ند پزدید کلیفان اطال وی بسک کشد و اطال وی بسک کرد وی تکلیم تین کاربرد کار در 1896 کی با در با باشکار تا هم افزار باد دادر بریک بدیران بادر کاربرد کاربرد کاربرد بادر استاد بدید می ما در سال میکند کاربرد کاربرد کار مدارد ندید بری نامال ساکنه کاربرد کاربرد کاربرد بری ما استاد بری امار کاربرد کاربرد بری استاد میراند کاربرد بد

د بادر پرکون تر پیگریندگ سال 25-3-1989 د بادر پرکون تر پیگریندگ بادی-"
The factual background of the passing of these orders

was that holding of the centenary celebrations was announced in the press by the office-bearers of the local organization of the Ahmadiyya community. The legal position obtaining in the year 1989 as regards the Ahmadis is that through constitutional amendment of 1974, they been declared non-Muslims. Despite this constitutional mandate and despite the fact that Ahmadis verbally concede that Constitution is binding on them as any other citizen, yet they persisted in calling themselves Muslims or their faith Islam and also using the epithets exclusively used for the members of the Family and Companions of Holy Prophet Muhammad A with the names of members of family etc. of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. Ordinance XX of 1984 was then promulgated to restrain the Ahmadis from calling themselves what they are not, since they cannot be allowed to deceive any body specially the Muslim Ummah by passing off as Muslims. The provisions banning the use of exclusive epithets and expressions were also incorporated in implementation of the constitutional provisions so that Ahmadis cannot call themselves or pose to be Muslims directly or indirectly. It may be added that the Federal Shariat Court in the case of Mujeebur Rehman (supra) has held that "Article 260(3) declares the Oadianis as non-Muslims for the purpose of the Constitution and the law, Article 20 guarantees to the citizens of Pakistan the right, inter alia, to profess their religion. This Article is no doubt subject to other provisions of the Constitution. This

point was in fact conceded by Mr. Mujerbur Rehman. Read with Article 2004) of the Constitution, the above provide of Article 20 will mean that the Qadianic an profess that they believe in the unity of Allah and/or the prophethood of Miras Sahib, but they cannot profess the tenselves to be Muslims or their faith to be Islam." The reasons for Muslims or their faith to be Islam." The reasons for the Article 2004 of the Articl

"The claim of being the Promised Messiah and Mehdi in 1891 and of being a Prophet or the manifestation of the Holy Prophet engendered lasting hostility, indignation, condemnation and censure among the Muslim masser, religious scholars and intelligentsia alike (see Seert-ul-Mehdi, Vol. 1, pages 86 to 90, Vol.2, pages 44, 64, 87, Vol. 3, page 94).

This is a picture of the recurring extreme exasperations of the Muslims in his lifetime.

After the creation of Pakistan the imposition of Pakistan He imposition of Committee, the Constitutional Amendment of 1974 all 1970 prove the extreme againstain, chagin; tension and mortification of the Mustims. Section 298-C of the Pakistan Penal Code prohibits the outcasting of the feelings of the Mustims which furnishes proof of the feelings of the Mustims which furnishes proof of the Mustims on matters or the Mustims on matters.

ultimately prohibited by the Ordinance."

Again at page 100 of the report, it is recorded:—

The Qadimis achieved some little success among members of the Muslim Lumah minly in the Punjah because of their strategy of calling themselves Muslims and assuring them that acceptance of Ahmadism did not mean relinquishment of Islam or conversion from belief to unbelief but gove them an option to become better Masslams. For this purpose the purpose the success of the purpose of

towards what they preach to be liberalism in Islam. This strategy which paid some little bonus bears strong resemblance to the passing off by a trader of his infection goods as the superior well known goods of a reputed firm. Let the Qadlanis accept that their preaching is for conversion to a religion other than Islam even the unwary among the Muslims may be loath to change his belief for mobiletic. On the other hand Qddiants may have feeling of

Another important reason was that the Quadian's by poing themselves as Muslims try to propagate their religion to every Muslim they come accors. They outrage his feelings by calling Mirra Sahib a prophet because every Muslim believes in the finality of prophethood of Muslammad 6%. This creates a feeling of resentment and hostility among the Muslims which gives rise to law and order problem. Bits claim of being a promised Mensish and Mehdl was also resented. This is not a mere claim. It would be clear from the history of Quadianism—in feet from the books of Mirra Sahib himself—that he had to face the second of the control body of Muslims.

17. So It is in the aforemeted historical and legal perspective that the challenge made to the impugued order is to be examined. The fundamental right pressed into service is the fight to profess and practise religion enhitrated in Article 20 of the Constitution, subject of the control of the constitution and produced in Article 20 of the Constitution, subject of the control of the profess of practise religion. Whether law prohibits such celebrations and whether circumstances existed for banning the celebrations in order to malatinity public order? In order to answer these questions it appears necessary to find out the namer in which the celebrations were to be held and what

It is pertinent to note that position taken in the petition is that "it is their legal and constitutional right to celebrate publicly the centenary of the Qadiani Movement and to recount the achievements of the full century while learned counsel during arguments urged that though it is their right to hold public meetings and to discuss the religious topics including the life of Prophet Muhammad W which will obviously include the claim of Mirza Ahmad to prophethood but neither any programme was chalked out nor any speech was intended to be made which may have contravened the law of the land. This assertion was obviously made in the context of the provisions contained in sections 298-A, 298-B and 29S-C of the Pakistan Penal Code. The plea that no act which may have contravened the law of the land was even intended to be performed or done was contradicted by producing the pamphlets circulated, the advertisements issued and the news published in the newspaper named 'Al-fazl' of the Ahmadiyya community, Mr. C.A. Rehman, Advocate, had asserted that no public meetings were to be held, no ceremonial gates were to be constructed, no banners were to be displayed and no processions were planned to be taken out but 'Al-fazl' dated 26th March, 1989, carried a different story. It commented as under:-

اس محاق اندا عد کارشی شرک آوا کش محدی بدا یا به سید که ادا واقع اس مدید ادا واقع با سرک داد واقع با سرک داد واقع با سرک داد و این می سود به می این می می سود به با سال می می سود به با داد و این می می سود به با داد و این می می سود به با داد و این می می سود به با در این می می سود با در این می سود با در این می می سود با در این می

"Hundred years of Truth (حیال کے سمال)

اس جشن کی تیاری کا اس اندازش انظام کیا گیا تھا کہ اس کواگر آزادی سے منانے دیا جاتا تو دنیا کی چارخ جس برائیک منز دیشن مونا۔''

18. The material produced by the Advocate-General shows that the Qadianl community had planned to celebrate publicly the centenary and the programme chalked out would have amounted to publicly propagating the faith and the views of the founder of the community and his disciples. The programme also included the display of banners carrying slogans such as "Hundred years of Truth" which slogan was also displayed on the Tee Shirts apparently got tailored and prepared specially for these celebrations. It is, therefore, apparent that the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioners made during arguments that the centenary celebrations were to be attended by the members of the community and by their friends through special invitations was not factually correct, Learned Advocate-General was, therefore, correct in pointing out that the Government and the District Magistrate examined the question of maintaining law and order and apprehension of breach of peace in correct. factual and legal perspective and this Court should also examine the question of legality of the impugned orders in the perspective of holding the celebrations publicly and not limited to its own members and the friends who would have wished to attend through their own volition.

13. The other plea of the learned consuls for the petitioners was that neither any programme was framed nor any speech was intended to be made which would have violated law of the land. According to them neither the economics of the events of the last century (blace) 1687 to the last century (

Government and the District Magistrate but would also be violative of the law and amount to commission of offences under section 298-C, P.P.C. as has been pointed out by the District Magistrate in his order, dated 23-3-1989, impugned in this petition.

Larned Advocate-Central as well as larned coursel for the respondents submitted that meetings of the kind announced and that too for the avoved objective whether as centenary celebrations or abservaice would endanger public peace. It was added that though right to propagate the Oudania faith is not being asserted and claimed yet the holding of meetings wherein the bistory and status of Mizza Chuhan Ahmad and the sacress achieved in this Mizza Chuhan Ahmad and the sacress achieved in this Mizza Chuhan Aan that are as a series and the sacress achieved in their color and the sacress achieved in the propagation of the Qadiani faith. This will mean on one hand doing an at and Permitted by law and on the other hand outraging the religious feelings of the Muslims and Cristians. In order to highlight this aspect of the celebrations the views of Mizza Chuhan Ahmad and in the Color and the Color

- Claim to prophethood of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and endeavour even to excel the Holy Prophet (p.b.u.h.):
 - (2) Insolent writings respecting God Abnighty:
- (3) Abusive and disparaging writings and views concerning lesus Christ;
- concerning Jesus Christ;

 (4) Insolent and disparaging remarks about members
 of Family of the Holy Prophet:
- (5) Writings depicting Muslim Ummah as heretics and as an Ummah different from Qadianis with abuses hurled to eminent religious scholars of Muslims.

aduses nursed to eminent religious scholars of Muslims.

20. The relevant objected to views or the opinion concerning Muslims contained in the books and readout during the arguments are not being reproduced as the very

reproduction of the same would provoke protest and uproar and further intensify the feelings of hatred. Mr. Mubashir Latif Ahmad, Advocate, counsel for the petitioners was of the view that reporting of the proceedings in the press (of the dates when these topics were being discussed) is likely to create hatred against Ahmadis but Mr. Mujeebur Rehman, Advocate took the stand that material produced i.e., the books referred to under the aforesaid topics, is such that it is not of a recent origin as it is in circulation since one centu y and if this literature was not provocative for all this period, why it should be treated as provocative at the particular juncture of centenary celebrations. He added that till 1983 annual general meetings of the community were being held, special trains used to carry Oadianis to Rabwah without any untoward incident and on account of Qadiani faith public peace was never disturbed or breached. Such a plea can be raised by ignoring the entire history of opposition offered by the Muslims to the Qadiani faith and to prophethood of Mizza Ghulam Ahmad. Some of the writings are couched in the most uncomplementary and abusive language for his opponents. Mirza Sahib as had proclaimed himself to be the Masih Maood (Promised Messiah) tried to substitute himself for Jesus as Promised Messiah is to be no other than Iesus son of Mary. He proclaimed:

"God named me Mary in the third volume of Barchin-ichhmadiryah (book of Mirza Sabhi containing his Divine Revelations') was nutured for a period of two years in a Mary-like condition and was brought up in a womanly seclusion. Then the spirit of Jesus was breathed into me just as eit was breathed into Mary. Thus I was considered to be pregnant in a metaphorical amener. After a period of several months, and more than the contraction of the several months, and the several months of the several months of the contraction of the several months of the several months of the fourth volume of Barchin-ichhamdiryan; and thus I became Jesus, son of Mary, But God did not inform me about this secred during the time of Barahin-i-hamdirysh.

Kashti-i-Nuh: Ruhani Khaz'in, Vol. 19, p. 50,"

21. This did not end here as Mirza Sahib in his writings used disparaging, imprecative and provocative remarks about Jesus Christ. Though no authentic religious source suggests that lesus (peace be upon him) was foul mouthed or was of lewd character yet Mirza Sahib came out with the atrocious and blasphemous remarks. Some of these read:

"(lesus) had the habit of uttering obscenities and ofrequently using foul language."

> (Zamimah Anjam-i-Atham) Ruhani Khazain, Vol. 11, p.289.

"What is your opinion about the character of the Messiah? (It is that lesus) was an alcoholic and gluttonous person, neither abstinent nor a pious worshipper, nor a reality seeker. He was a proud and a self-conceited claimant of Divinity."

> (Nur al-Ouran) Ruhanl Khazain, Vol.9, p.387

"The root cause of all the damage that alcohol consumption has had on the Europeans was that Jesus used to drink alcohol, perhaps because of some

disease or an old habit."

alcoholic "

follows

(Kashti-i Nuh)

Ruhani Khazain, Vol. 19, p.71, "Jesus could not portray himself as a pious man

because people knew that he was a gluttonous

(Satt Bachan) Ruhani Khazain, Vol.10, p.296."

22. Even the episodes narrated in the Bible were distorted by Mirza Sahib as a way to deride holy Jesus (peace be upon him) and to defile his sacred name as

"Iesus had an inclination for prostitutes perhaps due to his ancestral relationship with them, otherwise no pious man could allow a young prostitute to touch his head with her filthy hands, and massage his head with the unclean perfume purchased with the earnings of adultery, and rub his feet with her hair. Let the intelligent judge what sort of character such a person must possess."

> (Zamimah Anjam-i-Atham) (Ruhani Khazain, Vol. 11, p. 291).

"A beautiful prostitute is sitting so close to him as though she is embracing him. Sometimes she massages his head with perfume or holds his feet and sometimes she lays her beautiful black hair on his feet and plays in his lap. In this situation Mr. Messiah is sitting in ecstacy. If someone rises to object he is scolded. Besides his young age, the habit of alcoholism and being a bachelor, a beautiful prostitute is lying in front of him touching her body with his. Is this the behaviour of a virtuous person?

And what evidence or proof Is there that Jesus of not get sexually provoked by the touch of the prostitute. Alas! Jesus could not even have the facility of sexual intercourse with any wife of his own after passing his glance upon that adultress. What sexual excitement would have been provoked by the touching of that adultress. What sexual excitement would have been provoked by the touching of that wretched adultress and her playfulness! The sexual excitement and arousal would have done its work to the full. This is the reason why Jesus could not even open his mouth to say, 'Oh adultress! keep away from me, it is well established in he Bible that that woman was one of the prostitutes, notorious for adultery in the entire city.

> (Nur al-Quran) Ruhani Khazain, Vol.9, p.449".

23. As against the above version of Mirza Sahib, this
ery episode is narrated in the Bible as under:-

"And one of the pharisees desired him that he would eat with him. He went into the pharisees's house and sat down to meat. And, behold, a woman in the city. which was a sinner, when she knew that Jesus sat at meat in the pharisees's house, brought an alabaster box of ointment, and stood at his feet behind him weeping, and began to wash his feet with tears, and then wiped them with the hairs of her head, and kissed his feet, and anointed them with the ointment. Now when the pharises which had bidden him saw it. he spoke within himself, saying, this man if he were a prophet, would have known who and what manner of woman this is that toucheth him for she is a sinner And Jesus answering said unto him, Simon, I have somewhat to say unto thee. And he said, Master, say on. There was a certain creditor which had two debtors; the one owed 500 pence, and the other 50. And when they had nothing to pay, he frankly forgave them both. Tell me therefore, which of them will love him most? Simon answered and said. I suppose that he, to whom he forgave most. And he said unto him. Thou hath rightly judged. And he turned to the woman, and said unto Simon, seeth thou this woman? I entered into thine house, thou givest me no water for my feet; and she wiped them with the hairs of her head. Thou givest me no kiss; but this woman since the time I came in, has not ceased to kiss my feet. My head with oil thou didst not anoint; but this woman has anointed my head with ointment. Therefore I say unto thee her sins which are many, are forgiven; but she loved much; but to whom little is forgiven, the same loveth little. And he said unto her thy sins are forgiven. And they that sat at meat with him began to say within themselves, who is this that forgiveth sins also? And he said to the woman thy faith bath saved thee; on in peace."

> The New Testament St. Luke. Ch. 7:36-50."

The above is confirmed in the Gospel according to John as follows:—

Then took Mary a pound of eintenent of Spikenand, very costly, and anniest due feet of Jenus, and visiged his feel with her hair and the house was filled with older of the oliment. Then said one of his disciples, Judas Iscarito, Simon's son, which should betray him, Judas Iscarito, Simon's son, which should betray him, given to the poor This her said for the poor but because he was a thirt, and had the bag, and have what was put therein. Then said Jesus, be her alones against the day of my burying had she kept this. For the poor always to have well by our but her alones are some some simple with the poor of the said feath of the said

The New Testament St. John, Ch. 12:3-8"

And according to Matthew the story is narrated in the following manner:---

"Now that Jesus was in Bethany, in the house of Simon the leper, there came unto him a woman having an alabaster box of very precious ointment and poured it on his head as he sat at meat. But when his disciples saw it, they had indignation, saving to what purpose is this waste? For this ointment might have been sold for much, and given to the poor. Then Jesus understood it, he said unto them why trouble ve the woman? For she hath wrought a good work upon me. For Ye have the poor always with you; but me Ye have not always. For in that she had poured this ointment on my body, she did it for my burial. Verily I say unto you, wheresoever this Gospel shall be preached in the whole world, there shall also this. that this woman bath done be told for a memorial of her"

> The New Testament St. Matthew, Ch. 26:6-13.°

24. A close reading of the distorted version would show that the insinuation such as "as though she embracing him;... she is playing in his lap;... Mr. lesus is sitting in ecstacy:............... a beautiful prostitute is laying in front..........her body is touching his body;...... Jesus sexual provocations... ... have been added with a view to defile Iesus Christ though the Bible does not contain such bose episodes nor it depicts Jesus in this shade. The actual episode was that immoral woman had come to holy Jesus (peace be upon him) crying and weeping in order to seek forgiveness for her sins and Jesus and said:

"Your sins are forgiven".

25. Not only this but the teachings of Jesus were also belittled by Mirza Sahlb. The aforenoted stance and views of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani are quite contrary to the position and status of lesus described in Ouran as the entire Quran (the Holy Book of Muslims) is free from any statement that may be construed in any way to reflect negatively on Jesus Christ (peace be upon him). The Quran is full of praises for lesus and describes him as one of the greatest five Prophets of God, Ouran says in Sura 3, Verse 84:

"Say: We believe in God and what is revealed to us and what was revealed to Abraham and Ismael and Iseac and lacob and the tribes, and what was entrusted to Moses and Jesus and the prophets from their Lord. We make no distinction between any of

Holy Ouran praises Jesus, his mother and his family

them, and to him we have surrendered".

in these terms: "God selected Adam and Noah, Abraham's House and

Imran's House over (everyone in) the Universe. They are descendants one of another. God is Alert. Aware. (Remember) when the wife of Imran said 'My Lord! I have vowed for you whatever is within my womb. Accept It from me. See! You, only you are the Hearer, the Knower. When she gave birth she said: My God! I have given birth to a daughter. God was quite aware of what she had given birth to, for a male is not like a female - I have named her Mary, and ask you to protect her and her offspring from Satan the Outcast.

Her Lord accepted her in a handsome manner and caused her to grow like a lovely plant and appointed Zachariah to take care to her. Every time Zachariah entered the sanctuary to see her, he found she had already been supplied with food. He said: 'Mary, Whence cometh unto you this (food)? She said: It comes from God, for God provides for anyone he wishes without any reckoning."

(Ouran, 3:33-37) "And when the angels said: O Mary! See! God has

chosen you and made you pure, and has preferred you above (all) the women of creation. O Mary! Be Obedient to your Lord, prostrate yourself and bow with those who bow (in worship)." (Ouran, 3:42,43)

Even virgin birth of Jesus is stated in exalted manner in Sura 3 Verses 45-47:

"(And remember) when the angels said: O Mary! God gives you glad tidings of a word from Him, whose name is the Messiah, Jesus, son of Mary, Illustrious in the world and the Hereafter and one of those brought near (unto God). He will speak to mankind in his cradle and in his manhood, and he is of the righteous. She said: My Lord, how can I have a child while no human being has ever touched me? He said so (it will be). God creates anything He wishes, Whenever He decides upon some matter, He merely tells it: Bel and 14 to *

(Ouran, 3:45-47)

Again in Sura 19, verses 16-32 story of birth has been told as under"And make mention of Marzy in the Book, when she had withdrawn from her people to a chamber looking East and had chosen seclusion from them. Then We sent to her Our spirit and it is assumed for her the likeness of a perfect man, She said: I seek refuge in the Compassionate One from you, if you are Godfearing. He said: I am only a messenger of your Lord. that I may bestow on you a faultless son. She said: How can I have a son when no mortal has touched me. neither have Theen unchaste? He said: So (it will be) your Lord says: it is easy for Me. And (it will be) that we may make of him a revelation for mankind and a mercy from Us, and it is a thing ordained. And she conceived him, and she withdrew with him to a far place. And the pangs of childbirth drove her to the trunk of a palm tree. She said: Oh, would that I had become a thing of naught, forgotten! Then (one) cried to her from below her saving: Grieve not! Your Lord has placed a rivulet beneath you. And shake the trunk of the palm tree toward you. You will cause ripe dates to fall on you. So eat and drink and be consoled. And if you meet any mortal say: I have vowed a fast to the Compassionate, and may not speak this day to any person. She carried him back to her family. They said Mary you have brought something hard to believe! O Sister of Agron! Your father was no evil man, nor was your mother a loose woman. She pointed to him. They said: How can we talk to someone who is a child in the cradle? He said: I am God's servant. He has given me a book and made me a prophet. He has made me blessed wherever I may be, and has enjoined on me prayer and almsgiving so long as I remain alive. And (has made me) dutiful towards her who bore me, and has not made me arrogant, unblest. Peace on me the day I was born, and the day I die, and the day I shall be raised alivet".

(Ouran, 19:16-32)."

26. Moreover the Muslims are forbidden to degrade

or defile the men or leaders of others religions so that the

others do not find occasion to huri slander on their leaders. It is true that on certain asprets there exist honest differences amongst Muslim and Christian Theologists but that cannot provide base or justification to defile each others religion or prophet. The prophet of Islam to reported to have said:

"I am closest (in love) to Jesus, the son of Mary, in this life and the hereafter."

27. These were the writings' and views of Mizza Sabho na account of which Muslims as well as Christians opposed the claim of Mizza Sabho to prophethood and being Masih Macod (Pomized Messiah). There were vestion the life-time of Mizza Chulam Ahmad as well as after his death and even after creation of Fashstam when there were mass protests leading to imposition of Martial Law in Lincher in 1953 and richs involving attacks on train in 1974. Mizza Chulam Ahmad noted the houtility of the Muslims of t

"It is this claim on which my people (non-Ahmadi Musilims) quarrel with me and consider me an apostate (رست). They talked loudly and did not pay reverence to one who receives inspiration from Allah (رست). They said that he is a renegate, liar and an impostor (ست). But for their fear of the sword of the rulers they would have imittederd me."

The provocative nature of these writings does not enderly because some other writings contain views of Mirza Ghulam Ahnad which are in accord with the views of state of the contained or of fence under section 48 contained on the contained or of fence under section 85 ct. F.F.C.

28. Take the slogan "Hundred years of Truth" printed on the Tee Shirts or displayed on the banners or ceremonial gates. What does it convey? This slogan seen in the background of centenary celebrations of the community, conveys the message that claim to prophethood made by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is true; the belief of Ahmadis that it is they who constitute Muslim Ummah is true; the others who do not accept Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as prophet or Maseeh Ma'ood are heretics; you the predominant majority despite constitutional mandate are heretics. The Advocate-General rightly remarked that had the prohibition order not been passed, such a provocative act would have created serious law and order situation. He was also right in urging that the prohibited acts, taken individually do not appear obnoxious, injurious and harmful as putting of the ceremonial gates, hoisting of banners, illuminating a building or serving food to poor, or wearing by a person new clothes should not be a cause of annoyance to others. These acts are to be seen in the background of the declaration made, the objective sought to be achieved, the declaration made, the objective sought to be achieved, the message sought to be conveyed and the reaction that such acts are likely to produce. These acts, seen in historical perspective cannot be taken as innocent and harmless manifestations of a minority community which would like to commemorate its past events and eulogize its founder or leaders. In any case how do these public manifestations fail within the domain of 'professing or practising a particular religion'. The pleas that the performance of these acts is lawful and as such doing of lawful acts cannot be prohibited under section 144, Cr.P.C. merely because the doing of acts lawfully might lead another to act unlawfully and that preventive measures are to be taken against the person or the group of persons who are likely to act unlawfully may be examined.

29. Learned counsel for the petitioners while advancing the aforemoted pleas, assumed that these acts, the carrying out of which was prohibited or the centenary celebrations as were being planned to be held were innovious, innocent, harmless, rather lawful. This

358 assumption is incorrect. Even assuming that it was intended not to cause annoyance or not to trigger friction and disturbances, still reaction that these celebrations were genuinely apprehended to have aroused, provided sufficient justification for making the impugned orders in the public interest. The principle relied upon by the learned counsel was enunciated in the case of Beatty v. Citibanks (1882) 2 O.B.D. 308. The facts were that members of Salvation Army insisted on marching through the streets despite violent opposition from the 'skeleton Army' and despite an order from the Magistrate that they should not march. The Divisional Court held that a man cannot be punished for acting lawfully If he knew that his so doing might lead another man to act unlawfully. This decision seems to be correct in allocation of criminal liability but it is not followed or in any case its operation has been modified in cases of exercise of police power of the State relatable to the maintenance of public peace. So in Humphries v. Connor (1864) 17 Ir. CLR 1) where an action or assault was brought against a policeman, the Irish Court held that the policeman was entitled to remove an orange lily from the plaintiffs clothes since this was necessary to prevent a breach of peace amongst a crowd in whom the emblem aroused animosity (see G.P. Wilson - Cases and Materials in Constitutional and Administration Law. Dage 693). Again in O'kelly v. Harvey, a magistrate was held entitled to disperse a lawful meeting since he had reasonable grounds for supposing that Orangemen opposed to the meeting would use violence and that there was no other way in which peace could be preserved (see Wilson cases-page 695). It may be alluded here that the cases of pasting badges with KALMA TAYYABA described or the banners with KALMA TAYYABA displayed by the Qadiani are in point. Even in cases where the words or conduct is

provocative or insulting the Police power may be exercised for maintaining law and order. The case of Wise v. Dunning (1902) 1 K.B. 167) may also be referred. In this case, Protestant crusader was bound over to keep the peace after he had repeatedly insulted the man Catholic faith in Catholic area of Liverpool and breach of peace had

occurred. It was held that on facts the magistrate was entitled to regard the hostile response by the Catholics as the natural consequence of Wise's insulting conduct.

30. Now the question whether display of badges or banners with KAIMA TAYVARA is offensive may be examined. According to the Advocatic-General and Advocatic for the respondents from the words of the proposed of the proposed of the proposed of the temporal of the temporal

" کی سی موجود خود محدوسول اللہ عظامت اسلام کے لئے دوبارہ و نیاش تشریف لائے۔ اس لئے ہم کو کسے نے کلے کی شروت ٹیس۔ بال اگر تاکہ رسول اللہ عظامی کی جگر کو گ

اورآ تاتو شرورت شِيِّل آئي" ("م 158) Reference was also made to pages 4, 5, 7 and 11 of Ek Ghalti Ka Izala wherein it is recorded:

صفياء: "اس وي اللي بين بيرانام جمد ركعا مميا اور سول محي": _

سفیده: "اس کے بیدی چی کرچرکی نبوستا فرچرکوی کی" فرض میری نبوست اور رسالت بااشیارهما و احمد و نے کے ہے"

صفيك: " كَيْنَكْم يَرِي قَالَى العَ مِنْ اللَّهُ فَي تَصُورِ اوراى كانام ب-"

سنى ا: " چۇنكەيىل ھى ملور برمى ئىللە بول."

يعنى جب كه يش بروزى طور يرآمخيفرت علي وول."

سخه ۱۲: "اورای بنام خدائے بار باد میرانام کی آنشداور رسول انڈر کھا:۔۔۔۔ای افاظ ہے میرا بنام عجدا وراجہ ہوا۔ پس تورت اور رسالت کی دوسر ہے کے ہائی تجیل گئی۔ محد کی بیز مجید کے باتری

راى عليه الصلوة والسلام."

Learned counsel for the respondents argued that the display of banners or wearing of badges with KALMA TAYYABA with the aforemoted sense and belief amount to offence under section 295-C, P.P.C. which is punishable with death.

31. At this stage reference may be made to the contents of the affidavit filed by Mirza Khurshid Ahmad, petitioner, in this respect. Paras. 4 and 5-of the affidavit read:—

5. That the deponent solemnly repudiates any allegation to the effect that by the words "Muhammad (peace be upon him) the deponent means Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. Any such allegation is false, incorrect or ill-informed. The deponent most solmenly repudiates any such Instinuation, which is contrary to the beliefs of the deponent and all Abmadis at the property of the beliefs.

In view of the above-noted stand taken in the diffavity. Mr. Aliquebru Rehman was asked, as to the belief of Mitza. Khunhid Ahmad and other members of Ahmadiya community regarding status of Mitza Ghulan Ahmad Qadiani and as to his writings wherein he claimed prophethood and whether KALMA TAYYABA alone is to be recited by a person entering Qadiani faith or something sele is also to be accepted, recited or believed. The answer given was that Qadianis do not believe in absolute and unqualified finality of the Prophethood of Muhammad 37 and they believe that Mitza Ghulam Ahmad was Mehdi, Massech Mitood. He added that what has been relied upon by the opposite side has been clarified by the tounder of the different proposite side has been clarified by the tounder of the control of the different proposite side has been clarified by the tounder of the control of the contr

Vol. 8, page 282 and in Paighame-Suich contained in Robani Khazian, Vol. 23, page 489. This message according to him was written by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad one day before his death i.e., on 28th May, 1908. He explained that what has been stated in Ek Ghilti Ka Izaja, Azienatha Charlian Charles of the Charlian Charles of the Concept of Zil (1—1) and buruz (1),0 which is concept of spiritual resemblance and identity and means complete subservience of one person into the other. According to him the concept does not in any namoer involve physical that concept does not in any namoer involve physical

Rehman conveniently missed and which was not refuted was that anyone entering the Qudinin faith has to be disclaim faith was to be with that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad's prophethood of sinherent in the Prophethood of Walmamad & Sa Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is the true shadow (1—1) or succressemblance (1):p buruz, of Muhammad, the Prophet of Idam. It could also not denied that in the form to be signed while entering the Qudinin faith, one has to agree and accept Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as prophet, Mehdi and Maseeh Ma'ood. The words used, inter Alia is this form are:

32. The most important thing which Mr. Mujeebur

" آخضرت علی کو خاتم المجین یقین کردل کا رکروگی اور حفرت می موجود کے سب دعادی پر ایمان رکھوں کا ردکھوں کی ۔

The Muslims since after the Holy Prophet, in all ages rejected the claim of prophethood made by imposters from lime to time. The claim made by Miraz Sahib was also rejected by all sections of Muslims. As regards the claim to prophethood made by Miraz Chulam Ahmad a detailed discussion has been made in the case of Mujeebur Rehman

discussion has been made in the case of Mujeebur Rehman (supra). It was further observed as under:

"It would be seen that the consequences of the dictum that Mirza Sahib himself was Muhammad and Ahmad (they were the names of the Holy Propher & were anomalous enough. The companions of Mirza

Sahib became the companions of the Holy Prophet. In the formula recited by Muslims there is no God but God and that Muhammad & is His Prophet, Muhammad is Mirza Sahib. Whenever the word Muhammad is recited or read, it means Mirza Sahib.

33. The plea of learned counsel for the petitioners that concept of Zil yand buruz (1)2,0 does not in any manner involve physical reappearance or reincarnation, appears to be contrary to the views expressed by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad himself and his known disciple Dr. Abdul Qadir Mahmood. This aspect is discussed at page 74 of the record as under.

"Now the concept itself may be analysed. It has been explained in Al-Falsafatul Suffatu fil Islam by Dr. Abdul Qadir Mahmood, pages 5-H that the meaning of expressions Zilli (,,b) and Buruzy (\$\psi\$) are resemble

very much the concept of incarhation (حلسول) or transmigration (تاسخ) among the Hindus.

Mirza Sahib himself admitted that buruz means avatars. In his lecture at Sialkot, dated 2nd November, 1904

(page 23) he said:

This may be made clear that my advent on behalf of God is not only for the reform of the Muslims. The reform of all the three communities Muslims, Hindus and Christians is required.

As God sent me as promised Messiah for the Muslims and the Christians, so I am as an avatars for the Hindus......Raja Krishna as has been made evident to me was in fact a perfect man...He was the avatars of his time or prophet.....It was the promise of God that during the final age, he would create his buruz meaning availating.

In Zamima Risala-l-Jihad (printed 1900) he wrote:

It is clear that Mirza Sahib treated avatara and buruz as equivalents of one another.

In strict Sharish of Islam there is no concept of incarnation or transmigration. These are terms emanating from those who believed in transmigration like Mazdak and Laman. Similarly there is no such notion as shadowism (طبت in Islam (Khatimun Nabiyyin by Anwar Shah Kashmiri. page 210).

In Maugiful Jamatil Islamiyya, Maulana Muhammad Yousaf Bannori wrote that from the comparative study of religions it appears that the entire concept of shadowism (~\$\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\superstack{\supersta

Mujaddid Alf Sani, whose writings were relied upon by Mirza Sahib refutes the concept of Zll (shadow) in prophethood. He sald in his letter No.301 that prophethood connotes nearness to Allah which it has not even the hint or doubt of Zillait (shadow)ress)*.

34. The third aspect pointed out by the respondents was that device, adopted in the form of aliesiance (----) to be signed by person entering Qadiani faith is yet another deception being played and a trap being laid to mislead

Muslims as well as others by presenting their faith as Islam and by representing Mirza Sahib as a new prophet of Islam.

النبين) It may be pointed out that by use of the words in the form of (آنحضرت صلى الله عليه وسلم) allegiance (بعت), it does not admittedly mean and imply that there would be no prophet after Unarnrnad as as contrarily such a person has to have faith in all claims made Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, which includes his claim of being Prophet. According to Muslims, there will and cannot be any Prophet till the Day of Judgment as Prophet of Islam has reportedly said, there will be no prophet after him (لابعسدي and that 'the word (خانم النبسين) means that the seal having been affixed, there is no question of the arriving of a new prophet. As against, this, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad in "Ek Ghalti Ka Izala" said, that though the seal of prophethood shall not be broken but it is possible that a prophet may come in this world in buruzy manner (as incarnate) not only once but a thousand times and may manifest his prophethood and perfection as incarnate.

35. It may be pointed out that what is stated in "Izala Auham" of the year 1891, Karamat-e-Sadegain of 1893, contained in Roohani Khazain, Vol. 7; Ayyam-e-Suleh of 1899, contained in Roohani Khazain, Vol. 14, does not paint the final picture of the claim to prophethood of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as the relevant writings of Mirza Sahib in this connection would be the writings from 1901 to 1908 and "Ek Ghalti Ka Izala" is the basic writing. In this context it may further be pointed out that Paigham-e-Suleh of 25th May, 1908 printed in Roohani Khazain, Vol. 23 is also not relevant as this message was addressed to Hindus and not to Muslims and the question of acceptance of Mirza Sahib as prophet would have arisen only when the Hindus had accepted Muhammad & as Prophet and true Messenger of God. In view of the specific claim of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, it is apparent that belief of Ahmadis is that Mirza Sahib is Prophet Muhammad and so use of the words (مُحَمَّد الرسول الله) in the banners or the badges worn by any Ahmadi would be at his own peril as it amounts to defiling the sacred name of Holy Prophet ® and such acts certainly fall within the purview of section 295-C. P.P.C.

36. Moreover such banners and badges also tend to cause annoyance by outraging religious feelings of the predominant majority of citizens. This will provide another justification for banning celebrations as these would have caused breach of peace. It will be recalled that right to profess and practise religion was only claimed but the learned counsel for the petitioners failed to show how the holding of the celebrations in public and in the manner contemplated and planned infringe or abridge the right to profess Qadiani faith. The Qadianis continue to profess and practise their faith and enjoy all the freedom like Hindus, Sikhs, Parsies and other religious minorities but a difficult situation is created by their own conduct of passing off as Muslims and use of Shaa'ir Islam or KALMA TAYYARA which are one of the fundamentals of Islam. No. untoward situation or incident will arise in case the constitutional mandate is adhered to by Oadianis and they treat themselves as a community different and distinct from Muslims which is their own case. The role of substituting themselves for Muslims and of excluding general body of Muslims from the fold of Islam is not to be accepted by the Muslim Ummah. Their loyalty to the country, Constitution and their separate entity would ensure their safety and well being. Why should they be allowed to highlack Islam. They are welcomed to have any faith but why should they insist to impurity the faith of Muslims Any act of Muslims taken for safeguarding the purity of their faith should not disturb the Oadianis or should give them no cause of grievance.

37. The power of the kind vesting under section 144, CP.C. as well as police power of the state can legitimately be exercised for a purpose which is considered to be for pullic good or to be in the interest of the people of the country. The two cases of the members of scientiology cult may be referred to. In the case of Schmidt and southers. Secretary of State for Home Affairs (1969) 2.C.1.49), it was offered to the country of the secretary of

practices are taught to students at a College in Susset. England. This College is owned by an American Corporation called the church of Scientology of California. The petitioners Schuldt and Joseph Murranti, citizens of United States had permits of entry for limited time. The term expired and the Home Secretary refused extension as the view of the government was:

"Scientology is a pseudo-philosophical cult introduced into this country some years age from the United States and has its world headquarters in East Grinstead. It has been described by its founder Mr. L. Ron Hubbard. as 'the world's largest mental health organisation'.....

The Government are satisfied having reviewed all the

available evidence, that scientology is socially harmful. It allenates members of families from each other and attributes squalld and disgraceful motives to all who oppose it its authoritarian principles and expensive the same of the

Lord Denning. Master of the Rolls in his judgment dealing with the argument that Home Secretary had used his power for the purpose of disapproval of, and to bring into disrespect a religious sect which was not prohibited by law, observed:—

1 think the Minister can exercise his power for any purpose which he considers to be for the public good or to be in the interests of the people of this country. There is not the slightest ground for thinking that the Minister exercised his power here for any nauthorised purpose or with any ulterior motive. In the contract of the contract which was made to the House of Commons. It is the contract which was made to the House of Commons. It thought that the practices of these people, these

scientologists, were most harmful to our society, and that it was Undersizable in the interests of the people of this country that alien students of scientology should be allowed to stay any longer or that any new ones should be allowed to come in. That purpose was entirely justifiable. It was exercised by the Home Sectorary in the interests of the ordinary people of decident of the company of the contract of the condition of the company of the contract of the condition of the contract of the co

38. The refusal be extend the permit was upheld. The petition for leave to appeal, against the aforement judgment was dismissed by the House of Lords (see Note at page 174 of the same report). The right of freedom of movement was thus subjected to the considerations of the Builting sood. This very principle was also applied by the European Court of Justice in the case of Van Duyn House Office (197). I.e. 350, it his loss as clause in the Treaty workers within the nine countries of the community was subjected to the reasons of public policy. Mis vAn Duyn artiving at 'Airport declared that the is to take up mapployment a Secretary at the College of Scientology. The entry was refused saying that it was undesirable to give any one leave to eater Unled Kingdom to be in employment of the Chartch of Scientology. The refusal was challenged and the Chartch of Scientology. The refusal was challenged and Lucemburs and the refusal made was upheld.

39. The reasons of public poiles, public good and interests of the ordinary people of the country thus provide justifiable basis for banning the celebrations, making of the directions by the District Magainstea as well as Reindon Magistrate. It has already been pointed out that activities of Ahmadis and progagation of their faith is resisted by people in general Le, Muslim Ummah to keep the maintenam of faith pure and unpolituted and also to maintenam of aftire them are provided to the proposition of the right than the state of the pure and the White doing to that right that the pure of the pure of

368

40. For the reasons given above this petition is without merit and is hereby dismissed. The parties are left to bear their own costs.

Petition dismissed

(PLD 1992 Lahore 1)





LAHORE HIGH COURT 1994

- Mr. Justice Khalil-ur-Rehman Khan
- O Mr. Justice Sh. Muhammad Zubair
- Mr. Justice Mian Nazir Akhtar

LAHORE HIGH COURT

Mr. Justice Khalil-ur-Rehman Khan Mr. Justice Sh. Muhammad Zubair Mr. Justice Mian NazirAkhtar

RIAZ AHMAD and 3 others Petitioners versus

THE STATE Respondent Criminal Miscellaneous No.140/B of 1994.

Decided on 9th June 1994 Khawaja Sarfraz Ahmad for Petitioners.

Nazir Ahmad Ghazi. A A.-G. for the State. Rasheed Murtaza Oureshi for Pakistan Christian narty and Pakistan Masihi Kashatkar Party.

Dates of hearing 10th and 11th April, 1994.

IUDGMENT

KHALIL-UR-REHMAN KHAN, J.-This Full Bench was constituted by the learned Chief Justice for determination of the questions framed by the learned Single Judge in a bail petition moved by Riaz Ahmad and 3 others, petitioners, in a case under section 295-C of the Pakistan Penal Code registered vide F.I.R. No.160 dated 20th November, 1993, with Police Station Piplan, District Mianwali. The questions are:—

- (a) Whether the police can investigate into a criminal case after receiving the complaint and without formally entering the F.I.R. in the daily diary?
 - (b) Whether in such like sensitive cases, under section 295-C, P.P.C., the delay in registering the same emanating from the police practice of obtaining permission from the superior officers can be given any weight?
 - (c) Whether the language used by the accused (as per the allegation made in the F.I.R.) which is said to be in accord with the teachings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is derogatory to the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad ## and constitute the offence under section 295-C, P.P.C.7"
 - (d) Whether section 295-C, P.P.C. is in conflict with any provision of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973?
- 2. Khawaja Sarfraz Ahmad Advocate, addressed arguments on behalf of accused-petitioners. Mr. Nazir Ahmad Ghazi, Assistant Advocate-General appeared for the State. Mr. Rashid Murtaza Qureshi Advocate, argued the matter on behalf of the complainant as well as on behalf of Pakistan Masihi Kashikar Panis
- 3. Learned counsel for the petitioners argued this ection 134 read with sections 154 read and 137 of the Code of Criminal Procedure make it obligatory for a police officer register and then emback upon the investigation. He added that normally no investigation can commence without coording formal FLR. He was further of the view that a police officer legitimately can consult the superior and seek of a criminal case. With regard to third question, learned of a criminal case. With regard to third question, learned

course submitted that we should refrain from expressing opinion, as any observation made by us what to say of determining the question, would prejudice the accused at their trial. The position taken with regard to include question was that section 285-C, P.P.C. is not in conflict with any provision of the Constitution-fire explained that he had not raised any such contention before the study of the confliction of the constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Islancia Republic of Pakistan.

4. Mr. Nazir Ahmad Ghazi, Assistant Advocat -General argued that on receipt of a complaint relating commission of a cognizable offence, the substance there f is to be noted in the daily diary before commencia. investigation and ever if no entry is recorded in the daily diary and the police officer entertaining a suspicion holds inquiry into genuineness or otherwise of the complaint the inquiry/investigation so initiated may amount to mere irregularity but the same does not have the effect of vitiating the investigation or the trial. Reference was made to observations in the case of Taj Muhammad alias Tajoo v. The State 1991 PCr.LJ 2167. He also referred to the case of Harsan v. The State 1989 PCr.LJ 809 wherein the two cases Anwar v. The State 1975 PCr.LJ 750 and Muhammad Hancef v. The State PLD 1977 Lah. 1253 expressing the view that "the sanctity attached to the F.I.R. vanishes where the police had first visited the scene of incident and thereafter recorded the F.I.R." were noticed and the learned Judges, however, preferred to place reliance on the following observations made in the case of Nazir Ahmad v. The State 1976 PCr.LI 993:-

"It makes no difference if the F.I.R. had been recorded on the spot, because although it is not an approved practice, F.I.R. not being a substantive piece of evidence, the recording of the same on the spot does not mean that the entire case of the prosecution should be through adder."

The learned Assistant Advocate-General also referred to the case of Gul Nawaz Lone and another v. Station House Officer PLD 1990 Lah. 428 to submit that even an information apparently covered by section 154, Cr.P.C. is first to be entered in the Station Daily Diary and it is only when the Officer Incharge of the Police Station has reasons to suspect the commission of a cognizable offence, that he is required to enter such information in the First Information Report Register. Reliance was also placed on Ch. Shah Muhammad v. S.H.O., Rahimyar Khan 1977 PCr.LJ 2 to show that if the police, suspecting that there was no reasonable ground for recording the F.I.R. or making the investigation, has refused to proceed in the matter, the action of the police cannot be said to be without lawful authority.

- 5. Mr. Rashid Murtaza Qureshi, Advocate, restricted his submissions to the last question. He argued that section 295-C, P.P.C. disregards the mandate contained in Articles 2-A and 3 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan as firstly the punishment of defiling the sacred name of Holy Prophet Muhammad wi is death and lesser punishment of imprisonment for life provided alongwith death sentence is contrary to the law of Almighty Allah and secondly section 295-C, P.P.C. fails to incorporate the other essential ingredients of the offence prescribed by Islam to the effect that defiling the name of other Prophets is also an offence punishable with same punishment of death. He argued that this Court should make the necessary declaration in respect of these matters.
- 6. Learned counsel representing the Masihi parties submitted that Christians respect all the revealed religions and its Prophets and that section 295-C, P.P.C. as has the objective of securing peace in the society by upholding sanctity of the Holy Prophet of Islam is not violative of socalled human rights and this section should rather be amended suitably in order to prohibit contumacious reproaches of Jesus Christ so that those who indulge in defiling the name of Holy Christ are also punished. He added that such an amendment will be in line with the

provisions of section 295-A, P.P.C. (added in 1927) which make deliberate and malicious acts intended to outrage religious feelings of any class by insulting its religion or religious beliefs, punishable.

Christians are coursed further submitted that repondent Christian are coursed further than the second to the course of the cours

According to learned counsel, these bodies and others at the behet of enemies of Fakistan are zaising these slogans only to create disharmony amongst the Christians and Mustlins deeplie the fact that Islam and Christianity are as a mongst human beings. Learned counsel submitted that this Count with a view to promote anily and to secure peace of society declare that defiling of the name of Holy Christ is also an offence according to tenets of Islam and section 295°C. P.F.C. in this respect is deficient and as such a section 295°C. P.F.C. in the condition of Islamic Resultion of Islamic Resultion (Parallel of Pakistan mer of the Countitution of Islamic Resultion (Pakistan Merchanten).

7. We have given serious consideration to the rescrive contentions and pleas of the learned counsel for the parties. At the very outset, it is pertitine to record that in the context of the questions referred to us we are not called upon to comment on the motives and objectives of certain human right bodies or Christian parties in

criticizing the provisions of section 295-C, P.C.C Suffice is say that peace of the society is the paramount consideration and every effort by all concerned, the perliament, the angle of the peace of

Those whom they call upon Besides God, leat hey out of spite Revile God In their ignorance. Thus have We made Alluring to each people its own doings. In the end will they Return to their Lord, And We shall then

"Revile not ve

Tell them the truth Of all that they did." The Muslim of

The Muslim citizen who constitute 97% of the population by respecting faith of others and through tolerance, patience and orderly behaviour can defeat the neafratous activities and designs of dirruptionists and secure peace of society. As regards the declarations received the patient of the

will be pertinent as in this judgment, it was held that it words "or Imprisonment for Ilie" in section 295-C, P.D.C. shall cease to have effect since 30th April, 1991. The Federal Shaitat Count further observed that a clause be added to section 295-C so as to make the same acts or things when the section 295-C so as to make the same acts or things when make the same acts or things when the same acts of the section 295-C as per multi-ment. The matter of makes different with the same, we were told, is under active consideration of Pakitan Law commission as well as the Islamic ideology Council constituted under the Constitution. Section 295-C as per submissions of the learned counse for the pertinence, the Assistant Advocace-Conrenal is not in any manner violative Mr. Rashild Mutraze, Qureskil, learned counsel for complainant and the Christian Parties also do not show any regupancy. Our answer to the fourth question is, "that nothing could be pointed out to show that the provisions of exterior 295-C are violative of any provision of the

8. As regards third question, the learned coursel for the parties were of the view that this question should not have been raised for determination by the Full Bench as its determination would prejudice the accused at the trial and in any case determination of this question as essentially decision of a question of the secured application. We are in agreement with the learned counsel. This question is premised on facts which for their proof require recording of evidence. It is also correct that determination of this question is likely to prejudice the accused at trial and statements attributed, words used or publication made will have to be examined and their effect determined in each applicability can be laid down. We, therefore, as requested refrain from examining this question.

Learned counsel for the parties with regard to the second question did not address detailed arguments as they were of the view that the police officer can legitimately consult his superior officers and seek their guidance in serious and sensitive criminal cases and in the matter of neglectation as well as investigation of cases. The question as to what weight is to be given to the factor of delay in registering a criminal case specially in sensitive cases, cannot be answered by giving any formula or laying down any hard and fast rule. Such a matter of course has to be left for the trial Court to evaluate on the basis of the overall evidence available the discoal of the second owedion.

Now we take up the first question which reads:—

"Whether the police can investigate into a criminal case after receiving the complaint and without formally entering the F.I.R. in the daily diary."

The provisions relevant to the question are contained in sections 184 to 157, section 46 of the Police AC, Rule 23.1 and Rule 24.2 of the Police Rules. Section 154, Cc.P.C. provides in essence that every information relating to the commission of a cognizable offence shall be reduced into the witting and the substance thereof shall be entered in a book to be kept by such officer in such form as the Provincial Government may prescribe in this behavior.

Section 155 provides that when information is given to an officer incharge of a police station of the commission within the limits of such station of a non-cognitable offence, the shall enter in a book to be lept as aforesaid the substance of such information and refer the information to the Mightistat and that no police officer's its investigate a the first of the state of the s

Section 156 then provides that any officer in charge of a logic station may without the order of a Magistaria, investigate any cognizable case which a Court having jurisdiction over the local area within the limits of such station would have power to inquire into or try under the provisions of Chapter XV relating to the place of inquire or the control of the provision of the pr

case shall at any stage be called in question on the ground that the case was one which such officer was not entitled that the case was one which such officer was not empowered under this section to investigate. The offences under section 497 or section 489 of the Pakistan Penal Code are to be investigated upon a complaint made by the husband of the woman, or, in his absence, by some person on who had the care of such woman on his behalf at the time when such offence was committee.

Section 157, subsections (1) and (2) may be reproduced for ready reference:

157 (1) If, from information received or otherwise an officer in-charge of a police station has reason to suspect the commission of an offence which he is empowered under section 186 to investigate, he shall forthwith send a report of the same to a Magistrate police report, and shall proceed in person, or shall depute one of his subordinate officers not being below such rada as the Provincial Government may, by general or special order, prescribe in this behalf to proceed, to the spot, for investigate the facts and circumstances of the cases, and, if necessary, he take Provided as follows—

Provided as follow

- (a) when any information as to the commission of any sich offence is given against any person by-name and the case is not of a serious nature, the officer in charge of a police station need not proceed in person or depute a subordinate officer to make an investigation on the spot;
- (b) if it appears to the officer in-charge of a police station that there is no sufficient ground for entering on an investigation, he shall not investigate the case.

(2)

In each of the cases mentioned in clauses (a) and (b) of the proviso to sub-section (1), the officer in charge

of the police station shall state in his said report his reasons for not fully complying with the requirements of that subsection, and, in the case mentioned in clause (b), such officer shall also forthwith notify to the informant, if any, in such anamer as may be prescribed by the Provincial Government, the fact that he will not investigate the clause of the control of the control of the control of the CAL 1861 readed as underweighted. Section 44 of Police Act. 1861 readed as underweighted.

44. Police Officers to keep diary.— It shall be the duty of every officer inchange of Police Station to keep of general diary in such form as shall, from time to lime, be prescribed by the Provincial Government and to record therein all complaints and charges preferred, the names of all persons arrested, the names of the complainants, the offences charged against them, the vapons or property that shall have been taken from their possession or otherwise, and the provincial properties of the witnesses who shall have been caumined.

Magistrate of the District shall be at liberty to call for and inspect such diary'."

Reference may also be made to the Police Rules contained in Chapter XXIV of Puniab Police Rules, 1934.

According to rule 24.4, "If the information or other intelligence relating to the alleged commission of a cognizable offence, is such that an officer in charge of a cognizable offence, is such that an officer in charge of a commission of a cognizable of the commission of the commis

In sub-rule (3) of Rule 24.4, it is further written that when reasonable suspicion of such commission arises a First Information Report shall be recorded in the police station concerned and investigation under section 137. Criminal Procedure Code, shall be made. To the same effect is rule 24.1 of the Punjab Police Rules. These rules are included to the Punjab Police Rules. These rules are in Co.P.C. It was because of these protisions that it also that Mahammad case (supra) the learned judge observed that the police suspecting that there was no reasonable good for recording ELEs or making investigation, has retuved to read that the police suspecting that there was no reasonable good and the police cannot be said to be without lawful authority.

10. The question whether the criminal investigation commenced without recording the F.J.R. is illegal and has the effect of vilitating the arrest and the trial came up before the Courts earlier too. In the case of Emperor v. Khawaja Nazir Ahmad AIR 1945 Privy Council 18, it was observed as under:—

In the case of cognizable offences, recipit and recording of a first information report in not a condition-precedent by the setting in motion of cases, criminal prosecutions are undertaken as result of information received and recorded in this way, but there is no reasons why the police, if in of credible though informal intelligence which genuinely leads them to the beliefs that a cognitable offence has been committed, should not of their own motion undertaken an investigation into the truther positions of the setting of the

Again In the case of Parbhu v. Emperor AIR 1944
Privy Council 73, the contention of the accused that his
arrest having been effected in Jind territory by a British
Indian Officer, was illegal and that the illegality of his

arrest vitiated the whole subsequent proceedings, was repelled holding that when the accused was presented for trial at Rohtak he had been validly surrendered to the Court thereby the Jind authorities and so far as that Court was concerned, proceedings before them were regular and the validity of the trial and conviction of the accused was not affected by any irregularity in his arrest. The judgment cited by Mr. Nazir Ahmed Ghazi, learned Assistant Advocate-General depicts those category of cases which lay down the principle that F.I.R. not being a substantive piece of evidence, any irregularity committed by the police in recording the same, cannot result in throwing aside the prosecution case in its entirety. The irregularity coming to notice in each case is to be considered in the light of overall evidence available on record. The delay if any, in recording the F.LR. occurs the reason for the delay, the circumstances surrounding the occurrence, the position of the parties, the their social conditions and the conduct of the police officials and all related factors will have to be considered while evaluating the evidence on record. The delay in recording the F.I.R. obviously is inconsequential if the prosecution case stands established on record beyond reasonable doubt. There may be cases where in the particular circumstances thereof F.I.R. may have been recorded even after the occurrence or the incident and arrest of the accused. The case of Tai Muhammad v. State represents such a situation. This very question was also considered in Full Bench case of M. Bashir Saigol and another v. The State and another PLD 1964 (W.P.) Lah. 148. Sardar Muhammad Iqbal, J. in para. 6 relying on the Privy Council Judgment in Khawaja Nazir Ahmed's case observed that "I agree in principle that it is not necessary
that the first information report should mention the names of all or any of the accused so as to empower the investigating agency to set in motion. In fact the recording of a first information report is not a condition-precedent and the police, on the receipt of credible information that a cognizable offence has been committed may, under the Code of Criminal Procedure or other statute or law

authorising them in this behalf, start investigation without recording or drawing up a formal first information report. Again in Rehman and others v. The State PLD 1988 Lah. 464. the Division Bench also following the Priy Counties case of Khawaja Nazir Ahmed and Full Bench case of Bashir Saisol and others observed as under

Any person may set the criminal law in motion, by making, a mpoyr under section 184 of Criminal Procedure Code, 1898. The Information is given is called the First Information. It is the basis upon which an investigation is commenced under Chapter XIV (Part V) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. However, receipt and recording of first Information report is not a condition-precedure to the setting in motion of criminal investigation. It is true that the absence of F.IX, deprives the accessed of his right to cross-examine the first information on its basis. The control of t

The same view was reported in Shakerd Ahmed v. The State PLD 1972 Lab. 39. That Court's view was also followed by the Federal Shariat Court, in the case of Chalam Muhamado v. The State FLD 1981 ISF CL3 Ornes are the cases in which the legality of the investigation and control of violation of provision of sections 181, 184 and 197, Cr.P.C. The answer returned was that receipt and recording of FLL is not a condition-precedent to setting in motion of criminal investigation and that illegality or the trial. This is not second to record the court of violation of the court of the court of the court of the court of violation of criminal investigation and that illegality or the trial. This how spected the marter.

12. The other aspect is represented by other set of cases where the superior Courts have pointed out the duty enjoined on a Police Officer under sections 154, 155, 156 and 157. In respect of this view reference may be made to the Full Bench decision in M. Anwar, Baritster-3-Law v. The Station House Officer, Civil Lines, Police Station, Labore, and another PID 1972 1.34 433. Staff withsammed

Iqbal, J., who was member of the Full Bench in the case was also member in case of M. Bashir Saigol and another v. The State and another PLD 1964 (W.P.) Lah. 148, speaking for the Full Bench observed:—

"Before parting with the case, we would like to observe that if there is an information relating to the commission of a cognizable offence, it falls under section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and a police officer is under a statutory obligation to enter it in the prescribed register. The condition-precedent is simply two-fold: first, it must be an information and secondly, it must relate to a counizable offence on the face of it and not merely in the light of subsequent events. A police officer is bound to receive a complaint when it is preferred to him, or where the commission of an offence is reported to him orally he is bound to take down the complaint. If he does not incorporate in the register a complaint so made, he fails to perform a statutory duty as a public servant and therefore renders himself to be dealt with by his superior officers for neglect of duty. Thus, it does not depend on the sweet will of a police officer who may or may not record it. The information referred to in section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure appears to us to be something in the nature of a complaint, or accusation or at least information of a crime, given with the object of putting the police in motion in order to investigate. In the case of a first information, it is not required by law that the police officer is to receive it only if it is given in writing and to record it only if in his opinion it is correct. The question whether or not it is correct depends on the investigation which a police officer is to conduct under section 157 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The guarantee of the correctness of the first information is ensured by section 182 of the Pakistan Penal Code under which if any person gives the first information statement to a police officer which is recorded under section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and if it ultimately turns out to be false, the informant shall be liable to punishment with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to six months, or with the fine which may extend to one thousand rupees, or with both.

13. This case thus lays emphasis on the performance of a statutory duty by the police officer as a public servant. and police officer failing to comply with the mandate of law contained in sections 154 and 155, Cr.P.C. renders himself liable to be dealt with in accordance with law. These provisions on the one hand curb arbitrariness of the police officer and on the other secure to citizen a record to be referred to for the purpose envisaged by law. The freedom of movement and personal liberty of citizens is sought to be secured by enjoining the police officer to record the F.I.R. or at least substance thereof in the daily diary. At the same time, it is to be kept in mind that for commencement of investigation, in a crime, the recording of F.I.R. is not a condition-precedent. What is the effect of non-performance of a statutory duty is a separate question and its effect in a given case is to be canvassed by the parties and will be determined by the Court in the circumstances of each given case. We, therefore, answer the first question accordingly.

 Bail applications will now be put up for hearing after receiving order from the Honourable Chief Justice.

> (Sd.) KHALIL-UR-REHMAN KHAN.

JUDGE. SH. MUHAMMAD ZUBAIR, J.--I agree.

MIAN NAZIR AKHTAR, J.—I have had the advantage of going through the judgment proposed to be delivered by my learned brother Khalli ur-Rehman Khan, J. I fully agree with the reasoning and the answers to the various questions under reference but would like to add a few lines in respect of onestion (d).

The provisions of section 295-C of the P.P.C. have made it possible to bring the culprits to book through the iudicial process and has set a trend in the society to resort to the law. The registration of a criminal case under the above-referred section of the Pakistan Penal Code provides a lease of life to an accused with full opportunity to defend himself in a Court of law through a counsel of his choice and in case of conviction, to avail of the remedies of appeal revision etc., in the higher Courts, No person, muchless a Muslim, can possibly oppose this law as it curtails arbitrariness and promotes the rule of law. If the provisions of section 295-C of the P.P.C. are repealed or declared to be ultra vires to Constitution, the time old method of doing ultra vires to Constitution, the time out method is diving away with the culprits at the spot would stand revived. Being conscious of this aspect of the matter learned counsel for the Pakistan Christian Party and Pakistan Masihi for the ransistan Christian Party and Pakistan Mashihi Kasnlakar Party has urged that the provision be made more comprehensive so as to make blasphemy qua other Prophets including the Holy Christ, punishable with the same sentence of death. The matter is already being considered by the Government and it is hoped that the needful would be done in the near future.

> MIAN NAZIR AKHTAR. JUDGE.

(Sd.)

MIAN NAZIR AKHTAR, I .- The petitioners seek bail in a case registered against them vide F.I.R. No.160, dated 21-11-1993 for an offence under section 295-C of the P.P.C. at Police Station Piplan, District Mianwali. Riaz Ahmad, petitioner No.1 is father of Basharat Ahmad, petitioner No.2 and uncle of Comer Ahmad and Muchter Ahmad petitioners Nos.3 and 4.

2. The case was registered against the petitioners on a written application dated 17-11-1993 submitted by Muhammad Abdullah son of Muhammad Muzaffar to the S.H.O. of Police Station Piplan in respect of an occurrence which had taken place on 11-11-1993. The contents of the F.I.R. are reproduced below:-

علاءه ذی اختانات بور مطلع کو پیش بی لیلی مجمد نیز الزام طیبان کے خلاف مقدمه درج فر باکر محکور فرما کی لیوانش جوگی" 3. The petitioners filed an application for grant of bail in the Court of the learned Sessions Judge, Manwall

bail in the Court of the learned Sessions Judge, Mianwali who dismissed the same vide the order dated 3-1-1994. A relevant part of the order is reproduced below:

"Whatever is stated above, prima facie amounts to

defiling the sacred and exalted name of Holy Prophet Hazzat Muhammad (peace be upon him) because in this manner his position is lowered to that of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. Hence there are reasonable grounds for believing that the petitioners have committed an offence under section 295-C of the P.P.C., which falls within the prohibitory clause of section 497, Cr.P.C."

4. The petitioners' learned counsel contends as

- (i) There is serious background of emulty against each petitioner. On 942-1990, Muzzafra, Tather of the complainant, moved an application before the District Magistate for removal of Riza Ahmed, believed to be a serious of the serious of the serious petitions of the serious of the serious belonged to Quadiant Sect and was not liked by the majority of residents of the area. His application was accepted vide order, dated 6.4.999. Petitioner Noà wort in appeal before the vide order, dated 31.7.3993. Muzzafrar, father of the complainant, weat in evition before the Board of Revenue to assall the appellate order passed by the Commissioner, Seguetho Division which is
 - (ii) One Chulam Qudir resident of Chak No.3 made a report before the police on 44-938 against Naisz Ahmad and Abdullah complainant and a few others for commission of the offence of treepass, criminal intimidation and mischlef. After proper investigation, the police found the case to be false and recommended to carefulsion. Therefore, and the commission of the careful form the complaint properties of the properties of the complaint properties of the complaint referred to above. After persuing the preliminary vidence, the Court summoned Addulch et vide order.
 - (iii) The case against the petitioners is cooked up and an outcome of the above-referred enmity. Moreover, the report was lodged with the delay of

six days which makes the prosecution story doubtful.

(iv) The petitioners being 'Ahmadis' follow the teachings of Mizza Chulara Ahmad, founder of Ahmadis community who never proclaimed to be equal to the Holy Prophet Hazara Muhammad 'E' In fact, none can make such a claim. Mirza Shiib had declared that he was subservient to the Holy Prophet Hazara Muhammad 'E'. Moreover, Mirza Shiib never directly compared himself with Rasout-Pak 'E'. The writings of Mirza Shiib reflect produced revenues and love for the Holy Prophet Hazara Muhammad 'E'. In this connection. The Globwing references may be

seen —		
1.	مشتى نوح	20-21
2.	آ مَيْذِ كَمَالًا سَدَاسَلُام	15-160-164-224-226
3.	چشەمىرات	302 .
4.	عطام ملح	459-461
5.	خرياق القلوب	141
6.	ي چرسيا لکوٺ	206
7.	قاديان كآرياوريم	456
6.	براجين احمديه	101-104

(v) The petitioners' faith is that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was merely "Mehdi Maood" or "Masih Maood" and nothing else.

(vi) The Full Bench has left the question as to whether the language used by the accused is derogatory to the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad 25 and constitutes as reference under section 235 of the P.P.C., to be decided by the trial Court. Hence this Court should not examine this question.

(vii) At any rate, the petitioners' faith has necessarily to be seen while determining the question as to whether, prima facic, they have committed the offence alleged against them. The petitioners' learned coursel particularly rolled on para. 3 of the judgment in the case of Nasir Ahmed v. The State 1998 SCMR ISS which is proproduced below:—

"After hearing the learned counsel for the parties at some length, we find that serious question which requires examination is whether "defiline" takes place ex facie by the written or spoken words or the act of the person accused of the offences or that this is to be seen keeping in view the totality of the milieu, including necessarily the faith, the intention, the object and the background of the person using them. We have got the impression prima facile that ex facile, use of these expressions does not create in a Muslim, or for that matter anyone else, any of the feelines of hurt, offence or provocation etc. etc. nor is it derogatory to the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) or the Muslims. It is only when the person reading or hearing them goes deep into the background of the person using them and brings his own special knowledge of the faith, beliefs and latent intentions of such an accused that the

alleged results are likely to follow."

5. On the other hand, Mr. Nazir Ahmad Ghazi, learned Assistant Advocate-General submits as under: —

(i) The police investigation shows that the occurrence had actually taken place.

(ii) Admittedly, there is civil litigation between Muzaffar, father of the complainant, and Riaz Ahmed, petitioner No.1. However, despite the said litigation, Muzaffar or his son Abdullah never came forward with such allegations earlier. Moreover, if he wanted to involve him in after case, he could have involved him under any other provision of the Penal Code and would not have gone to the extent of falsely bringing in the sacred name of the Holy Prophet Harst Muhammad $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$ who is deserted to his heart and sold like any other Mussilan.

- (iii) Even if some hostility exists between the complainant and the accused party, there is no emity or hostility between the accused persors and the three eye-witnesses who are independent and fully supported the complainant's versic during the course of investigation.
 - (iv) The delay in reporting the matter, in the circumstances of the present case, does not adversely affect the prosecution case. Had the complainant been a liar he would have conveniently stated that the occurrence had taken place on 17th of November, 1993 when the report was actually lodged. The police is competent to conduct investigation even before formal registration of the F.I.R. The case does not involve any recovery or circumstantial evidence. Hence, the delay does not affect the veracity of the prosecution case. The case entirely depends upon the oral evidence furnished by the complainant and the three eye-witnesses. If the witnesses are believed then it is not possible to say that the occurrence had not taken place. Even in ordinary criminal cases, delay per se, is not sufficient to throw out the prosecution case if reliable evidence regarding commission of the offence is available
 - Reliance is placed on the following judgments:—

 (i) Taj Muhammad alias Tajoo v. The State 1992;
 PCr.Ll 2167.
 - (ii) Ch. Muhammad v. S.H.O., Rahim Yar Khan and 2 others 1977 PCr.LJ 2.

- (iii) Harsari v. The State 1989 PCr.LJ 809.
- (iv) Gul Nawaz Lone and another v. S.H.O. PLD 1990 Lah. 428.
- (v) Ghulam Siddique v. S.H.O. Saddar Dera Ghazi Khan and 8 others PLD 1979 Lab. 263.
- (vi) Muhammad Hassan v. S.S.P., Faisalabad and 7 others 1992 PCr.LJ 2307.

 (vii) Alam Sher and 5 others v. The State 1975 PCr.LJ
- (vii) Alam Sher and 5 others v. The State 1975 PCr.L 1188.
- (v) The petitioners' learned counsel has contended that nobody can claim equality with or superiority over the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad & and that the petitioners being followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad can never think of uttering the words attributed to them in the F.I.R. However. the words used by the petitioners are not merely their own words but are the part of the teachings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. In this connection, para. 82 of the judgment in the case of Zahir-ud-Din v. The State 1993 SCMR 1718 may be seen. The language used by the accused is almost the same which has been used by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad in his book "Barahin-e-Ahmadia", Vol. V. Chapter II (Nusratul Hag), page 56 and "Hageegat-ul-Wahi". page 67. The words uttered by the accused are in accord with their faith.
- (vi) Prima facie, the language used by the accused constitutes an offence under section 395-Co fit P.P.C. which falls within the prohibitory clause of section 497 of the C.P.C. The petitioners have lowered the position of the Holy Prophet to that of Mirzs Chulum Ahmad who is not a Muslim within the meaning of Article (1904). Mrs. Chulum Ahmad was planted to serve the interests Chulum Ahmad was planted to serve the interests.

- of British imperialism and any one who treats him as equal to Hazrat Muhammad 統 dishonours the Holy Prophet.
- (vii) The question whether the petitioners have committed the offence shall be finally decided by the trial Court but at ball stage a tentative appraisal of the material can be made and a prima facle view formed repardine commission of the offence.
- 6. There is considerable force in the argument of the learned Assistant Advocate-General that the delay in reporting the matter to the police, in the circumstances of the present case, is not sufficient to doubt the prosecution case. The case does not involve any circumstantial evidence or recovery and depends upon ocular testimony furnished by the complainant and the three eye-witnesses. In ordinary criminal cases promptness of F.I.R. is insisted upon to avoid deliberations before reporting the matter to the police and to enable the Investigation Agency to secure circumstantial evidence in order to ascertain the correctness or otherwise of the complainant's version. Moreover, there was nothing to slop the complainant from alleging that the occurrence had taken place on 17-11-1993 (when the written complaint was submitted before the S.H.O.). As regards investigation conducted before formal registration of the F.I.R., suffice it to say that while dealing with this aspect of the matter the Full Bench of this Court vide its order dated 25-4-1994 had held, "receipt and recording of F.l.R. is not a 20-1-1998 had neld, "receipt and recording of 1-l.R. is not a condition-precedent to setting in motion of criminal investigation and that illegality committed in this respect does not, per se, vitiate the arrest or the trial." Hence at this stage, I am not inclined to doubt the veracity of the complainant due to the delay in reporting the matter to the police.
 - 7. The facts narrated by the petitioners' learned counsel do establish background of hostility between the petitioners and the complainant as well as his father, Muzaffar. In the facts and circumstances of a particular case, it may be possible to hold, even at bail stage that probably an accused person has been roped in due to past

enmity or hostility with the complainant party. However, in the present case I am not persuaded to hold so far the following reasons:

- (a) The heatility between Muzeifer father of the complainant dates back to 93-12-991 when he had moved an application before the District Magistrate for removal of Bira Ahmed, petitioner No.1 from the office of Lambardar. Since then he or for that matter his son did not attempt to involve him in any criminal case, either to create a ground for this removal or otherwise to weak
- (b) Despite civil and criminal litigation, no untoward incident had taken place between the parties from December, 1991 till before the present occurrence which had taken place on 11-11-1993.

 (c) The case is supported by three other witnesses
- namely Nazir Ahmed son of Babu Khan, Muhammad Qamar son of Muhammad Hassan and Qadir Ahmed son of Nazir Ahmad, who do not seem to have any motive to falsely depose egainst the accused-petitioners.
- (d) The Investigating Officer has come to the conclusion that the occurrence narrated in the F.I.R. had taken place.
- Therefore, I am not inclined to agree with the petitioners' learned counsel that the case is entirely cooked up due to past hostillity of the complainant party against the petitioners. Anyhow, the above view is purely sentative timely in the light of the evidence adduced by the pattice. The petitioner's learned counsel did not argue whether the language said to have been used by the petitioners was, in any manner deceptory to Hazard Muhammad Mar and whether it amounted to detting his exalted and sacred and a product of past enaity. Moreover, his attempt was and a product of past enaity.

that at bail stage this Court should not go into this question and leave it to be decided by the trial Court, moreso when in the present case the Full Bench of this Court had also preferred the same course vide its order dated 25-4-1994.

- 9. It is settled law that for purposes of disposal of a ball petitlon, tentative assessment of the material on the record has to be made. In this connection I may refer to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Khalid Javed Gilan v. The State PLD 1978 SC 256.
- 10. According to the allegations made in the ELR, the petitioners had stated that Mirza Ghulma Mamed was a true prophet not in any manner lesser in dignity than Hazrat Muhammad & White comparing him with the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad & was three stated that number of miracles of Hazrat Muhammad & was three thousand but that of Mirza Ghuima Ahmad was three laces.
- 11. It is not unlikely that a Quadiani would atter the above referred words because the same are also found in the writings of Mizza Ghuiam Ahmad. The number of three thousand miracles of the Holy Prophet Hazzai Muhammad fiff is mentioned in Mirza Ghuiam Ahmad's Book Tohfa Golary 'notained in book 'Re-shani Khazain', Vol. 17, 1928; 133. The relevant part reads a undern-

12. As regards himself, originally Mirza Ghuiam Ahmaó gave the number of his miracles as over three thousand and thereafter gave higher number of one lac, three lacs and ten lacs in his different books. The relevant extracts from his books are given below:

(انقد) ''خدا سے تھیم ابطان مثنان باش کی طرح میرے براتر سے بیں اور فیس کی باتش بھرے برکھل وی بیرے براد بادھ کی اب بینسلی ابودی بین سادر تین بڑوارست نے دودگان افارون چکا ہے۔'' (ری باتر انقوب میں 6 شوارد دوائی توزائق بالد 15 میٹر 16 اور انقل کے (پ) "هم این امریش ساحب مشاود بول سفدا نگه سه تم کلام بوده جداد لیک انکاست محملی زیاده چرب با تحر بهای سدختان دیکل به چین" (شهرانوچ آن الاسلام به شو 341 سه منسقه سهوای فیکل الادول، چشو سموت مصدوم بشنی 60 درمانی شودگان 250 م 242 مستقد مرزان الاسلام ایران و 250 م 242 مستقد مرزان الام بوده ویژانی 250 م 242 مستقد مرزان الام

(ج) "بری تا تیرش اس نے دونلان کا برار بائے میں کرکا بی کا تاریخ ہے 19 جو ان 19 ہے۔ اگر ش ان کار دائر را تا برکر وال تی مداخل کی حم کم کرکر ملکا جوں کر وہ تیں او کھے۔ تی زیادہ ہیں۔

(حقیق این می 22 می 100 میں 100 میں فوائق می**22 میں 100 می** (د) ''اورش اس خدا کی خم کا کرنیا ہوں جم کے باقد عبر کروی جائے ہے کہ بھی نے نگھے تجابیا ہیں اس نے برای می کہ بے امدال نے نگھے کا مواد سکے نام سے بالدا بیعاد اس نے بری خدایی کے لئے

يؤے بڑے نشان خابر سکے بین جو ثمان الا کھنگ کالچہ بیں۔ (مقبقت الائی، 1 تعمر اسٹر 80 عدد بدروہ الی فزائس بن22 می 503 ازمرز اقاد بانی)۔

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was not satisfied even with his claim of three lac miracles and at another place laid a claim that number of Allah's signs (miracles) in respect of his prophecies exceeded ten lacs. The relevant part from his book Baraheen Ahmadia is given below:

"ان چھ طواب نی بھ جھٹے قال ہیں ہواں قدرتان ان برختی ہے، ہزاری ان کے ۔ زیادہ ہوں کے ادارہ ان کے کالے کی تھی الدران ہونے میڈوار اندو ہے میڈوار میڈوار میڈوار چان کے لئے ان چھٹے تھی کے اقدام جان کے ایس ایس اس کے چھٹے وی کے کہر چھٹے تھاں پر کرام کی جس اسدھ چھٹے ہے اور کالے میڈوار میڈوار کے جھٹے کہ کہری اور کار میڈوار کے اور کالے کہ کہا ڈرام سے ڈرام ان چھٹے کے ان کا مجماع کیا جائے جھٹے کی بیٹان میں کا مکار کے چھٹے کا مواد کار کی ان کا کھٹے کا می ڈرام سے اردان کے دائر کا کھٹے کہ کہا کہا تھا کہ کہا جائے جھٹے کی بیٹان میں کا مک سے اور ان کھٹے کار کھٹے کا اس 13. The petitioner's learned counsel strongly urged the petitioners merely believe that Miraz Ghulam Ahmad was Masseeh Maud and Medil Maud and nothing deather than the petitioner of the petition of Rasoute-pai & Maria Mari

pamphlet captioned as (اَلَّ اَلْكُالُ اللهِ written by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. The contents of the pamphlet fairly support the contention of the learned A.A.G. He also referred to the following quotation from Mirza Ghulam Ahamd's book Nazoole-Amasech.

Mirza Sahib has attributed to himself a number of Oura'nic verses revealed in respect of Hazzat Muhammad W. A few references are given below: —

" میں رسل اور دی ہوں میں مختی با احتراطیدے کا مسکستی وہ آئی ہوں میں بھی میں کھری گاٹل اور گھری نیرے کا کال انتقال ہے۔ اگر میں کوئی کیلیمر مجھی نیرے کا دوکا کرنے والا ہوں 7 خدا تعالیٰ برنام مجمد انعمار اعداد میں مشتق کی اور کا تعالیٰ اور خاتم انداز کے اللہ میں انداز کا تحکومتان ہے۔ ور با ما تا بلڈ میک کی المحدود نام سے تا زیکن خاتمانی کے جراک رائے میں وجو کھری میں گئے

روبه بالمنطق و عداد المستخدم المنطقة المنطقة

Mirza Sahib has attributed to himself a number of Oura'nic verses revealed in respect of Hazrat Muhammad

A. A few references are given below:-

198

(هينت الوي الريم توكروس 178,35 من جوارم)

(cles 62, 48,37 55) (هينت الوي بس 107 تذكر پس 522 شيخ حيارم)

9_ هم الذي ادسل رسوله بالهدئ و دين الحق ليظهر و على الدين كله. (تذكريس 37, 194 طبع جمارم)

(تذكروكر 194 فيع جناري)

(تذكرة ك 199, **70** التي جاري)

deserved Darood-o-Salam and that his followers could

11_ قا. الما الايشر مطكم بوحي الى الما الهكم الدواحد Further Mirza Ghulam Ahmad claimed that he

10 ر ماد میت افر میت و لک الله ، من

8- يسن. الك لمار

fra fant. Climatii 4 (حقيقة الوقاياتر 194 تذكر بين 199, 72,39 في حارب)

(حقق الوي الر 102 تذكر وم 306 فيع جيارم)

(1) 12 18 543. 53: 15 5 278: 11 (VILLE)

(1. 10 16 84 16 582 16 34 - 35)

legitimately write with his name & (for reference see Arbaeen No.2, page 6), The Book "Tazkira" which according to the Quadianis consists of revelations of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad contains the following one at page 777 (8) Mirza Ghulam Ahmad has also referred to the following relevation in his Book (حفيقت الوحي), Chapter 4, page 74-75.

"اصحاب الصفه وما ادرك ما اصحاب الصفه ... ترى اعين...هم

تفيض من الدمع ــ يصلون عليك ا

(حقیقت الوگ از 75.74 متدرده د حاتی خزائن ن 22 می 178زم زا قاد مانی) Thus, becomes abundantly clear that according to the

claims of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad he was a Prophet, was named as Muhammad and Ahmad by Allah, was sent as was Muhammad incarnate reflecting the complete وحة اللهالين image and Prophethood of Hazrat Muhammad & and deserved Darood-o-Salam like the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad A. Hence It was not unlikely for the petitioners to have declared that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was not lesser in his dignity or status than the Holy Prophet Hazral Muhammad A. The petitioners' learned counsel has referred to a number of books of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad in which he has expressed deep reverence and love for the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad (2). A few references are quoted below: -

الف) "انوع انسان کے لئے روئے زین براب کوئی کتاب نیں محرقر آن۔ اور تمام آدم زادوں کے لئے اب کوئی رمول اور شلیع نہیں یکر تو مصلیٰ چکٹے بہوتم کوشش کرو کہ تجی میت اس

ماہ دجانال کے تی کے مہاتھ رکھواہ راس کے غیر کواس مرکمی توع کی پوائی مت دو۔"

(كشخ اورج س 13 مندروروها في فزائن ي 19 م 13 ازم زا تاريا في)

(ب) "بعداز خدا معن محرقم م الركفراي بود بخدا خت كافرم" (ليكجرسالكوث من 46 متدرجه دوماني شزائن ي200 م 248 ازمرزا قاد باني)

(خ) "ہم نے آیک اپنے کے کا حال ماکہ اے جھ ضافہ ایک کے نے شھر میریت کا ایک کیا ہے: گئر حرفی پادشاہ ہر وحرا کر ہے ہوں خارج کی کی میک میں ایک اے خدا قر فیس کیر سکول پر کہا جہ ہوں کہ اس کی حرجہ والی عمل ہے ضاہ والی (چار سمو خت خدیدروں افزائش ایک کی ایک عمل 23 میں اور 10 کے میں اور 10 کے میں اور 10 کے 10 کے اور افزائش ایک کی

(۱) "استوم سے کیون پہلے تاہم خام میں گڑ تھے خاودرہ والم پینے کھے ہے کہ مادر سے کہ نظافہ اعجاد "بیانی کے لیے کہا کہ براعظم سے بیر کا کھوٹا ہوائی کا دوبارہ والے کہ اور اس کار بھی حارمت کی نظافہ سے سال کہ لوگ کی ٹی کرنے کھی کرنے کے اپنے سے ان مواج کہا تھیے تھے کہ بھی چاواند کھرا کہ سے وہ وہ اس کی غرو

ے بدل کی۔''

(يَكِيم سِيالكومَ مِنْ مَدِيرِه عَالَى فَوْ مَنْ مِنْدِ 20 مِسْقِ 206 ازمرَ ا تاه وَإِلَىٰ)

(د) " المالتين خه ادار سروس المثلث مستوال بها الدين الأربية الأوسع بين اورابية الدوال مستوار الديارية التين كالإنكار الأسكر المدولية بسير سرول كالمن ينز مستحرك كان الخاد كالمشرع بينها إ يتناكرون الوكون مستواري الدين الماكون عن ووجه الدين والمستحرك إلى مستقالة كي المان عن كراسة

رسیة برسان سکول آن اواطوی فخفی نے جود و حوث فی الجربطنگان کی اواند والاصاف کے خلاف کر سے بیرن میر سیدار کا خطف ڈنی کر دکھ ہے۔ خدا کی عم آگریمی میں اور کا داداداداداداداداداداداداداداداداداد ادادادادیر سیدسار سدد وست اداریر سرمار سیدهادان اردی اگریمی آنجوں سک ماسٹنڈکی کر دے بائمی اور خود میرسے اتھ ادادیا اوال میک شدر سے بائمی اوریمی کا تھوک کیک الیکٹی جائے

ادا داده بر سده است داده بر سه ار سه ادان و داده برای آنگیس با می است این این می داد برای آنگیس با ساختگرار ا برای به که داده و برای این می از داده برای با داده برای می داده برای این این می کشود با داده با داده برای این داده با داده با داده برای با داده به می داده با داده ب

بهين اس ابتلاء عظيم سنة جات بكش ." (ترجم عرفي مهارات آئيد كالاحت اسلام علم 15 مندريد روماني قو اكن رقة ص 15 ازمرز ا تارياني)

... If the faith of the followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is confined to his above-referred writings in which love and reverence for the Holy Prophet has been expressed, no Muslim can have any grievance against them. But unfortunately there are other writings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad in which he not only ventured to claim complete equality and identity with the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad & but also showed disrespect to him. This aspect of the matter was considered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan in the case of Zaheer-ud-Din (relied upon by the learned Assistant Advocate-General). The Court was pleased to observe in para, 82 of the judgment, "Not only that, Mirza Sahib, in his writings tried to belittle the glory and grace of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him), he even ridiculed him occasionally". In this connection the Hon'ble Supreme Court was pleased to refer to the following quotations from the books of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad.

- "(i) The Holy Prophet could not conclude the propagation of Islam and I complete the same. (Hashia Tohfa Golarvia, page 165).
- (ii) The Holy Prophet could not understand some of the revelations and he made many mistakes (Izalatul Auham, published by Lahori Press).
- (iii) The Holy Prophet had 3 thousand miracles" (Tohfa Golarvia, page 67 published at Rabwah).
- (iv) I have one million signs."

(Braheem Ahmadia, page 56).

The Hon'ble Supreme Court further noted that the belief of the Quadianis is that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is God forbid) Muhammad incarnate. In this connection, reference was made by the Court to the following quotation from Mirza Sahib's Khutbah Illhamia (page 17): 'One who distinguishes between me and Muhammad, he has neither seen me on Kowan me."

Since the Quadianis believe in the totality of the teachings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad which include his claim of possessing all the qualities and titles of honour of the Holy Prophet, they feel no hesitation in declaring him as a Prophet not lesser in position, dignity or honour than the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad 35. The learned Assistant Advocate-General has urged that such a declaration is derogatory to the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad W because Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and his followers are non-Muslims under the provisions of Article 260(3) (a) and (b) of the Constitution of Pakistan and are treated so by the Muslim Umma throughout the world. He posed a question as to how the greatest Prophet of Allah can be relegated to the position of an imposter and a non-Muslim who was essentially planted to serve the cause of the British Imperialism? To substantiate his assertion, the learned A.A.G. has referred to the following writings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad:~

(الله) "" بين با بارداله الانتصاف بها التواكد من يعد بها في المساق في الحالية المن المتأثلة ا

(كَابِ البِرِيةِ مِن 330 مندرد روحا في خزا أن ين 13 من 348 زمرزا قاديا في)

برطانیے سے مرکئی کریں تو کو یا اسلام اورضا اور دول سے مرکئی کرتے ہیں۔'' (شہادة التر آن می 44 شدید رومانی توزائی 30 مارود التر آن می 60 از مرز الآویائی)

14. Before proceeding further it would be advantageous to examine the provisions of section 295-C of the P.P.C. which read as under—

"S. 295-C.--Whoever by words, either spoken or windle, or by visible representation, or by any imputation, innuendo, or insinuation, directly or indirectly, defiles the sacred name of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (may peace be upon him) shall be punished with death, or imprisonment for life, and shall be liable to fine."

After the pronouncement of the Federal Shariat Court in the case of Muhammiad Ismaeel Qureshi v. Pakistan through Secretary, Law and Parliamentary Affairs PLD 1991 FSC 10 the words "or imprisonment for life" in section 255-C of the P.P.C. have lost their efficacy w.e.f. 30-4-1991. Therefore, now the sentence for the offence is only death.

15. The word 'defile" means to corrupt purity or perfection of; to debase; to make ceremonially unclean; to pollute: to sully; to dishonour".

To violate the sacredness or sanctity of: to desecrate.

(Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition page 380).

profane; to sully the honour of, to dishonour."

(The Oxford English Dictionary, Volume III, page 136).

16. A bare reading of the above provision of law makes it clear that any word either spoken or written, or visible representation or any imputation which deffices the secred name of the Holy Prophet Heart Muhammed Mescred name of the Holy Prophet Heart Muhammed Control (1980) or indirectly or indirectly or by an innuendo 1.e. latent defanation, amounts to an officence under section 295cc of the Code. The petitioners, on the one hand, had asserted that the position and status of Miraz Ghulam Ahmed was not less than that of Harrat Muhammad Aff and on the other, stated that number of miracles of Miraz Ghulam Ahmed was three lacs while that of the Holy Prophet Harrat Muhammad Aff three thousand.

The argument of the learned Assistant Advocate-General that the petitioners dishonoured the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad W by relegating his position to that of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, who was not a "Muslim" within the meaning of Article 260(3)(a) of the Constitution of Pakistan and was a false claimant of Prophethood according to the firm belief of the Muslim Umma, has considerable force. Prima facie, the petitioners appear to have committed an offence under section 295-C of the P.P.C. The mere fact that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad in a number of his books (referred to by the petitioners' learned counsel) had expressed profound love and respect for the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad A is not enough to exonerate the petitioners who, according to the F.I.R, had used derogatory 'language about the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad & and ventured to say that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was not lesser in dignity or status than the Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad . The offence being punishable with death falls within the prohibition of section 497 of the Cr.P.C.

17. The petitioners' learned counsel heavily relied on the judgment in the case of Nasir Ahmad v. The State 1993 SCMR 153 to urge that the question whether the petitioners had committed an offence under section 295-C of the P.P.C. may be left to be decided by the trial Court and that petitioners may be allowed bail at this state. Of course, the

final determination of the question regarding commission of the offence has to be done by the trial Court but all this stage a tentative view can be formed on the basis of the material on the record. Moreover, the facts of the precedent case are entirely different in the said case certain Shaariesham were used by the Quadrains in a marriage invitable. Sham were used by the Quadrains in a marriage invitable sham were used by the Quadrains in a marriage invitable in the control of the country of th

by any person, prima facie, "does not create feelings of hurt, offence or provocation etc. etc. nor is it derogatory to the Holy Prophet Muhammad & . It was further observed. "It is only when the person reading or hearing them goes deep into the background of the person using them and brings his own special knowledge of the faith, beliefs and latent intentions of such an accused that the alleged results are likely to follow." Meaning thereby that the alleged results of hurt or provocation to. Muslims or defilement of the sacred name of the Holy Prophet were likely to follow after going into the background of the accused, their faith, beliefs and intentions. Hence in the peculiar circumstances of the case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court left the matter to the decided by the trial Court and allowed bail to the accused persons. The facts of the present case are singularly different. The petitioners who are Quadianis had allegedly used derogatory language about the Holy Prophet A and openly declared that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was not lesser in his position and status than the Holy Prophet. They also gave higher number of miracles of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad apparently to place him on a higher spiritual pedestal. Therefore, in the present case the petitioners prima facio appear to have committed an offence under section 295-C of the P.P.C.

18. For the foregoing discussion, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners at this stage. Resultantly, their bail petition is dismissed However, in order to avoid prejudice to them due to delay in conclusion of the trial the trial Court is directed to give priority to this case over others and make every effort to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three months.

expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three months.

19. It is clarified that the trial Court shall independently decide the case in the light of the material or evidence adduced by the parties without being-influenced by the observations made above.

(Sd.) (MIAN NAZIR AKHTAR),

JUDGE.

ball retused

(PLD 1994 Lahore 485)





SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN (Appellate Jurisdiction)

1993

- O Mr. Justice Shaffur Rahman Mr. Justice Abdul Oadeer Chaudhry
- O Mr. Justice Muhammad Afzal Lone
- Mr. Justice Saleem Akhtar
- O Mr. Justice Wali Muhammad Khan

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

- Mr. Justice Shaffur Rahman.
- Mr. Justice Abdul Qadeer Chaudhry,
- Mr. Justice Muhammad Afzal Lone,
 - Mr. Justice Saleem Akhtar, Mr. Justice Wali Muhammad Khan

CRIMINAL APPEALS NO. 31-K to 35-K of 1988 (On appeal from the judgement of High Court of Baluchistan, Quetts, dated 22.12.1987 passed in Criminal Revisions No. 38/87 to 42/87)

Cr. A. No. 31-K/88 ... Appellant

heeruddin.

The State. ... Respondent

Cr. A. No. 32-K/88 Rafi Ahmed.

versus
The State ... Respondent

... Kespondent

Cr. A. 33-K/88 Abdul Majid. ... Appellant versus The State Cr. A. 34-K/88

Abdur Rehman Khan

versus

The State Cr. A. 35-K/88

Ch. Muhammad Havat

versus .

The State

CIVIL APPEALS NO. 149 AND 150 OF 1989.

(On appeal from the judgment of Lahore High Court. Lahore, dated 25.09.1984 passed in Intra Court Appeals NO. 160/1984 and 158 of 1984)

C.A. No. 149/89 Muiib-ur-Rehman Dard

versus Pakistan through Secretary. Ministry of Justice and

Parliamentary Affairs, Islamabad. ... Respondent C.A. No. 150/89

Sheikh Muhammad Aslam Sheikh Muhammad Yousaf 3 Noor Muhammad Hashmi.

verene

Pakistan through Secretary.

... Respondent

... Respondent

...Appellant

... Respondent

... Appellant

... Appellant

411

Law and Parliamentary Affairs, (Law Division).

Islamabad. The State

... Respondent

CIVIL APPEAU NO 412 OF 1902

(On appeal from the Judgment of Labore High Court. Lahore. dated 17.09.1991 passed in Writ Petition No. 2089/1989)

Mirza Khurshid Ahmed. Hakeem Khurshid Ahmed.

... Appellants

verms Punjab province through Secretary,

Home Department, Labore, The District Magistrate, thang.

The Resident Magistrate Rabwa. Tehsil Chiniot. District Ihang. 4. Maulana Manzoor Ahmed Chinioti.

5. Abdul Nasir Gill. ... Respondents

For the Appellants in

: Mr. Fakruddin Cr. As. 31-K to 35-K/88 G. Ebrahim, Sr. Advocate. Mr. Muischur Rahman

Mirza Abdul Rashid and S. Ali Ahmed Tario Advocates. For the State . Mr. Fiaz Yousuf, Addl. in Co. Ac. 21-K to 25-K/88 Advocate General

Palachistan : Raia Hag Nawaz. For Complainant in Cr. A. 31-K/88 Advocate Mr. M. A. I.

Oarni Advocate on Record, (Absent) : Mr. Fakhruddin G.

For Appellants in C. As. 149 and 150/89 Ebrahim, Sr. Advocate Ch Aziz Ahmad Baiwa

Advocate, Sr. Advocate Mr. Mujeebur Rahman. Advocate, Mr. Hamid Aslam Oureshi, Advocate on Record For Appellant in Ch. Aziz Ahmed Baiwa C.A. 412 of 1992 Mr. C. A. Rehman.

Advocate, Mr. Hamid Aslam Qureshi, Advocate on Record. For Respondent / Federal Dr. Riaz-ul-Hassan Government in Civil Gilani, Senior Advocate

Appeals No. 149 and Only on 1.2.1993 and 150/89 and 412/92 2.2.1993 Sved Invat Hussain, Advocate on Record. Only on 3,2,1993. Mr. Gulgar Hassan Advocate on Record Advocate on Record For Respondents No. 1 to 3

(Absent) Ch. Akhtar All. Mr. Magbool Elahi Malik in C.A. 412/92 Advocate General Puniab. Mr. M. M. Saced Rec. Advocate, Rao

Muhammad Yusuf Khan. Advocate on Record For Respondent No. 4 in C. Mr. M. Ismail Oureshi. A. 412/92 Senior Advocate, Sved Abdul Assim lafri Advocate on Record (Absent)

On Court Notice Mr. Aziz A. Munshi. Attorney General for Pakistan Mr. Mumtaz Ali Mirza, Deputy Attorney General for Pakistan

> Mr. Eiaz Yousaf. Additional Advocate

General Ralachistan Mr. M. Sardar Khan. Advocate General N W F. P. Mr. Manbool Elahi Malik Advocate General Punjab, Ghafur Mangi, Additional Advocate

From General Punjab

General Sindh : Mai. (Retd.) Amir Afzal Khan. Maj. (Retd.)

Dated of hearing

M. Amin Minhas : 30.01.1993.31.01.1993 01.02.1993, 02.02.1993 and 03.03.1993. (Rawalpindi).

Date of appouncement of ludgment

03.07.1993.

IUDGMENT

SHAFIUR RAHMAN, J .- The question of law of public importance Common to all these appeals is whether Ordinance No.XX of 1984 [The Anti-Islamic Activities of the Oadiani Group, Lahori Group and Ahmadis (Prohibition and Punishment) Ordinance, 1984) is ultra vires the Constitution. If not, whether the convictions recorded and the sentences imposed in five Criminal anneals are in accordance with section 5 introduced by it.

2. Chronologically considered, Constitution Petition No.2591 of 1984 leading to Civil Appeal No.149 of 1989 was the first to be filed. It was filed on 30-5-1984 within a month and a half of the promulgation of the Ordinance XX of 1984 (which was promulgated on 26-4-1984). The reliefs

sought therein were that the Ordinance-(i) is of no legal effect and is void ab initio since the day it was promulgated;

(ii) is ultra vires the Provisional Constitution Order. 1981.

This Constitution petition was dismissed in limine on be a bar. An Intra-Court Appeal was also dismissed in limine to be a bar. An Intra-Court Appeal was also dismissed in limine on merits. Leave to appeal was granted on 28-2-1989 it on the Verial was prainted on 28-2-1989 it of the Ordinarc XX of 1984 on the vanishe view of the Ordinarc XX of 1984 on the Verial Research of the Ordinarc XX of 1984 on the Verial Research of Speech, Article 20-Freedom of Religion, Article 29-Equality of citizen.

 In 1984 Constitution Petition No.2309 of 1984 was filed in the High Court leading to Civil Appeal No. 150 of 1989 before us. This petition was amended on 6-6-1984 and the following reliefs were claimed in it:—

The petitioner respectfully prays that-

- (i) the impugned Ordinance No.XX of 1984 is of no legal effect;
- (ii) the petitioner has the fundamental right to profess, practise and propagate his religion;
- (iii) it is further prayed that the respondent may be directed not to take any action, under the Ordinance, against the petitioner, till the final disposal of this writ petition."

This petition too was dismissed in limine on 12.4.198 treating as barred by Article 203-D of the Constitution. The Intra-Court Appeal was also dismissed in limine on 25.9.1984 after discussing all the grounds and without sustaining the bar of Article 203-D of the Constitution. As regards the violation of the Fundamental Rights, the Appeal Bench observed as hereunder:—

"If the Constitution of 1973 had been in force in its entirety the argument of the appellants would have been worth examination but this is not so, for three supra Constitutional documents have since July, 1977 clipsed the Constitution. The first in this context is the Proclamation of Martial Law which became effective on the 5th of July, 1977. It placed the Constitution in abeyance. The second is the Chief Martial Law Administrator's Order No.1 of 1977, also known as the Laws (Continuance in Force) Order. 1977. Although clause (i) of Article 2 of this Order inter alia did state that Pakistan would be governed as nearly as may be in accordance with the Constitution but then clause (iii) of the same Article placed all Fundamental Rights under suspension. The third document is the Provisional Constitution Order, 1981. promulgated on the 24th of March, 1981, Article 2 of this order has adopted certain provisions of the Constitution of 1973. It is significant to note that the adopted provisions do not include any of the Fundamental Rights including Article 20 upon which the appellants rely. Thus the said Article like all other Fundamental Rights is not enforcible at present. It is, therefore, idle on the part of the appellants to suggest that the said Article continues to remain a rider on the Ordinance making power of the President. We would accordingly reject the contention of the appellants that even under the present Constitutional position the President, while making an Ordinance still suffers from the limitations set out in the Fundamental Rights."

Leave to appeal was granted on 28-2-1989 in terms as in Civil Appeal No.149/1989 as above.

when the state of the state of

- "(1) Whether wearing a "Kalma Tayyaba" badges by an Ahmadi amounts to "posing" as a Muslim so as to come within the mischief of section 298-C, Pakistan Penal Code;
- (2) Whether the charge framed against the petitioner was in accordance with law, and if not what is its effect? and
- (3) Whether section 298-C, Pakistan Penal Code is violative of Fundamental Rights Nos.19, 20 and 25?"
- 5. Nazir Ahmed Taunsvi, lodged two other such reports on 27-3-1985. One (FIR No. 49/85) made similar complaint against Zaheeruddin (appellant in CrA. 31-K/88) having encountered him at 1-00 p.m. in the Bazar with a badge of Kalma Tayyaba and claiming himself to be a Muslim. On trial he was convicted under section 298-C of Pakistan Penal Code and sentenced to one year's rigorous imprisonment and a fine of rupees one thousand failing which one month's rigorous imprisonment. His appeal and revision against conviction and sentence failed. The other report (FIR No.50/85) was directed on similar facts against Abdur Rehman (appellant in CrA. 34-K/88) who he encountered in the Bazar at 3-30 p.m. He was also convicted and sentenced to one year's R.L. and a fine of rupees one thousand or in default one month's R.I. His appeal and revision failed. In both these appeals the leave to appeal was granted as in Criminal Appeal No.35-K/1988.
- 6. On 11-1-1985, Haji Baaz Muhammad a shopkeeper lodged a report IFR. No. 5985. City Quetta) compliant that a customer came on his shop with a badge of Kalma Tayaba. He disclosed his name a Majid (appellant in Cr.A No. 33-K/88) and claimed to be a Quadiani. On trial he so convicted under section 286-0 of Pakistan Penal Code and sentenced to one year's R.I. and a fine of rupees one thousand or in default one month's R.I. His appeal and revision failed. He was granted leave to appeal in terms as in Criminal Appeal No.35-K/1986.

- 7. On 8-5-1985, Muhammad Azim another hopkeeper logical a report File. No. 7/1988 F. 55. City Quetta) complaining that Raff Ahmed (pepellast in CrA. Tayyshe though he was a Quadiant. He was trick and convicted under section 398-Cof Pakistan Penal Code and convicted under section 398-Cof Pakistan Penal Code and sentenced to nor year's R. I. and a fine of rapees one treated to the penal code and the convicted under section 398-Cof Pakistan Penal Code and the Code of th
- 8. A Constitution Petition (No. 2089/1898) was filled on 12-4-1989 challenging the decision of the Punjab Government dated 20-3-1989, its implementation by District Magistrate Jhang by order dated 21-3-1989 and its extension till further orders by order dated 25-3-1898 by Resident Magistrate. The effect of these decisions/orders was that the Quadianis in District Jhang were prohibited from indulging in following activities:-
 - "(i) Illumination on buildings and premises;
 - (iii) Erection of decorative gates;
 (iii) Holding of processions and meetings;
 - (iv) Use of loudspeaker or megaphone:
 - (v) Raising of Slogans;

Appeals No.149/89 and 150/89.

- (vi) Exhibition of badges, buntings and banners etc.;
- (vii) Distribution of pamphlets and pasting of posters on the walls and wall-writings:
- (viii) Distribution of sweets and service of food:
 - (ix) Any other activity directly or indirectly which may incite and injure the religious feelings of Muslims."
- Muslims."

 The High Court by an exhaustive judgment dismissed this petition. Leave to appeal was granted (Civil Appeal No.412 of 1992) by reference to order granting leave in Civil

9. Mr. Fakhruddin G. Ebrahim. Senior Advocate. the learned counsel for the appellants in five Criminai Appeals (Cr. Appeals No.31-K to 35-K/1988) has mainly taken up the Constitutional vires of the Ordinance XX of 1984. According to him. Ordinance XX of 1983 is oppressively unjust, abominably vague, perverse, discriminatory, product of biased mind, so mala fide, and wholly unconstitutional being violative of Articles 19, 20 and 23 of the Constitution.

According to the learned counsel the Constitution, having According to the learned counsel the Constitution, having by its second amendment categorized the Quadianis and Ahmadis as non-Muslim, by clause (3) of Article 260 Ahmadia as non-Muslim, by clause (3) of Article 236 proceeds further to distinguish from among non-Muslim prohibitive restrictions, on their religious practices, under the contract of the co etc. This according to the (ميلاد النبي * نصر من الله * السلام عليك____) learned counsel amounts to a serious inroad on the right of speech, on the right to profess and practice one's religion and amounts to serious discrimination. The practices for which this minority is being prosecuted have been declared to be religious practices of the minority and permissible both under the Constitution and the law as held in Abdur Bohman Mobashir and 3 others v. Syed Amir All Shah Bokhari and 4 others (PLD 1978 "Lahore 113), Mujibur Rehman and 3 others v. Federal Government of Pakistan and another (PLD 1985 Federal Shariat Court 8 at pages 89 and 93), in addition, the learned counsel contended that Enforcement of Shari'ah Act, 1991 (Act X of 1991) permits the non-Muslims to practice their religion. He has also drawn our attention to Article 233 of the Constitution to emphasise that Article 20 of the Constitution is one of those provisions of the Constitution which cannot be suspended even during the emergency. On the question as to what is religion, the learned counsel has referred to The Commissioner, Hindu Religious Endowments, Madeas v. Sci. Lakshminder Thirtha Swamiar of 5t fishtur Mutt (AIR 1934 SC 282), Raitial Fanachad Gandhi and others v. Slate of Bombay and others (AIR 1935 MC 385) and others (AIR 1935 MC 385) and other (AIR 1935 MC 385) and other (AIR 1935 MC 385) and others v. The Commissioner for Hinad Religious and colletes v. The Commissioner for Hinad Religious and constitutional Remedies in Fakistan by S. Sharifaddin Constitutional Remedies in Fakistan by S. Sharifaddin Constitutional Remedies in Fakistan by S. Sharifaddin of Mr. Justice Tanais-ur-Rehman's view on Article 20 published as "Constitution and the Freedom of Religion in PLD 1995 Journal 17. He has also referred to and to Article "Qualide-Azam's Contribution to the Cause of Human Rights by Mr. Justice Dr. Nasim Hasan Shah" published in PLD 1977 Journal page 13, paras 6 and 17 wherein rights enshelmed in Article 20 of the Constitution

The learned coursel has also explained the limited meaning which has been given to the expression "subject to law" used in Article 20 of the Constitution in the decisions of the Supreme Court in Jibearda Kishore Achharya Chowdhury and 38 others v. The Province of East Pakistan and Secretary, Timmes and Revenue Green of Department, Johnson and Contract Court of the Contract of Contract Court of the Contract Court of the Court of State of Court of Court of State of Court of Cou

Finally, the learned counsel has referred to the opinion formed with regard to this law by the International community in the form of reports submitted by the International Committee of Jurists in 1987 (pages 103 to 113) and Amnesty International in 1991.

10. Mr. Mujeebur Rahman, Advocate, the learned counsel for the appellants in Criminal Appeals has dealt with the interpretation of the provisions of the Ordinance
XX of 1984 with a view to exclude the criminal cases that were registered for wearing badges of Kalma Tavvaba. His argument on the subject is that this law had its background in the decision of the Lahore High Court reported as Abdur Rahman Mobashir's case (PLD 1978 Lahore 113). Recital of Kalma Tayyaba or for that matter wearing of a badge of Kalma Tayyaba was considered to be one of permissible practices of the Ouadianis and in the law under consideration it has not been expressly excluded. He has invoked, therefore, the principle that express mention of certain practices for making them an offence would certainly in criminal statute imply necessarily the exclusion of all others not expressly mentioned. In support of this proposition he has referred to Maxwell on the Interpretation of Statutes (Twelfth Edition) by P.St. J. Langan, page 293 and Crawford's Statutory Construction. page 334. Another principle invoked by him is that being a penal statute, a strict construction has to prevail and has to be preferred and for this reliance has been placed on Rehmat Aslam v. The Crown (PLD 1952 Lahore 578). Mazhar Ali Khan. Printer and Publisher of the Daily "Imroze" v. The Governor of the Punjab (PLD 1954 Lahore 14), Khizar Hayat and 5 others v. The Commissioner, Sargodha Division and the Deptuy Commissioner, Sargodha (PLD 1965 Lahore 349), Qasu and 2 others v. The State (PLD 1969 Lahore 48), Messrs Hirjina and Co. (Pakistan) 1td. Karachi v. Commissioner of Sales Tax Central, Karachi (1971 SCMR 128) and Muhammad Ali v. State Bank of Pakistan, Karachi and another (1973 SCMR 140).

Mr. Mujeebur Rahman, the learned counsel also contended that the word "oath" has to be read in its context

and the principle of "Nosclure a social" gets attracted. There cannot be any enlargement of the context, meaning scope by bringing in what is not mentioned therein. He has present the context of the context of the statute to what is spready mentioned. He considers, what is mentioned after systems when the context of the context of the context of the word "or is counteraited. He considers, what is mentioned after the word "or is counteraited, little active statutes and exhaustive. On his reasoning the convicts were guilty of no offerce in spite or their significing on the feetual pilose that

11. Mr. Aziz Ahmed Baiwa, Advocate, the learned counsel for the appellants in Civil Appeal No.412 of 1992 in arguing his case mainly confined himself to the provisions of Provisional Constitution Order, 1981 to make out a case that on the strength of Miss Benazir Bhutto v. Federation of Pakistan and another (PLD 1988 SC 416) Fundamental Rights could even then be invoked for challenging the vires of the Ordinance XX of 1984 because it could not be in violation of Article 20 of the Constitution which was suspended. The Supreme Court having conceded the limited right to the Martial Law Administrator in Miss (PLD 1972 SC 139) could not permit his making of such a statute. It was additionally under clause (3) of Article 227 of the Constitution violative of the personal law of the Quadianis. Ordinance XX of 1984, according to the learned counsel, was malicious and on that account not a good law at all in view of the decision of this Court in Pakistan through Secretary. Cabinet Division, Islamabad and others v. Nawabzada Muhammad Umar Khan (deceased) now represented by Khawaia Muhammad Khan of Hoti and others (1992 SCMR 2450).

12. Syed Riazul Hastan Gilani, Advocate, the learned counsel representing the Federal Government has raised a preliminary objection based on the decisions of the Federal Shariat Court and of the Shariat Appellate Bench of this Court reported in Mujibur Rehman and 3 others. V-Federal Government of Pakistan and another (PLD 1985 Federal Shariat Court 8) and Capt. (RetA) Abdul Waiid and 4 others v. Federal Government of Pakistan (PLD 1888 SC 167), respectively. According to him, Ordinance XX of 1984 was directly challenged before the Federal Shariat Court on the ground of its being regugant to the injunctions of Islam and violative of the Fundamental Rights. The Federal Appellate Bench of the Supreme Court had while allowing the withdrawal of the appeal held that the judgment of the Federal Shariat Court shall remain in the field. In view of the decision of the Supreme Court in Mat. Axis Begum and others v. Federal ordinaria out Takistan and others (PLD 1998 SC 1998 Supreme Court will hold the field and is not open to examination or review by the Supreme Court otherwise. The only course open was for the appellant to seek a review of the ludgment instead of reopening the question review of the ludgment instead of reopening the question.

The learned course! for the Federal Government has omerlit steen as to Thoughts and Reflections of fighal' edited with notes by Syed Abdul Wahld from pages 246 to So in. order to highlight that saily of Cod and finality of So in. order to highlight the saily of Cod and finality of So in. order to highlight the sail year of the sail of the s

It was further contended by the learned counsel presenting the Federal Government that the expression "subject to law" in Article 20 of the Constitution implies necessarily the injunctions of Islam. The Fundamental Flights, therefore, enshirind in Article 20 of the Constitution have to be further controlled and contained by the Injunctions of Islam. The injunctions on these aspects

of the religion being clearly brought out and having been incorporated in Article 260 (3) of the Constitution, no such right as is claimed by the appeltants, can be allowed to be exercised publicty to the annovance, detriment and subversion of the Islamic faith. Additionally it is contended that what the Article 20 of the Constitution guarantees is the propagation and preaching of one's own faith and not the subversion and the mutilation of somebody else's religion. In doing what the appellants have been found to be doing or claiming a right to do, they are only subverting and mutilating the religion of others living in Pakistan and not in fact observing their own religion. It is, according to the learned counsel for the Federal Government, an obligation of the State under Article 31 to preserve, protect and strengthen the Islamic Ideotogy against every other.

It was also contended that the State power can be exercised to avoid clash of ideologies in the matter of religion and the State can excrise the power of preventing those who are encroaching on it by keeping them within contentment or limits by prohibiting certain parts which are likely to create law and order problem.

Finally the learned counsel for the Federal Government pointed out that what the impugned Ordinance (XX of 1984) accomplishes is all within the ambit of Islamic Injunctions. It establishes and reinforces the Prophethood of Muhammad (peace be upon him). It protects the prayers and the mosques. It prohibits 'Thead' or subversion of the religion and it protects against hurting the religious feelings of others in majority. These are all laudable objects recognized by the tnjunctions of Islam and permitted by the Constitutional provisions in Islamic Stale. In this background, both on the, Constitutional plane, on the grounds of public order and morality, the provisions made in the impuened Ordinance (XX of 1984) are not violative of any of the rights of the appellants. He also pointed out to the main features of the Ordinance and Article 20 of the Constitution in order to demonstrate that the observance of the rituals by the individual and the protection of the Institutions by the religion both were covered by Article

20 and the Ordinance only made that protection concrete, descriptive and certain by specifications, enumerations and descriptions.

13. Mr. Ismail Oureshi, Advocate, representing the Tahafuz-e-Khatm-e-Nabuwwat Group contended that Article 260 (3) of the Constitution having declared the Quadianis as non-Muslim, any attempt to pose as Muslims by them is violative of the provisions of the Constitution and it is that practising fraud or mis-description which is sought to be controlled by Ordinance XX of 1984. Article 20 confers no absolute right to profess religion but it has to be in conformity with other provisions and public morality. In that context, the impugned Ordinance advances what is provided in clause (3) of Article 260 of the Constitution and recognizes and protects both the religion of the majority as well as of the declared minority. In that context, the proceedings taken under Article 144 of the Criminal Procedure Code were appropriate and justified besides that order under section 144, Cr.P.C. was limited to a period of less than a week and there could be no objection subsisting over it.

14. The chronological history of the Constitution petitions under consideration clearly gives the impression that except for Constitution Petition No.2089 of 1989 (now Civil Appeal No.412 of 1992 before us) all other matters related to events taking place in 1984 and early 1985 when the Fundamental Rights were not available for challenging the proceedings. It is for this reason that in the very first matter (Civil Appeal No.149 of 1989) the challenge to Ordinance No. XX of 1984 was by reference to the Provisional Constitution Order of 1981. However, the convictions in the criminal cases had taken place in July, 1986 and at that time Fundamental Rights were in full force and could be invoked for avoiding the conviction notwithstanding that the events reported related to a period when the Fundamental Rights were not enforceable. In any case, therefore, these matters are required to be examined and are being examined on the touchstone of the Constitutional provisions as contained in the revived Constitution and the Fundamental Rights contained therein. 15. So far as Civil Appeal No.412 of 1992 arising out of Constitution Petition No.2089 is concerned, it related substantially to a transitory matter namely, the order passed under section 144, Cr.P.C. which was passed on 21-3-1989 and was to remain in force till 25-3-1989. Thereafter an order of the Resident Magistrate was brought under challenge which was passed on 25-3-1989 whereunder on the instructions of Assistant Commissioner, Chiniot this order of 21-3-1989 was given an indefinite extension in time till further orders. Both these orders and the challenge to them find mention in Mirza Khurshid Ahmad and another v. Government of Punjab and others (PLD 1992 Lahore 1 at pages 14 to 16). The justification for the order dated 21-3-1989 was gone into. Its validity was upheld. As regards the order of the Resident Magistrate, it did not receive that attention which it should have on the legal grounds. There is no authority possessed by the Assistant Commissioner, the District Magistrate, the Resident Magistrate or the Home Department of the Government to extend indefinitely till further orders an order passed under section 144, Cr.P.C. This part of the order recorded by the Resident Magistrate referring to an order by the Assistant Commissioner had to be declared as without lawful authority and of no legal effect. None of the counsel appearing at the hearing, not even the Advocate-General, has been able to sustain this order recorded by the Resident Magistrate. Hence, the Appeal (Civil Appeal No.412 of 1992) is allowed to this extent with no order as to costs.

16. Taking up the Constitutional provisions relevant to the subject under examination, clause (3) of Article 260 of the Constitution is of importance. α is reproduced in extense as hereunders.

"In the Constitution and all enact nents and other legal instruments, unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context.--

(a) 'Muslim' means a person who believes in the unity and oneness of Almighty Allah, in the absolute and unqualified finality of the Prophethood of Muhammad (peace be upon him), the last of the prophets, and does not believe in, or recognize as a prophet or religious reformer, any person who claimed or claims to be a prophet, in any sense of the word or of any description whatsoever, after Muhammad (peace be upon him); and

(b) 'non-Muslin' means a person who is not a Muslim and includes a person belonging to the Christian, Hindu, Sikh, Budhist or Parsi community, a person of the Quadiani group or the Lahori group (who cali themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name), or a Bahai, and a person belonging to any of the scheduled castes.

Article 20 of the Constitution in the Chapter of Fundamental Rights, which requires pointed attention, is reproduced hereunder:

"20. Freedom to profess religion and to manage religious institutions. Subject to law, public order

and morality,--

(a) every citizen shall have the right to profess, practise and propagate his religion; and
 (b) every religious denomination and every sect.

thereof shall have the right to establish, maintain and manage its religious institutions.

Articles 19 and 25, which have also been referred to for providing strength, meaoing and effect to the

for providing attength, meaning and effect to the Fundamental Right contained in Article 20-relate to Freedom of speech, etc. (Article 19) and Equality of citizens before law (Article 25). 12. On the basis of Article 2-A of the Constitution

having been made substantive part of our Constitution, an argument was advanced that the provisions of the Constitution should all be read, interpreted and applied and they are additionally subordinate to and controlled by injunctions of Islam. Even the Fundamental Rights involved

in these appeals and the others not in issue should also be interpreted as if subordinate to liquinctions of Islam. The further against these after is that as held by the Federal Sharat Court in Mujibur Rehman and 3 others. Yes Gederal Government of Pakistan and another (PLD 1985 FSC 6) in Injunctions of Islam clearly prehibit what the appellants are alleged to have done or are doing as a matter of religious ceremony, or practice, On this reasoning it is not the religious ceremony, or practice, On this reasoning it is not the religious ceremony, or practice, on this reasoning it is not the religious ceremony, or practice, and the religious ceremony or the formal provisions not of the Fundamental rights invoked in these cases.

18. The effect of introduction of Article 2A or the Constitution and its becoming a substantive provision of the Constitution has been considered at great length by this Court in Hakim Khan and J others v. Government of Pakistan through Secretary Interior and others (PLD 1992 SC 959). Its effect on the other constitutional provisions and as a controlling and supervening provision has been interested in the officering words:—Stable, J (cone the Chier Insteller in the Officering words:—

"This rule of interpretation does not appear to have been given effect to in the judgment of the High Court on its view that Article 2A is a supra-Constitutional provision. Because, if this be its true status then the above-quoted clause would require the framing of an entirely new Constitution. Any even if Article 2A really meant that after its introduction it is to become in control of the other provisions of the Constitution, then most of the Articles of the existing Constitution will become questionable on the ground of their alleged inconsistency with the provisions of the Objectives Resolution....Thus, instead of making the 1973-Constitution more purposeful, such an interpretation of Article 2A, namely that it is in control of all the other provisions of the Constitution would result in undermining it and pave the way for its eventual destruction or at least its continuance in its present form....The role of the Objectives Resolution,

accordingly in my humble view, notwithstanding the insertion of Article 2Ain the Constitution (whereby the said Objectives Resolution has been made a substantive part threeof) has no been fundamentally transformed from the role envisaged for it at the outset; namely that it should sere as beacon light for the Constitution-makers and guide them to formulate such provisions for the Constitution which reflect in death provisions of the Constitution which reflect in death provision of the Constitution which reflect in death provision of the Constitution table. It is the changed context, that the impaged provision of the Constitution table. It constitution table to carrected by saitably amending it through the amendment process laid down in the Constitution table.

As per Shafiur Rahman, J., it was considered as hereunder:--

"The provisions of Article 2A were never intended at any stage to be self-executory or to be adopted as a test of repugnancy or of contrastiely. It was beyond the power of the Court to have applied the test of repugnancy by invoking Article 2A of the Constitution for striking down any other provision of the Constitution (Article 45)."

19. Another pellminary legal argument against the

case set out by the appellants was that Fundamental Right 20 which was invoked was itself subject to law, and Ordinance No. XX of 1984 qualifies as law for the purposes of raticle 20 of the Constitution. Therefore, the impugned provisions thereof will hold good notwithstanding, any apparent or substantial conflict with its provisions. This argument or such an argument has been adequately and effectively dealt with by the Supreme Court as early as January, 1956 in Jibendar Kishoter Achharyya Chowdhury and 38 others. Whe Theroise of East Pakistra and 48 others. Whe Theroise of East Pakistra (Government of East Pakistra (PLD 1987 SC 9 at page 41) in the following women of East Pakistra (PLD 1987 SC 9 at page 41).

"There can be no doubt that these drastic provisions of the Act strike religious institutions at their very

root, and the question is whether, that being the effect of the provisions they constitute an infringement of the fundamental right guaranteed by Article 18 of the Constitution? In the High Court, Mr. Brohi's bold and categorical assertion that the rights referred to in Article 18 are "Subject to Law" and may therefore be taken away by the law, succeeded. That assertion has been repeated before us, but I have not the slightest hesitation in rejecting it. The very conception of a fundamental right is that it being a right guaranteed by the Constitution cannot be taken away by the law, and it is not only technically inartistic, but a fraud on the citizens for the makers of a Constitution to say that a right is fundamental but that it may be taken away by the law. I am unable to attribute any such intent to the makers of the Constitution who in their anxiety to regulate the lives of the Musiims of Pakistan in accordance with the Holy Ouran and Sunnah could not nossibly have intended to empower the legislature to take away from the Muslims the right to profess, practise and propagate their religion and to establish, maintain and manage their religious institutions, and who in their conception of the ideal of a free, tolerant and democratic society could not have denied a similar right to the non-Muslim citizens of the State. If the argument of Mr. Brohi Is sound, it would follow, and he admitted that it would, that the legislature may today interdict the profession of Islam by the citizens because the right to profess, practise and propagate religion is under the Article as much subject to law as the right to establish, maintain and manage religious institutions. I refuse to be a party to any such pedantic, technical and narrow construction of the Article in question, for consider it to be a fundamental canon of construction that a Constitution should receive a liberal interpretation in favour of the citizen, especially with respect to those provisions which were designed to safeguard the freedom of conscience and worship. Consistently with

the language used. Constitutional instructions should receive broader and more liberal construction than statutes, for the power dealt with in the former case is original and unlimited and in the latter case limited, and Constitutional rights should not be permitted to be nullified or evaded by astute verbal criticism. without regard to the fundamental aim and object of the instrument and the principles on which it is based. If the language is not explicit, or admits of doubt, it should be presumed that the provision was intended to be in accordance with the acknowledged principles of justice and liberty. Accordingly, in doubtful cases that particular construction should be preferred which does not violate those principles. In the light of these rules of construction of Constitutional instruments it seems to me that what Article 18 means is that every citizen has the right to profess, practise and propagate his religion and every sect of a religious denomination has the right to establish, maintain and manage its religious institutions, though the law may regulate the manner in which religion is to be professed, practised and propagated and religious institutions are to be established, maintained and managed. The words "the right to establish, subject to law, religious institutions" cannot and do not mean that such institutions may be abolished altogether by the law".

20. Ordinance XX of 1984 which is being examined as promulgated by the President on the 28th of April, 1984 in pursuance of the Prodomation of the fifth day of July. 1977, and in exceeded all powers enabling him in that the President suffered from no Constitutional restraints of fundamental Rights or other provisions. His will was supreme. The entire Ordinance has not been subjected to continy in these proceedings. The portions which have continy in these proceedings. The portions which have of the Ordinance adding new sections 2984-8 and 298-C. in the Paiskian Penal Code At (UXI vf 1860), and are

(1) "298-B. Misuse of epithets, descriptions and titles, etc, reserved for certain holy personages or places.— (1) Any person of the Quadiani group or the Lahori group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name) who by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation.—

(c)

(d) refers to, or names, or calls, his place of worship as 'Masjid'; shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years, and shall also be liable to fire.

(2) Any person of the Quadiani group or Labori group (who Call themselves' Ahmadis' or by any other name) who by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation, refers to the mode or form of call to prayers followed by his faith as 'Azan', or recites Azan as used by the Muslims, shall be purished with imprisonment of three vears, and shall also be table to fine.

(2) 298-C. Person of Quadiani group, etc.. calling himself a Muslim or preaching or propagaling his faith.-Any person of the Quadiani group or the Lahori group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name), who directly or indirectly—

(a) "poses himself as a Muslim".

(b) "or calls, or refers to, his faith as Islam",

- (c) "or preaches or propagates his faith, by words, either spoken or written".
- (d) "or invites others to accept his faith, by words, either spoken or written, or by visible representations",
- (e) "or in any manner whatsoever outrages the religious feelings of Muslims"

 shall be runished with imprisonment of either

shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years and shall also be liable to fine".

Section 298-C has been broken in clauses in order to make its effect, examination and scrutiny easier. 21. This Ordinance XX of 1984 by its section 2

27. This Ordinance XX of 1984 by its section 2 provides that "provisions of this Ordinance shall have effect notwithstanding any order or decision of any Court". Adour Rahman Mohashir and 5 others vs. Syst Assessal, Mah Bokhari and 4 others (PLD 1978 Lahore 113) where the tentes of Quadiani or Ahmadi faith were examined in great detail with a view to ascertain what rights others could have in challenging them, prohibiting or preventing them or in avoiding them. However, it is not necessary to reproduce the conclusions drawn therein because it stards overridden by this Ordinance XX of 1984 and in any case the test is the Fundamental Right, a Constitutional the test is the Fundamental Right, a Constitutional the test is the Fundamental Right, a Constitutional value of the Proposition of the Proposition

22. The learned counsel for the appellants has taken

exception to the provision (d) and sub-section (2) of section 29.88 of the FP.C. as introduced by the Ordinance. It concerns the naming of the place of worship by the Quadianis and Ahmadia so Massifi and colling of "Azan". Historically this has been shown in the Lahore High Court case to be a tened or a practice of Ahmadia or Quadianis not of recent origin or device and adopted not with a view to annoy or outsige the feelings and sentiment of nonAhmadis and non-Quadianis. Being an essential element of their faith and not being offensive per sep robibition on the use of these by them and making it an offence punishable with imprisonment and fine violates the Fundamental Right of religious freedom of professing, practising and propagating and of Fundamental Right of requality inastruch as only Quadianis or Ahmadis are prevented from stong so and not other erigious minorities. It is not done to the religious minorities. It is not made objectionable by law but doing of these by Ahmadis or Outsidnais along.

23. The learned counsel for the appellants has taken strong exception to section 298-C, clause (a) of the P.P.C. on the ground that the word "posing" is abominably vague and incapable of judicial enforcement. We are not inclined to agree with him because aiready in the language of law the words like "fraud", "misrepresentation", "deception", "cheating" which have a wide undefined connotation are in use and have meaning similar to that of "posing". With the Constitutional mandate in the background providing that Ahmadis and Quadianis shall be for the purposes of law and Constitution dealt with in this country as non-Muslim prevents them from giving themselves out as Muslims. Such a provision is in advancement of the Constitutional mandate and not in derogation of it. Therefore, if any Ahmadi or Quadiani claims to be or gives out publicly to he a Muslim then he would be acting in violation of the Constitutional provision contained in Article 260(3). Such a provision could certainly be made within the framework of the Constitution and the Fundamental Rights an offence. This argument equally applies to clause (b) as made out above of section 298.C of the P.P.C.

24. As regards clause (e) of section 298-C, the law cannot be said to be violative of Fundamental Right of religion or speech where it punishes acts outraging the religious feelings of a particular group or of the general public as such. Nobody has a Fundamental Right or can have one of outraging the religious feelings of others while propagating his own religion or faith. Therefore, clauses (a), (b) and (e) as found in section 298-C are consistent with the Constitutional provisions contained in Articles 19, 20 and 260(3).

25. On the reasoning that has been adopted in interpreting these relevant articles of the Constitution. clauses (c) and (d) of section 298-C of P.P.C. as reproduced above standing by themselves, individually or the two together would be violative of the Fundamental Right of religion's freedom and of equality and of the speech in so far as they prohibit and penalise only the ahmadis and Quadianis from preaching or propagating their faith by words written or spoken or by visible representation. Invitation to one's own faith when it is not accommanied by any other objectionable feature cannot be condemned. However, if the acts mentioned in clauses (c) and (d) are accompanied with what is provided in clause (e) or has the effect of clauses (a) and (b) then the acts will be penal under these relevant clauses and not under clauses (c) and (d). To this extent clauses (c) and (d) of section 298-C. P.P.C. as reproduced in the judgment and as interpreted would be ultra viras the Constitution

26. So far as the five appeals arising out of criminal trial (Criminal Appeals 31-K to 35-K/88) are concerned, we find that three of them have originated in the complaint of Nazir Ahmad Taunsyl directly concerned with the Khatme-Nabuwwat movement who made a grlevance of the fact that certain persons were roaming about in the Bazar with the badges of 'Kalma Tavvaba' exhibited on their chest. They were known to be Quadiani. Some of them on being questioned sald that they were Muslim. This act of theirs of wearing a badge of the 'Kalma Tayyaba' was taken to be their posing as Muslim. This conviction is defective because in view of the discussion and findings already recorded for an Ahmadi to wear a badge having 'Kalma Tayyaba' inscribed on it does not per se amount to outraging the feelings of Muslims nor does it amount to his posing as a Muslim. It was admitted and is common knowledge that those who are Muslim do not in order to prove their religion of Islam wear badges of the 'Kalma Tayyaba'. This is done by those who are Constitutionally classified as non-Musllms. Therefore, there should be no element of posing or representation by non-Muslims by wearing the 'Kalma Tayyaba' as Muslims in the existing situation.

27. As regards the allegation that on being questioned and interogated they gave the reply that they were Muslims while in fact they were Quadiant or Ahmadis, that too, will not be an offence under the law. Fosing involves voluntary representation. In giving reply to a question one control of the control

Appeals Nos. 32-K and 33-K of 1983 relate to reports ologied by individuals not so conditional relations of the property of the present of the presentation o

28. The other two Criminal Appeals (Criminal

The exhibition or use of "Kalima Tayyaba" correctly reproduced, properly and expectfully exhibited cannot be made a ground per se for action against those who use Kalima Tayyaba" in such a manner. If or esservationing its recesses of the mind of the man wearing or using it and recesses of the mind of the man wearing or using it and the shading it an offence then the exercise with regard to belief and the meaning of it for that person and the purpose of axing and exhibiting the Kalima Tayyaba".

will infringe directly the religious freedom guaranteed and enjoyed by the citizens under the Constitution, where mere belief unattended by objectionable conduct cannot be objected to.

- 29. Our difficulty in handling these appeals has been that the respondents have by and large argued the matter as if the vires of the impugned portions of the Ordinance are being tested for their inconsistency more with injunctions of Islam than for their inconsistency with the Fundamental Rights. This has brought in religious scholars volunteering to assist the Court generating lot of avoidable heat and controversy at the argument and post argument stage.
- 30. The result of the above discussion is that the Criminal Appeals No. 31-K/1988 to 35-K/1988 are allowed, the conviction and sentence of the appellants is set aside. Further, the provisions of clause (d) and subsection (2) of section 28-B and portions (c) and (d) of section 28-C of the Pakistan Penal Code, reproduced in paragraph 20 of the judgment, are declared to be ultra vires the Fundamental Rights 20 and 25.
- 31. Civil Appeals Nos. 149 of 1989 and 150 of 1989 are about 50 parily allowed to the extent the portions of the Ordinance XX of 1984 have been held to be ultra vires the Fundamental Rights 19, 20 and 25. No order is made as to costs.
- ABDUL QADEER CHAUDHRY, I, I have had the benefit of going through the draft judgment proposed to be delivered by my learned brother Shafiur Rahman, J, but with respect, I do not agree with the opinion of my learned brother.
- The facts of the connected appeals have been fully enterested in the proposed judgment and I need not repeat the same. So far as the present appeal is concerned, the facts giving rise to the proceedings are that the appellants belong to Ahmadia community, (Quadianis), a non-Muslim religious sect. The Ahmadis throughout the world had decided to celebrate the centuragy of their

religion, which was founded on 23rd March, 1889, in a befitting manner, commencing from 23rd March, 1989.

On 20th March, 1989, the Home Secretary, Government of Punjab, promulgated an order, under Section 144, C.P.C. banning the centenary celebrations, by the Quadianis in the Province of Punjab. The District Magistrate, Jhang, also passed another order dated 21st March, prohibiting the Quadianis of Jhang District from undertaking the following activities:

- (i) Illuminations on buildings and premises:
- (ii) Erection of decorative gates:
- (iii) Holding of processions and meetings;
 - (iv) Use of loudspeakers and megaphones;
 - (v) Raising of slogans;
 - (vi) Exhibition of badges; buntings and banners etc;
 (vii) Distribution of pamphlets and pasting of posters
 - on the walls and wall writings;
- (viii) Distribution of sweets and service of food;
- (ix) Any other activity directly or indirectly which may incite and injure the feelings of Muslims.
- It appears from the above, that what had been banned are the activities in public or in the view of the public, to save breach of peace and maintain the law and order.

The Resident Magistrate, Rabwah, informed the Ahmadia community to remove ceremonial gates, bannial gates, bann

The appellants challenged the above orders by way of Writ Petition No. 2089 of 1989, seeking declaration that their right to recount the important events of the last hundred years of their community and to celebrate the

same in a befitting manner could not be denied to them. It was stated that they had planned to do that by wearing new clothes, offering thanks-giving prayers, distributing sweets among children, serving food to the poor and to assemble for meetings, to express their gratitude to God Almighty for favours and bounties bestowed by Him in the last hundred vears. They contended that all the activities noted above, being protected and guaranteed by Fundamental Right, as embodied in Article 20 of the Constitution of 1973, the impugned orders were unlawful. It was further stated that none of the ingredients of Section 144 was present to attract the impugned orders. One of the appellants who was also convicted under Section 298-B of PPC, for using a badge of "Kalma" and for saving Azan" had filed another petition. This section 298-B and another 298-C had been inducted in the PPC, by the Ordinance XX of 1984.

The case came up before a learned Judge of the Labore light Court, who in his judgment considered very concisely the legal and constitutional questions raised in the case and has rendered a very balanced judgment. We Fighty appreciate that the learned Judge relied, in this respect, on the procedural from the jurisdiction, which are either secular or claim to be the champions of human rights. The controversy many control of the procedural from the controversy control of the procedural forms of the procedural forms of the procedural pr

independence.
The main question involved is whether the impugned orders passed under Section 144 Cr.P.C and the Ordinance XX of 1984 are violative of the Fundamental Right (Art. 20) as elven in the Constitution of Pakistan. 1973.

The appellants raised the following propositions for consideration:

 The finding of the Federal Shariat Court that the Ordinance is not contrary to Quran and Sunnah, is of no consequence, so far as this Court is concerned.

- (b) The Ordinance expressly and in no uncertain terms, is total denial of religious freedom guaranteed under Article 20 of the Constitution to the Ahmadi citizens of Pakistan.
- (c) The Ordinance is vague and uncertain and also oppressive.
- (d) That the word "law" used in phrase "subject to law" in Article 20 means positive law and not Islamic Law.
 - (e) The phrase "glory of Islam" as used in Article 19 of the Constitution cannot be availed in respect of the rights conferred in Article 20.
- (f) Use of a badge of 'Kalma" and saying "Azan" are not covered by the Ordinance.
- (g) The Impugned orders Issued under Section 144, Cr.P.C., violate the appellants' fundamental rights about religion and are, therefore, violative of Article 20 of the Constitution.

Bifor proceeding with the contentions as raised, it appears necessary to say, if the general law applied so for, piece specific processes and the specific personal processes are specific personal processes and the specific personal processes are specific personal processes and specific personal processes and specific personal processes are specific personal processes and specific personal processes and specific personal processes and specific processes are processes and processes and processes are processes are processes and processes are processes are process

It is to be noted that it is not only in Pakistan but throughout the World, that laws protect the use of words and phrases which have special connotations or meaning and which if used for other may amount to deceiving on misleading the people. The English Company Law down that a name must not be misleading or suggest a connection with the Crown, a Gorenment Department, or a municipality, and only in exceptional circumstances will "Commencealit" "National", or "Ibernational". The use of words "Compensitive" and "Building Society" is also forbidden. The most important is the rate that name will be refused registration if it is too like the name of an existing company. These provisions have been saidely applied and were never

Section 20 of the Indian Company Law also lays down that no company shall be registered by a name which, in the opinion of the Central Government, is undestrable and the company of the Central Company in existence has been previously registered, will be deemed to be undestrable by the Central Government. The Indian Constitution has similar brandamental Rights as ours but clearly the Central Constitution that there, declaring the restriction violative of these rights.

A law for protection of trade and merchandise marks exists, practically, in every legal system of the world to protect the trade names and marks etc. with the result that no registered trade name or mark of one firm or company can be used by any other concern and a violation thereof, not only entitles the owner of the trade name or mark to receive damages from the violator but it is a criminal offence also.

Here we may refer to English Law. It was held in J. Bollinger V. Costa Brava Wine Company Ltd; [1959] 3. W.L.R. 966 that "An injunction could be obtained to restrain the defendant from continuing a practice that was calculated to deceive, although there was no proof of an intent to deceive".

The Chapter X of the Trade and Merchandise Marks Act, 1958, of India provides penalties for falsifying and falsely applying trade marks or for applying false trade marks, trade descriptions, etc., or for selling goods to which a false trade mark or false description is applied.

The Chapter XVIII of the Indian and Pakistan Penal Codes, contains offences relating to documents and to trade and property marks. Section 481 says "Whoever, marks any newable property or goods or any, package or other receptacle containing movable property or goods or tower theorem, and a manner reasonably calculated to cause it to be believed that the property or goods so marked or any property or goods contained in any receptacle so marked, belong to a person to whom they do not belong is said to use a false property mark. The offence is a first and and is pumbhable with imprisonment of either description for a benchmark of the property marks. The other control of the property marks of the property marks and the property marks and the property marks and the property marks. The other case of the property marks are property marks and the property marks and the property marks and the property marks and the property marks are property marks. The other property marks are property marks and the property marks and the property marks are property marks. The other property marks are property marks and the property marks are property marks and the property marks are property or the property marks and the property marks are property or the property of the property or the property of the property o

Laws similar to above have been in force in Pakistan, and no one challenged them on any ground. We may here refer to section 69 of the Trade Marks Act 1940, which was applicable to the sub-continent of India. The amended section as now applicable in Pakistan is as under:

"69. Restraint of use of Royal Arms and State

- emblems: If a person, without due authority, uses in connection with any trade, business, calling or profession-
- (a) the Royal Arms or Government Arms (or arms to closely resembling the same as to be calculated to deceive) in such manner as to be calculated to lead to the belief that he is duly authorised so to use the Royal Arms or Government Arms. or
 - (b) name, title and semblance of Quaid-i-Azam Muhammad Ali Jinnah and any variations thereof or any device, emblem or tille in such manner as to be calculated to lead to the belief that he is employed by, or supplies goods to, or is connected with. His Maestiv Government or the Federal

Government or any Provincial Government or any department of any such Government, or

(c) the emblem, the official seal and the name or any abbreviation of the name of the United Nations or any subsidiary body set up by the United Nations or of the World Health Cognization in such manner as it to be calculated to lead to the belief the name of the United Nations of the United Nations of the Object of Ceneral in the case of the United Nations of the Director General in the case of the United Nations of the Director General of the World Health Organization in the case of the United Nations of the Object of the O

Provided that nothing in this section shall be construed as affecting the right, if any, of the proprietor of a trade mark containing any such Arms, device, emblem or title to "continue to use such trade mark."

It is thus clear that intentionally using trade power, traced marks, property marks or descriptions of utilizes in order to make believe others that they belong to the user can be imprisoned and limed but damages can be recovered and injunction to restrain him issued. This is true of good even very small value. For example, the Coca Cola of even very small value. For example, the Coca Cola of his own product in his own bottles or other receptacles, marked Coca Cola, even though its price may be a few cents. Further, it is a criminal offence carrying sentences of impronounced and also fine. The principles involved our do impronounced and sto fine. The principles involved our do

Generally speaking, the people who are deceiving others with falsified names are being discouraged, even though the loss may be in terms of pennies. In our case, a law has been made to protect even the title and semblance

of Quaid-i-Azam, without any challenge from any quarter. However, in this Ideological State, the appellants, who are non-Muslims want to pass off their faith as Islam? It must be appreciated that in this part of the world, faith is still the most precious thing to a Muslim believer, and he will not tolerate a government which is not prepared to save him of such decretions on forecries.

The appellants, on the other hand, insist not only for a licence to pass off their Taith as Islam but they also want to attach the exclusive epithets and descriptions etc., of the very reverred Muslim personages to those heretic non-Muslims, who are considered not even a patch on them. In fact the Muslim treat it as defiling and desecration of those personages. Thus the insistence on the part of the appellants and their community to use the prohibited enithets and the "Shaa'ir-e-Islam" leave no manner of doubt even to a common man, that the appellants want to do so intentionally and it may in that case amount to not only defiling those pious personages but deceiving others. And, if a religious community insists on deception as its fundamental right and wants assistance of courts in doing the same, then God help it It has been held by the United States Supreme Court in Cantwell Vs. Connecticut (310 U.S. 296 at 306) that "the cloak of religion or religious belief does not protect anybody in committing fraud upon the public".

Again, If the appellants or their commantly have no edisgins to deceive, why do not they coin their own epithets etc. I Do not they realise that relying on the "Shazin" and other exclusive slags, marks and particles of other religions will will betay the hollowness of their own: religion. It may mean in that event that their new religion cannot progress or expand on its own strength, worth and merit but has to eye on deception? After all there are many other religions in the world and none of them ever susreped the spithets of their own holders proudly and enologies their heroes their own way, It must however, be mentioned here that there is no law in Pakistan which forbids Ahmadis to coin their own epithets etc. and use them exclusively and there is no other restriction of any sort, whatever, against their religion.

It was argued that the finding of the Federal Shariat Court that the Ordinance is not contrary to Quran and Sunnah, is of no consequence, so far as this Court is concerned.

The contention, however, has no merit. The Ahmadis have been declared non-Muslims by Article 260 (3) (b) of the Constitution. This fact has further been affirmed by the Federal Shariat Court of Pakistan, in Mujibur Rehman Vs. Federal Government of Pakistan and another (PLD 1988 FSC 8), for the reason that the Ahmadis do not believe in the finality of pronchetood of Muhammad (Peacle buron him!

They falsify a clear and general verse of Holy Quran by resort to its "Taweel" and import into Islam, heretic concepts like shadowism, incarnation and transmigration.

They were, therefore, asked to restrain themselves from directly or indirectly posing as Muslims or claiming level rights of Muslims.

The Federal Shariat Court further held that the word "Shahib" and "Albebali" are used by Muslims for companions and member of the family of Holy Prophet respectively, all of whom were the set Muslims. The Court observed that use of such epithets, which are exclusive for companions of Trophet, his wives and members of his family, to you can be seen to be seen to the family, companions and success of may discise the family companions and success of may discise they exply that the bearers of such epithets are good Muslims. It was there stated that calling of "Acan" and naming place of worship as "Masjid", is considered a sure sign of the person calling "Acan" or of persons congregating or paying in the mosque as being Muslims. It was thus held that the previous of the Ordinance banning use of these than the provisions of the Ordinance banning use of these than the provisions of the Ordinance banning use of the Ahmadis cannot be relievation in the Ordinance that the

any manner directly or indirectly, is in implementation of the constitutional objective.

As regards "Shazir of Islam' (distinctive characteristics), the Court held in Islamic Shazir about allow a non-Muslim to adopt them and if an Islamic Start about allow a non-Muslim to adopt them and if an Islamic Start of them (without embracing Islam), it will be its failure of ulcicharge its duties. An Islamic state, like a secular state, from adopting Shazire-Islami, to propagate their own believes and the start of the secular state, and allower section to propagate their own believes and the start of the section of the se

It is to be noted that Mujibur Rehman and others had challenged the above order of the Federal Shariat Court in the Shariat Appellate Beach of the Supreme Court (Ser-PLD 1988 S.C. (Shariat Appellate Bench - 167), under Article 205-F of the Constitution but withdrew it later for the reasons best known to the appellants. This Court in that appeal held as under:

"Judgment of the Federal Shariat Court shall rule the field".

The present appeal has been filed and is being heared on the general side, under Art. 185 of the Constitution.

The Chapter 3-A of the Constitution was induced in the Constitution on 26th May, 1960 I contains Articles (201-A to Articles 203-). The Article 203-D of the Constitution lays down that the provisions of Chapter-3-A shall have clear obsorbing the Constitution and the Constitution and the Constitution of the Constitution and the Constitution arther Article 203-F, no court or tribunal, including the Supreme Court and a High Court, shall entertain any proceeding or exercise any power or jurisdiction in respect of any matter within the power or jurisdiction of the Court.

that a finding of the Federal Shariat Court, if the same is either not challenged in the Shariat Appellate Bench of the Supreme Court or challenged but maintained, would be binding even on the Supreme Court.

Consequently, the above given findings of the Federal Shariat Court cannot be ignored by this Court.

The next point needing consideration is whether Corlinance XX of 1984, expressly and in no uncertain terms, is total denial of religious freedom guaranteed under Article 20 of the Constitution to the Ahmadi citizens of Pakistan. In order to appreciate further the contention it is encessary to know the relevant law and the facts which mean to have denied the guaranteed religious freedom to the appellant's sect.

Section 298-B which is relevant to this case, reads as under:

"298-B, - Misuse of epithets, descriptions and titles etc., reserved for certain personages or places.- (i) Any person of Quadiani group or the Lahori group (who call themselves "Ahmadis or by any other name) who by words, either snoken or written, or by visible representation.

- (a) refers to or addresses, any person, other than a Caliph or companion of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), as "Amirui Mumineen", "Khalifa-tul-Muslimeen", Sahaabi", or "Razi Allah Anho;
- (b) refers to, or addresses, any person other than a wife of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon hlm), as Ummul Mumineen';
 - (c) refers to, or addresses, any person other than a member of the family (Ahle-bait) of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), as Able-bait or
 - (d) refers to or names, or calls his place of worship as "Masjid"; shall be punished with imprisonment

of either description for a term which may extend to three years, and shall also be liable to fine.

2. Any person of the Quaditani or Labori Group (who call themselves "Ahmadig or by any other name) who by words either spoken or tiero, or by visible representation refers to the mode of form of call to the prayers followed by the faith a visible representation of the property of th

Section 298-C reads as under-

Ferson of Quadiani group, etc., calling limed a Muslim or preaching or propagating his faith. Appearson of Quadiani group, or the presson of Quadiani group, or by any other name, who, directly or indirectly, poses himself a Muslim, or calls, or refers to his faith as lallm, or preaches or propagates his faith, or invites others to accept his eight, by worsh there poten or written, or by rain or preaches the pressure of the pre

The contents of the Ordinance XX of 1986 have been reproduced above. They probibly the community of the appellants to use certain epithets, descriptions and titles etc., mentioned therein. It may be mentioned that Mr. Fakhruddin G. Brahim, the learned counsel, excluding the visuality of unlessed to the content of the Archive that the Resident Magistrate mentioned in the beginning of the petition banned their centerany celebrations, in the Province of Punjab, prohibiting them from the activities reproduced in pra 3 show and sufficient or removating ages, but we will be sufficient to the province of the province of the sufficient of the province of the order has also been spell to it in the last

direction to say, that no other activity which may directly, soil indirectly incite and injure the feelings of Muslims, soil be undertaken. The above restrictions, clearly mean such activities which might have been performed in the public activities. The properties of the properties of the properties The actions had been challenged in the High Court through Witt petitions, pleading violation of fundamental rights. The facts which were given by the appellants themselves and on which the orders were passed, will therefore, be and on which the orders were passed, will therefore,

Article 20 provides as hereunder:-

"Freedom to profess religion and to manage religious institutions. Subject to law, public order and morality.

- (a) every citizen shall have the right to profess, practise and propagate his religion; and
- (b) every religious denomination and every sect thereof shall have the right to establish, maintain and manage its religious institutions."

The fundamental right, relevant hence is the freedom to profess religion but it has been made "subject to law, public order and morality". The courts of other countries, which have similar fundamental rights, have held that this right embraces two concepts; freedom to be absolute but others said that, that too was subject to be absolute but others said that, that too was subject to high, cannot be about a coverding to them, conduct remains subject to regulation for the protection of the society. So the freedom to act town that was perpopriate definition to preserve the enforcement of that protection. The phrase "subject to law," on the other hand, does neither invest the legislature with unlimited power to multy restrict or take away the Fundamental Rights guaranteed in the Constitution, nore, and the protection of the protectio

interpretation, keeping in view the peculiar circumstances of each case, (See Jesses Cantwell etc Vs. State of Connecticut, 310 US 296) and Tikamdas anothers Vs. Divisional Evacuee Trust Committee, Karachi, PLD 1968 Kar 703 (F.B.)

The Supreme Court of America in the case of Reynolds Vo. Linited States, 98 ls 118) held that "Congress was deprived of all tegitaltible power over mere opinion, but was left free to reach actions which were in violation of social duties or subversive of good order — Laws are made for the government of actions, and while they cannot interfere with mere religious beliefs and opinions, they may with reactions."

After taking the above view, the Supreme Court felt judicial to ban polygamy, as it was being practised by Mormons sect on the ground that it was a duty imposed on them by their religion and was not a religious belief or opinion. It must be noted here that the observations in the last part of the above paragraph are peculiar to America where the people and not Allah are the sovereign.

The Supreme Court of India, in the Commissioner Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras V. Sri Lakshmindra etc. (A.I.R. 1945 S.C. 282 at P. 291), approved the view similar to the above, and as taken by Latham CJ in the case from Australia, to say that:

"The provision for protection of religion was not an absolute protection to be interpreted and applied independently of other provisions of the constitution. These privileges must be reconciled with the right of the State to employ the sowerign power to ensure paces, escurity and orderly living without which constitutional guarantee of civil liberty would be a mockery".

It has been observed at page 127 as under:-

"In the United States the problems created by this provision have been solved in large measure by holding that the provision for the protection of religion is not on absolute, to be interpreted and applied independently of other provisions of the Constitution. The Supreme Court said in Jones Vs. Opellak (1943) 310 to 1.58 shat p. 380, with reference to the constitutional guarantees of freedom of speech, freedom of press and freedom of religion: "They are not absolutes to be exercised independently of other cheriched privilege, protected by the same organic theoretical privilege, protected by the same organic overcises of the control of the

It has been further observed at page 130 as follows:
"The free exercise and enjoyment of religious profession and worship, without discrimination or

preference, shall forever hereafter be allowed, within this State, to all mankind: Provided, that the liberty of conscience, hereby granted, shall not be so construed as to excuse acts of

granted, shall not be so construed as to excuse acts of licentiousness, or justify practices Inconsistent with the peace or safety of this State.:

Again at page 131, it has been observed as herounder-John Stuart Mill in his Essay on Liberty critically examines the idea of liberty, and his discussion of the subject is widely accepted as a weighty exposition of principle. The author had to make the distinction which is other made to make the distinction which is the subject of the property of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the apply in practice. He recognized that liberty did not mean the licence of individuals to do just what they pleased, because such liberty would mean the absence of law and of order, and ultimately the absence of law and of order, and ultimately the the limits of liberty when he said: The sole end for which mankful are, warranted, individually or which mankful are, warranted, individually or collectively, in interfering with the liberty of action of any of their member, is self-protection."

At the same page it has beer further observed that:
"It is consistent with the maintenance of religious

liberty for the State to restrain actions and courses of conduct which are inconsistent with the maintenance of civil government or prejudicial to the continued existence of the community."

The above observations were made while interpreting Section 116 of the Constitution which reads as follows: "The Commonwealth shall not make any law for

establishing any religion, or for imposing any religious observance, or for prohibiting the free exercise of any religion, and no religious test shall be required as a qualification for any office or public trust under the Commonwealth."

At page 155 of the aforesaid case, the following observations are relevant:-

The constitutional provision does not protect unsocial actions or actions subversive of the community litself. Consequently the liberty and freedom of religion guaranteed and protected by the Constitution is subject to limitations which it is the function and the duty of the courts of law to expected. And those limitations are such as are reasonably more consequently and in secretary for the protection of the community and in secretary for the protection of the community and in

It may, therefore, be necessary to know, what is eligion, the freedom of which restricts the right of the Governments to legislate and take action. Scholars give different origins of the word. Religion is a complex of doctrines and practices and institutions, it is a statement of belief in God, in a world of spirits and aworld or worlds that the beyond the one in which we live. In its more collegulal sense, a religion is sported as a collegion of the control of the c

In Davies Vs. Beason [1890 (133) US 333], the American Supreme Court defined it as unders-

"The term "religion" has reference to one's views of his relation to his creator and the obligations they impose of reverence for His Being and character and of obedience to His will. It is often confounded with cults or form of worship of a particular sect, but is distinguishable from the latter."

The term is not expressly, defined in the Constitution of Pakistan as such but its meaning may be gathered from the definitions of "Muslim" and "non-Muslim", in its Article 260(3) (a) and (b), which are as under:

"260(3)- In the Constitution and all enactments and other legal instruments, unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context-

- (a) "Musllin" means a person who believes in the unity and onnesse of Almighty Allah, in the absolute and unqualified Prophethood of Mushamash (peace be upon him), the last of prophets and does not believe in, or recognise as a prophet or religious reformer, any person who claimed claims to ker fijinen, the sense of claimed of the property of the property of the Mushamash (preace be unon him); and
- (b) "non-Muslim" means a person who is not a Muslim and includes a person belonging to the Christian, Hindu, Sikh, Budhist or Parsi community, a person of the Quadiani Group or Lahord Group (who call themselves "Ahmadis" or by any other name) or a Bahai, and a person belonging to any of the Scheduled Caster to any of the Scheduled Caster.

There is no definition of the term "religion", in the Constitutions of India or America or Australia either However, the Indian Supreme Court, in the case of Commissioner H.R.E. Vs. Laskshmindra Swamiar (A.I.R. 1956 S.C. 282), Interpreted the term in the following

"Religion is a matter of faith with individuals or communities and is not necessarily theistic. There are well known religions in India like Buddhism and Jalinsaw which do not believe in God. A religion undoubtedly has its basis in a system of beliefs or doctrines which are regarded by those who profess that religion as conductive to their spiritual well stated to the profession of the p

A religion may not only lay down a code of ethical rules for its followers to accept, it might prescribe rituals and observances, ceremonies and mode of worship which are regarded as integral parts of the religion, and these forms and observance might even extend to matters of food and dress."

The Supreme Court went on to say, in para 19 of the Judgment that:

"In the finite place, what constitutes the essential part of a religion is primarily to be ascertained with reference to the doctrines of that religion Itself. If the tentes of any religions set of illinuity perceibe that religions the contract of the religion Itself. If the tentes of any religions set of illinuity perceibe that home, of the day, that periodical exemendes should be performed in a certain way at creatin period of the year of that there should be daily recital of the sacred texts or oblations to the ascerd fire. All these would be regarded as parts of the celligion and more fact that they are expenditure of money—should not make

The Court, after noting that the American and Australian Courts have declared in unrestricted terms, without any limitation whatsoever, the freedom of religion, observed that:

"the language of Articles 25 and 26 is sufficiently clear to enable us to determine without the aid of foreign authorities as to what matters come within the purview of religion and what not. As we have already indicated, freedom of religion in our Constitution is not confined to religious beliefs only; it extends to religious practices as well subject to restrictions which the Constitution itself has laid down".

The Court then did go into the question whether certain matters appertalned to religion and concluded by saving that:

"these are certainly not matters of religion and the objection raised with regard to validity of these provisions seem to be altogether baseless."

The same Court in Durghah Committee V. Hussain Ali (A.I.R. 1961 S.C. 1402) in para 33, Gajendragadkar, J. struck a note of caution and observed as under:-

"Whilst we are dealing with this point if may not be out of place to strike a not of caution and observe that in order that the precice in question should be that the precice in question should be the said religion as it is essential and integral part of the said religion are get to be seen that the said religion are get to the said that the said religion are get to the said that the said religion are get to the said that the said religion are get to the said that the said

The same Court in Jagdishwaranand Vs. Police Commissioner, Calcutta (A.I.R. 1984 S.C. 51) in para 10, held as follows:

"Courts have the power to determine whether a particular rite or observance is regarded as essential by the tenets of a particular religion". It has been seen above, in the judgments of foreign scular counts that though religious practices are protected by the term. "freedom of religion" yet only such practices are protected by the term. "freedom of religion yet only such practices and the process of the religion to the religion to the process of the religion to the religion of the relig

The appellants, therefore, had to first enumerate the practices that justeed do perform at the contenary celebrations and then show that they were essential end integral part of their religion, before the court could end are that they, as essential and integral part, were unlawfully denied by the impagend law or the executive orders? The appellants, however, have not explained how the epithets etc., and the various planned ceremonies are essential part of their religion and that they have to be performed only in the could be about the coul

It will also be noted that If the impugned law is a valid piece of legislation, and the respondents, had taken the impugned actions, in the interest of law and order, then unless It can be shown that the same were taken malafide are or without factual justification, the question of denial of quadamental rights may not arise. The law on the point has set under the control of the point has been well settled in various jurisdictions and It may be useful to cite them.

Latham C.J. in Jehovah's Witnesses case, Adelaide Vs. Commonwealth, referred to above, while dealing with the provisions of Section 116 of the Australian constitution which inter alia forbids the Commonwealth to prohibit "the free exercise of any religion" made the following observations:

(1) Section 116 protects the religion (or absence of religion) of minorities, and, in particular, or unpopular minorities (p. 124) although it is true

- that in determining what is religious and what is not religious the current application of word religion must necessarily be taken into account.

 (2) Section 116 protects practices as well as beliefs.
- Section 116 protects practices as well as beliefs. (P. 124)
- (3) As to free exercise of religion: the word "freedomen on mean license. The concept of freedom can only be evaluated in a particular context. For example free speech does not mean the right to create a panic by calling out "fire" in a crowded theatre. Likewise as various American cases show, and the context of the
- (4) The High Court is arbiter of the occasion when a legislative provision unduly infringes religious freedom. This makes it possible to accord a real measure of practical protection to religion without involving the community in anarchy.
- Consequently, the court held that the doctrine expressed by Jehovah's Witnesses as to the non cooperation with the Commonwealth in terms of military obligation was prejudicial to the defence of the community and Section 116 did not give immunity to it. So the rule laid down there is that a law imposing civic duties could not be characterized as a law infinients relieuous freedom.

Justice Hughes in Willis Coxv. New Hampshire (1941 (31.2) US 569) also enlightened the same subject to say:

"A statute requiring persons using the public street for a parade or procession to procure a special license therefor from the local authorities, does not constitute an action of the local submittee, does not constitute an experimental of the local street, and the local group marching along a sidewalk in single file carrying signs and playcards advertising their religious belights." We have referred to the above view from such countries, which claim to be the secular and liberal, and not religious or fundamentalists. The same principles were applied by the Indian Supreme Court in Muhammad Hanif Qurebai and others Vs. State of Bihar (AIR 1985 S.C. 731) to hold that certain laws banning slaughter of certain andish did not violate the fundamental rights of Muslims under Article 25(b), as there was no material to substantiate the daint that the section of principles of a cover on Balefa-fa-by, was builted and does not be substantial to the section of by Hane, no cabibit a Mussiman's builted and does not be substantial to the section of the Haneston of the substantial to the section of the Haneston of the substantial to the section of the Haneston of the substantial to the section of the Haneston of the substantial to the section of the Haneston of the substantial to the section of the substantial to the section of the substantial to the substantial to the section of the substantial to th

The same Court in Acharya Jagdishwaranand Avadhutta etc. Vs. Commissioner of Police, Calcutta, (AIR 1984 S.C. 51) held as follows:-

prescribed as a religious right for every follower of Annada Marg it does not follow as a necessary corollary that tandava dance to be performed in the public is a matter of religious rise. Consequently, the claim that the petitioner has a fundamental right within the meaning of Article 25 or 26 to perform tandava dance in public streets and public places is lable to be ejected."

The American Court held in the following cases that there was no violation of constitutional guarantee of freedom of exercise of religion. Mr. S. Shart[uddin Pirzada in his book "Fundamental Rights and Constitutional Remedies in Pakistan" (1966 Edition) at pp. 313-314 and 317 has observed as follows:

(i) In Hamilton Vs. Board of Regents of University of California, (1994) 293 US 245, where studies appealed to the Supreme Court that the act of the university to make a regulation for computory military training, was contrary to their religious belief, the court rejected the contention, holding that the "Government over Court Price of the theory of the Court Price of the Court Price of the adequate strength to ministral praces and order and assure the enforcement of law. And every citizen owes the reciprocal duty, according to his capacity, to support and defend the Government against all enemies."

- (ii) The plea of fundamental right was rejected in Commonwealth Vs. Plaisted [1889] 148 Mass 373], by the Massachusettes Supreme Court in a case where Law prohibits the use of streets for religious meetings, or the beating of drums though it is a part of religious cremony of such organisation as the salvation army.
- (iii) Where the statute requires a parent to provide medical treatment for a child suffering from disease even if not in accordance with religious belief of the parents.
 - (iv) Freedom of rellgions does not necessarily imply absolute equality of treatment, and in fact regard must be had to the special position of Church of England. ("The United Kingdom" by G. W. Keeton and D. Lioyed, pp. 67-68.

The above views, as they are prevalent, in the above jurisdiction, do go to show that freedom of religion will deal not be allowed to interfere with the law and order or public peace and transpullity. It is based on the principle that the state will not permit anyone to violate or take away the transmental rights of others, in the enjoyment of the own defile the religion. See June 1997, the religious feelings, so as to give rise to law and order situation. So whenever or wherever the state has reasons to believe, that the peace and order will be disturbed on the believe, that the peace and order will be disturbed on the religious feelings of others may be injured, as as to create the religious feelings of others may be injured, as as to create the religious feelings of others may be injured, as as to create the religious feelings of others may be injured, as as to create the religious feelings of others may be injured, as as to create the religious feelings of the religious that the religious feelings of the religious feelings of the religious that the religious feelings of the religious feeli

The Muslims think that the birth of this Ahmadia community during the English rule, in the subcontinent, among the Muslims society, was a serious and organised attack on its ideological frontiers. They consider it as permanent threat to their integrity and solidarity, because the social-political organisation of the Musalim society is used to be considered to the form of the consideration of the consideration of the form of the consideration of the cons

Allama Igbal says, "I became suspicious of the Quadiani movement when the claim of new prophethood, superior even to the prophethood of the Founder of Islam, was definitely put forward, and Musalim world was declared "Katir" (infidel), Later, my suspicion developed into a positive world when I beard with any some ears an into a positive world when I beard with any some ears an Islam in a disparaging language", (See "Thoughts and Reflection of 16th, page 287: 1975 Edition).

As a matter of fast, the Ahmadis, internally, had declared themselves the real multim community, by alienating and excommunicating the main body of Muslims, on the ground that as they did not accept Miraz Ghulam Ahmad as the prophet and the promised Messiah, they may infidely. This beliefs is held under the internal control of Miraz Ghulam Ahmad himself, who had

- (a) "Every Muslim loves my books, benefits from the contents thereof and accepts them except those who are offsprings of whores and prostitutes and whose hearts have been sealed. "(Asinae Kamalast Islam, page 547 and 548), One may note the language of a "prophet" and the effect it can have on the addressees.
- b) There are many more examples of the language like the above but just one more may suffice for the present: "My enemies are swines and their

women are worse than bitches. "(Najmul Huda by Ghulam Ahmad, page 10).

(c) Quoting Mirza Ghuiam Ahmad, his second caliph, Mirza Bashiruddin Ahmad (also his son), in his address to the students, as reported in Alfazal, 30th July, 1931, advised them as to their relationship with the main body of Muslims, as under:

"This discussion has been going on since the days of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad whether the Ahmadis should have their permanent places of theological learning or not. One view was against it. Their argument was that the few differences between the Ahmadis and Muslims had been resolved by Hazrat Sahib and he has taught the reasons also. As regards the others they can be learnt in the other schools. The other view was for It. Then Mirza Sahib came to clarify that it was incorrect to say that the differences of Ahmadis with the Muslims were only about the death of Jesus Christ and some other issues. According to him the differences encompassed the entity of Almighty Allah, the person of the Holy Prophet, Ouran, Prayers, Fasting, Pilgrimage and Zakat, He then explained every item in detail."

(d) "It has been revealed to me by Allah that any one who does not follow you, does not covenant his allegiance to you and rather opposes you, he is a rebel of Allah and his prophet and shall be entrusted to the fire of Hell." (Advertisement in Meyarul Akhyar from Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Ouddinni, nee 8h.)

(a) Addressing his followers Mirra Sahih stated:

"Remember, that Allah has informed me that it is prohibited for you, to offer prayers in the leadership of the ones who deny me, belie me or reject me.

- Rather, your leader in prayers should be one from amongst you. "(Arbaeen No. 3 page 28 footnote). (f) "Now It is clear and if has been repeatedly said in
- revelations about me that I have been sent by Allah, ordained by Allah, am a delegatee of Allah, have come from Allah and you have to believe whatever I say otherwise you will go to Hell." (Anjame-e-Atham by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Quadiani, page 62).
 - (g) "Those who are my opponents have been included in the list of Christians, Jews and infidels. "(Nazool-ul-Masih, Quadian, 1990).
 - (Nazoot-u)-Masih, Quadian, 1990.
 (h) "One who does not believe in me does not believe in Allah and Holy Prophet, as their prophesy about me is there." (Haqiqat-ul-Wahi. 1906, page 16-16-16).
 - (i) When somebody is said to have asked Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as to what is the harm tooffer prayers in the leadership of those who did not consider him infield, the in a long reply concluded that "a long advertisement be published by such leaders of prayers, about those declaring me an infield and then 1 shall consider them a Muslim so that you follow them in prayers....." (Bada., 24th May, 1988, as recorded in Majmus Fataava Ahmadis, Vol. 1 page 307).
 - Animatis, vol. 1 page 307).

 (j) "Almighty Allah has revealed to me that any one who received my message and has not believed in me is an infidel." (See the letter of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad to Dr. Abdul Rahim Khan Patialvi, Hagiojatul Wahi page 1631).
 - (k) "One who mischievously repeats that Mirza Sahib's prophessies about the death of Atham were incorrect and that the Christians won the debate and instead of acting justly and fairly, and accepting my victory, raises allegations, he shall be considered to be found of being known as the

illegitimate and not a legitimate issue. "(Anwarul Islam, by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, page 30).

There are scores of other similar writings, not only by Miras Sahlb himself but his so called 'callphs' and followers proving, without any shadow of doubt, that they are religiously and socially, a community separate and different from the Muslim

Sir Muhammad Zafarullah Khan, who was the Foreign Minister of Pakistan, had refused to Join the congregation, offering prayers, to pay last homage to the departed soul of Quaide-eAzam, the father of the Nation, by saying that he may be considered as a Muslim Foreign Minister of a non-Muslim state, or a non-Muslim foreign Minister of a Muslim state, Quaity Zamindan, Lahore, Fe B. 8, 1950).

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad had forbidden his followers from marrying their daughters with non-Ahmadis and from praying along with them. According to him the main body of the Muslims could, at the most, be treated like Christians.

In fact Mirza Bashiruddin Ahmad, the second Caliph and son of Mirza Sahib, is reported to have said:

"that through an emissary, I requested an English officer that our separate rights be determined to those of the Parsses and Christians. The officer that the paramaterities while you are a religious sect. On that I said that even Parsses and Christians are religious communities and if they only the paramater of the 12. 1946.

It is thus clear that according to Ahmadis themselves, both the sections Le, Ahmadis and the malls hody cannot be Muslims at the same time. If one is Muslim, the other is not. Further, the Ahmadis slaveys wasted to be a separate entity and claim a status, distinct and separate from the others. The main body of Muslims also never wanted to reported above, the Ahmadis were prepared even to be reported above, the Ahmadis were prepared even to be rested as a minority with separate and distinct rights. They, as a religious community are, rather opposed to Muslims and have always endeavoured not to mix with them. In fact they declared the whole Muslim 'Ummah' as infidels, as said above. However, they being an insignificant minority could not impose their will. On the other hand, the main body of Muslims, who had been waging a campaign against their (Ahmadis') religion, since Its inception, made a decision in 1974, and declared them instead, a non-Muslims minority, under the Constitution itself. As seen above, it was not something sudden, new and undesirable but one of their own choice; only the sides were changed. The Ahmadis are, therefore, non-Muslims; legally and constitutionally and are, of their own choice, a minority opposed to Muslims. Consequently, they have no right to use the epithets etc., and the 'Shaa' ire Islam, which are exclusive to Muslims and they have been rightly denied their use by law.

- As given above, the constitution of Pakistan declares Ahmadis non-Muslims. Undoubtedly, they are an insignificant minority, and have, because of their belief, been considered heretic and so non-Muslims, by the main right to oust dissiderate has been recognized, in favour of the main body of a religion or a denomination, by the courts, and a law prohibiting such an action was declared ultra view of the fundamental rights, by the Indian Supreme court. Reference be made to the case of Snatter, 1995 SSC, 833, where it was also held in part 40 as under-

exercise of this power of excommunication on religious grounds forms part of the management by the community through its engigeous head, of its own daffairs in the matter of vilgion. The impugned Act makes even such excommunity and takes away the power of the 'Dal' as head of the community to excommunicate even on either the order of the community excommunicate even on either with the right of Davoode Bohras community under ct. (b) of Art. 28 of the Constitution."

"(41) That excommunication of a member of a community will affect many of his civil rights is undoubtedly true. This particular religious denomination is possessed of properties and the necessary consequence of excommunication will be that the excommunicated member will loses his right of enjoyment of such property. It loses his right of enjoyment of such property. It might be thought undesirable that the head of the religious community would have the power to take away in this manner the civil rights of any person. The right given under Art. 26 (b) has not, however, been made subject to preservation of civil rights. The express limitation in Art 26 itself is that this right under the several clauses of the article will exist, subject to public order, morality and health. It has been held by this Court in 1958 SCMR 895: (A.I.R. 1958 SC 255) that the right under Art 26 (b) is subject further to C1.2 of Art 25 of the Constitution."

Even the Privy Council approved similar power of the man body of a religion in Hassan Ali and others V. Mansoor Ali and others (ARI 1948 PC6) at pars 33. The following observations of their Lordships may be reproduced with advantages.

"The next question is whether the Dai-ul-Mutlaq has the power of excommunication. It was undoubtedly exercised by Muhammad and the Imams. The grounds and effects of its exercise will later be considered. At the moment it is only necessary to say that there are instances of its exercise in the community from time to time by the Dais."

As said above, the Ahmadis, also always wanted to be a separate entity, of their own choice, religiously and socially. Normally, they should have been pleased on achieving their objective, particularly, when it was secured for them by the Constitution itself. Their disappointment is that they wanted to oust the rest of the Muslims as infidels and retain the tag of Muslims. Their grievance thus is that they have been excommunicated and branded as non-Muslims, unjustly. The reason of their frustration and dismay may be that now, probably, they cannot operate successfully, their scheme of conversion, of the unwary and non-Muslims, to their faith, May be, it is for this reason that they want to usure the Muslims epithets, descriptions etc, and display 'Kalma' and say 'Azan' so as to pose as Muslims and preach and propagate in the garb of Muslims with attractive tenets of Islam. The label of non-Muslims seems to have become counter productive.

The urgs by the Ahmadis to somehow retain, all the precivable signs of Muslians seems necessitated to pass of their religion with the dubious stane and the message, as slam and for that matter their define of the Ordinance is quite understandable. The Constitution, however, is interi say, as the Ordinance only additile its risent and helding out for Quadinat that he is Muslim, without first denouncing his faith, is not only a clear violation of the Ordinance but also the Constitution. Events like that have en and may also be occurring in future, and be en and may also be occurring in future, and

The contention that the impugned Ordinance is vague and oppressive has not even been supported by the appellants. It may be useful to reproduce section 298-C again for ready reference: Section 298-C reads as under: Person of Quadiani group, etc., calling himself a Muslim or preaching or

"Any person of the Quadiani group or the Lahori group (who call themselves 'Ahmadis' or by any other name), who, directly or indirectly, poses himself a Muslim, or calls, or refers to, his faith as Islam, or preaches or propagates his faith, or invites others to accept his faith, by words either spoken or written, or by visible representations, or in any manner whatsoever outrages the religious feelings of Muslims, shall punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years and shall also be liable to fine."

The objection is taken specifically to the phrase ".....poses himself a Muslim his falth as Islam...." According to Black's Law Dictionary, 'vague' means indefinite; uncertain; not susceptible of being understood. Under this principle, a law which does not fairly inform a person of what is commanded or prohibited, is unconstitutional, being violative of the 'due process'. The judgments from Indian jurisdiction and Ghulam Zamir Vs.A.B. Khondkar (P.L.D. 1956 S.C 156), cited by the appellants, also have no bearing on the case, it is are ued that the phrase "who, directly or indirectly, poses himself as a Muslim or calls, or refers to his faith as Islam " is too broad and wide, and too undetermined and volatile and too indefinite and uncertain, for anybody to understand and anticipate what acts are being prohibited by the Legislature. Consequently, it is urged that it cannot be called a law and must be struck down as such.

There may be no dispute about the proposition that if a law goes beyond the frontiers that are fixed for a legislature or where a law infringers a fundamental right, or a law, particularly, criminal, is eggie, uncertain or broad, it must be struck down as a vold law, to the action of the domenstrated as to where it had vagueness. In order to succeed, the appellants ought to have shown that the constituents of the offence, as given in the law are so indefinite that line between innocent and condemneed conduct cannot be drawn or there are attendent dangers of arbitrary and discriminatory enforcement or halt it is so vague on the face of it that common man must recessarily

According to the dictionary, "pose" means to claim or propound. In this case the law is addressing the members of Quadiani or Lanori group. They have a historical back ground of serious conflict with the main body of Muslims, for the beliefs the relevant of which may be discussed later.

These have already been discussed in some details in the judgment of Mujibu: Rehman (PLD 1985 FSC 8) and also in ship is himself a prophet and those who do not velieve in and follow him are infidels. The right to the use of the above mentioned epithets etc., by the Ahmadis, for those connection alone and is to be seen in that light. So it will only be a question of fact, to be proved by evidence, that the accused did use the epithets etc., or if his attitude or conduct amounted to that what is provided in the law. The appellants are, undoubtedly Ahmadis, and are non-Muslims according to the Constitution. Their use of the "Shaa"ir-e-Islam" etc., thus amounts to either posing as Muslims or to deceive others or to ridicule. In any case, the fact whether they were posing as such can be clearly proved. They, therefore, have not made out a case and are raising only a controversy without a sound basis. Lindoubtedly there is no vacueness is the law at all.

The Pakistan penal Code which is mostly the same as Indian Penal Code, contains offence of personation, in sections 140, 170, 171, 171-D, 205, 229 and 416. This offence is somewhat similar to the one under discussion and its wording may also be considered to test the plear atsect.

Section 140 says whoever, not being a soldier, sailor, or airman in the Military, Navel or Air Service of the Government of Pakistan, wears any garb or carries any token resembling any garb or token used by such a soldier, sailor or airman... shall be runished......

Section 171 similarly makes offence wearing garb etc. used by a class of public servants. These two section rely on visible indicators.

Section 171D, makes offence even applying for a voting paper or votes in the name of another person whether living or dead. The evidence in that case will be only of that conduct.

Section 205 is a different breed altogether. It provides; whoever, falsely personates a tother, and in such assumed character makes any admission or statement... shall be nurshed...

Section 229 creates an offence to become a juror by personation or otherwise. Last is section 416, to cheat by personation by pretending to be some other person.

No objection of the nature, as raised by the appellants, he ever been taken by any one against any of the above actions; since 1860, when this Code was promulgated and enforced, though these sections deal with a similar subject but may not claim the precision deawning the appellants. Even no court ever suggested any vagueness or other deficiency, so as to hinder their administration. The phrase mentioned above that does not suffer form any such

The impugned Ordinance, on the other hand, gives the actual epithets, the descriptions and also titles and other requirements sought to be protected or imposed. It is

defect

also stated that they cannot be used for entities or situations other than those for whom they have been prescribed. The Ahmadis have been descerating them and decive the people that they are also of the same type status and the calibre. This practice not only decived immercial, simple and not-well-informed people but also created law was, therefore, necessary, which in any way does not interfere with the religious freedom of the Ahmadis for it only probabits them from using those epithets etc., on which they have no dains off any nature. It does not

We may test the plea further in the light of some foreign jurisdiction. The United States Supreme Court, observed in Lancetta Vs. New Jersey, (306 LIS, 431, 1930) that vagueness is a constitutional vice conceptually distinct from overbreadth in that an overboard law need lack mether clarity nor precision, and a vague law need not reach activity protected by the first amendment. As a matter of the groups of the process, law is void on the face of the lift it is not despread to the process.

"of common inlelligence musi necessarily guess at its meaning and differ as io its application". (See Connally Vs. General Constitution Co. (1926) 269 U.S. 383, 301.

Such vagueness occurres when a legislature states its prescriptions in terms so indefinite that line between innocent and condemned conduct becomes a matter of guess work and that the discretion of law enforcement discriminatory enforcement, be limited by explicit legislature standards. The pike againess no help from the above either, as the contents of the law, in the light of the contributions and the "Shaaire bland" seem to be precise contributions and the "Shaaire bland" seem to be precised.

It has also been discussed in detail above that legislation just to preserve law and order has never been considered oppressive in any country of the world. Again, no legal system in the world will allow a community, howsover vocal, organised, affluent or influential it may be, to cheat others of their faith or rights, usurp their heritage and to deliberately and knowingly do such acts or take such measures as may create law and order situation.

The other submission raised on behalf of the appellant that the word 'law' used in the phrase' subject to law', in Article 20, means 'positive law' and not Islamic law. Reliance was placed on the following cases decided by this Court:

Asma Jilani case, PLD 1972 SC 139 Brig. (Rtd.) F.B.AH. Vs. The State, PLD 1975 SC 506

Federation of Pakistan V. United Sugar Mills, Ltd, Karachi, PLD 1977 SC 397

Fauji foundation Vs. Shamimur Rehman, PLD 1983 SC-457. The contention, however, has not impressed us at all.

The term 'positive law' according to Black's Law Dilinoury, is the law actually, neared or adopted by proper authority for the government of an organised jural society. So this term comprises not only enacted law but also adopted law. It is to be noted that all the above-neted cases were decided prior to the induction of Article 2A in the constitution, subskit seaks a unders.

2-A Objectives Resolution to form part of substantive provisions

"The principles and provisions set out in the Objectives Resolution

reproduced in the Annex are hereby made substantive part of the Constitution and shall have effect accordingly."

It was for the first time in the constitutional history of Pakistan, that the Objective Resulution, which henceforth formed part of every Constitution, as a preamble, was adopted and incorporated in the Constitution in 1985, and made its effective part. This was and of the adoption of a body of law by reference, which is not unknown to the construction of the control of the constitution of the conforced. Here in this country, it had been done after every martial law was imposed or the constitutional order extended after the Illing of martial law. The legislature in the British days had also adopted the Muslim and other were considered as also positive law meanure, and the were considered as the positive law meanure, and the

This was the stage, when the chosen representatives of people, for the first time accepted the sovereignty of Alb, as the operative part of the Constitution, to be binding on them and vowed that they will secrols only the delegate powers, within the limits fixed by Allah. The power of indicial review of the superior courts also got enhanced.

The above mentioned constitutional change has been accepted as effective by the Supreme Court. Mr. Justice Nasim Hasan Shah, considering the changed authority of the representatives of the people in the case, Pakistan V.S. Public at Large, (PLD 1987 SC 304 at n. 356.) stated as follows:

"Accordingly unless it can be shown definitely that the body of Muslims sitting in the legislature have enacted something which is forbidden by Almighty Allah in the Holy Quran or by the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet or of some principle emanating by occessary intendment therefrom no Court can declare such an enactment to be un-islamie;

Mr. Justice Shafiur Rahman, in his judgment in the same case, also relied on the Article 2A (Objectives resolution,) in forming, his view at pages 361 and 362, of the above judgment as follows:

"The concept of delegated authority held in trust enshrined in verse 58 has invariably and consistently been given an extended meaning. Additionally all authority being delegated authority and being trust, and a sacred one for that matter, must have well defined limits on its enjoyment or exercise. In the Holy Quran more so, but also both in the Western and Eastern jurisprudence delegated authority held in trust has the following attributes:

- The authority so delegated to , and held in trust by, various functionaries of the State including its head must be exercised so as to protect, preserve, effectuate and advance the object and purposes of the trust,
 All authority so enjoyed must be accountable at
- every stage, and at all times, like that of trustee, both in hierarchical order going back to the ultimate delegator, and at the other end to the beneficiary of the trust.

 (iii) In discharging the trust and in exercising this
- (iii) in discharging the trust and in exercising this delegated authority, there should not only be substantative compliance but also procedural fairness."

This aspect was made absolutely clear by the Supreme Court in Federation of Pakistan Vs. N.W.F.P. Government (PLD 1990 S.C. 1172 at page 1175) in the following words:

"It is held and ordered that even if the required law is not enacted and/or enforced by 12th of Rabbi-ul-Awwal 1411 A.H. the said provision would revertheless case to have effect on 12th Rabbi-ul-Awwal, in such late of reaction, the half of the contraction of the said of the contraction of the conlocation of the said of the contraction of the conlocation of the contraction of th

It is thus clear that the Constitution has adopted the Injunctions of Islam as contained in Quran and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet as the real and the effective law. In that view of the matter, the Injunctions of Islam as contained in Quran and Sunnah of the Holly Prophet are now the positive Isw. The Article 2A made effective and operative the sovereignty of Almighty Allah and it is because of that Article that the Isgal provisions and principles of Law a embodded in the Objectives Resolution, have been effective and operative. Therefore, every man made Isw must an owenform to the Injunctions of Islam as contained in Quran and Sunnah of the Holly Prophet 8°2. Therefore, must not violate the Islam of Islam in Constitution must not violate the norms of Islam.

it was also argued that the phrase glory of law as used in Article 19 of the Constitution cannot be availed with regard to the rights conferred in Article 20

Article 19 which guarantees freedom of speech, expression and press makes if subject to reasonable restrictions imposed by law in the interest of glory of Island ect. and decency or morally. The estrictions given the interest of glory of Island cannot, undoubtedly, be imported into any other which violates the Injunctions of Island has must be repurpant. It must be noted here that the Injunctions of Island has must be repurpant. It must be noted here that the Injunctions of Island, as constricted in Quran and the Sunnah, guarantafectory way that the Injunction of Island has must be rights of the minorities also in such a salidation way what the property of the Injunction of Island has not provided the property of the Injunction of Island has not provided the property of Island and Island in Isla

It Is not correct to say that "Azan" is not mentioned in the Ordinance. In fact sub-section (2, of Section 29-88 is exclusively devoted to it. As about the use of "Kalna" by the Ahnaddles, in the light of the Ordinance, reference be reciting which a non-believer enters the rold of Islam. It is in Arabic form, is exclusive to Moulins who recite it, not only as proof of their faith but very often, for spiritual well being. The "Kalna" means there is no God but Allah and Muhammad is His Projehet. The belief of Quadratis is that in Carnata. Mirac Gallann Ahmad wrote in his book, Alla

Ghaiti Ka Izala, page 4, 3rd Edition, published Rabwah,

"in the revelation of verse 48:29, (Muhammad is Allah's Apostle...) Allah named me Muhammad"

In the Akhbar Badar', Qadian, dated October 25, 1906, there is a poem written by Qazi Zahooruddin Akmal, former editor of Review of Religions', a couplet of which states:

"Muhammad has come back to us with higher glory and one who wants to see Muhammad accomplished, should go to Qadjan."

This poem was read to Mriza Sahib and he appreciated it. Again in Arbaeen, vol. 4 page 17', he wrote:

"The rays of sun cannot be endured now and we need

soothing light, which I am, in the form of Ahmad".

In Khutba Ilhamia, page 171, he declared:

"One who distinguishes between me and Muhammad, he has neither seen me nor known me."

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad further announced:

"I am the accomplishment of the name of Muhammad, i.e. I am shadow of Muhammad". (See Ha'shia Haqiqatui Wahi, page 72):

"I am in view of the verse 623 (It is He who has sent forth among the unlettered an apostic of their on postic of their one forth among the unlettered an apostic of their one recite to them. His revelations to purify them and nistruct them in scriptures and wisdom...]. In state of the same last Prophet incarnate and God named me in same last Prophet incarnate and God named me in Barbaeen Ahmadis' Muhammad. and Ahmad. and God named me in God Richard Research and State of the State o

"I am that mirror which reflects exactly the person and the prophethood of Muhammad". (Nazulul Masih. page 48, published Oadian, 1909.)

In the light of what has been said above, there is

general consensus among Muslims that whenever, an Ahmadi recites or displays (Kalmar, he proclaims that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is the Frophet who should be obeyed and the one who does not do that is an infidel. In the alternative, they pose as Muslims and deceive others, Latfly, they either ridicale Muslims or deny that the teachings of the Holy Prophet 5° do not govern the situation, 50 whatever the situation, the commission of the

Not only that Mirza Sahib, in his writings, tried to belittle the glory and grace of the Holy Prophet Mr, he even ridiculed him occasionally. In Ha'shia Tuhfa Golria' page 165, Mirza Sahib wrote that:

"the Holy Prophet could not conclude the propagation of Islam and I complete the same".

Again said:

"the Holy Prophet could not understand some of the revelations and he made many mistakes. (See Izalatul Auham, Lahori Press)".

He further said:

"the Holy Prophet had 3 thousands miracles' (See Tuh'a Golria page 67, published Rabwah) "While I have one million signs". (See Braheen Ahmadia, page 56).

"The Holy Prophet used to eat cheese made by Christians to which they added the pig's fat". (An old letter of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Quadiani, published in dally Al-Fazal Quadian 22-Feb. 1924)

Mirza Bashir Ahmad wrote in his book 'Kalima-tul-Fasal' page 113, that:

"when Mizza Sahib was bestowed with prophethood, he had attained all the spiritual heights of the Muhammad's Prophethood and was qualified to be

called Prophet incarnate and he went so ahead that he stood side by side with Muhammad \$\mathscr{G}_{\text{c}}\text{."}

There are many more writings like that but this record may not be burdened further.

It is the cardinal faith of every Muslim to believe in every Prophet and praise him. Therefore, if anything its said against the Prophet, it will injure the feelings of a Muslim and may even instell him to the breach of peace, depending on the intensity of the attack. The learned Judge in the High Court has quoted extensively from the Ahmadi literature to show how Mirras Ghulum Ahmad belittled also the other Topheth, particularly, Jesus Christ, whose place the other Topheth, particularly, Jesus Christ, whose place the feel and the court of the said of the court of the court of the articular to the court of the said of the court of the court of the feel and the court of the said of the court of the court of the court of the said of the court of the feel and the court of the said of the court of the co

"The miracles that the other Prophets possessed individually were all granted to Muhammad &", They all were then given to me as I am his shadow. It is for this reason that my names are Adam, Abraham, Mosee, Noho, David, Joseph, Soloman, John, and Jesus Christ..." (Malfoozaat Vol. 3, page 270, Printed Rabwah).

About Jesus Christ he stated:

"The ancestors of Jesus Christ were pious and innocent? His three paternal grand mothers and maternal grand mothers were prostitutes and whores and that is the blood he represents." (Appendix Anianne Atham. note 7).

Quan, on the other hand, praises Jeaus Christ, his mother and his family. (See 3.3 38-37, 1.45-47, 191-9-32). Can any Muslim utter anything against Quran and on Muslim utter anything against Quran and on Muslim Chulm Ahmed or his Collowers claim to be Muslims? It may also be noted here that, for his above writings, Miras Sahibi could have been convicted and punished, by an English Court, for the offence of Blaspheny, under the Blaspheny Ad, 1679, with a term of

Again, as for the Holy Prophet Muhammad Air is concerned:

"every Muslim who is firm in his faith, must love him more than his children, family, parents and much more than any one else in the world."

(See Al-Bukhari, Kitabul Eeman, Bab Hubbul Rasool Min-al Eeman).

Can then anyone blame a Muslim if he loses control of himself on hearing, reading or seeing such blasphemous material as has been produced by Mirza Sahib?

It is in this background that one should visualise the public conduct of Ahmadis, at the centenary celebrations and imagine the reaction that it might have attracted from the Muslims. So, if an Ahmadi is allowed by the administration or the law to display or chant in public, the 'Shaa'ire Islam, it is like creating a Rushdi' out of him. Can the administration in that case guarantee his life, liberty and property and if so at what cost? Again, if this permission is given to a procession or assembly, on the streets or a public place, it is like permitting civil war. It is not a mere guesswork. It has happened, in fact many a time, in the past, and had been checked at cost of colossal loss of life and property (For details, Munir's report may be seen). The reason is that when an Ahmadi or Ahmadis display in public, on a playcard, a badge or a poster or write on walls or ceremonial gates or buntings, the "Kalma", or chant other 'Shaa'ire Islam' it would amount to publically defiling the name of Holy Prophet 50 and also other Prophets, and exalting the name of Mirza Sahib, thus infuriating and instigating the Muslims so that there may be a serious cause for disturbance of the public peace, order and tranquility and it may result in loss of life and property. The preventive actions in such situations are imperative in order to maintain law and order and save loss or damage to life and property particularly of Ahmadis. In that situation, the decisions of the concerned local

authorities cannot be overruled by this Court, in this jurisdiction. They are the best Judges unless contrary is proved in law or fact.

The actions which gave rise to the present proceedings area out of the order of the District Magistrate, passed under section 148 C.P.C. The Ahmadia community who are the predominant seigents of Rabawa tower informed of the order predominant seigents of Rabawa tower informed of the order predominant seigents of Rabawa tower informed of the order Resident Magistrate and directed to remove ceremonial gates. Resident Magistrate and directed to remove ceremonial gates, about the predominations and further ensure that no further writing will be done on the walls. The appellulants could not show that the above practices are sessential and integral part of their religion. Even the helding of centenary celebrations on the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of their religion. Even the helding of centenary celebrations on

The question whether such a requirement is a part of freedom of religion and if they are subject to public such freedom of religion and if they are subject to public such less than the light of the judgments from countries like subject and the light of the judgments from countries like subject given top priority. We have also queeted judgments even from India. Now where the practices which are neither searchial nor integral part of the religion are given priority over the public safety and the law and oven searched at the date of public select and transmitted.

It is stated by the appellants that they wanted to echerate the 100 years of Ahmadia movement in a harmless and innocent manner, Inter alia, by offering special binships of the property of t

also avoid using the exclusive names like mosque and practice like 'Azan', so that the feelings of the Muslim community are not injured and the people are not misled or deceived as regards the faith.

We also do not think that the Ahmadis will face any difficulty in coining new names, cpithets, titles and descriptions for their personages, places and practices. After all Hinduy, Christians, Sikhs and other communities have their own epithets etc., and are celebrating their festivals paceerfully and without any law and order problem and trouble; However the and order and astegnard the life, liberty, properly and honour of the citizens, shall intervene if there is a threat to any of the above values.

has passed a detailed and well-reasoned order and has aspeciously and condigity taken into consideration judgments from such foreign jurisdictions which would afrace the control of the c

It may be mentioned here that the learned single ludge

As a result of the above discussion, the connected appeals are also dismissed.

Sd/- Abdul Oadeer Ch., J.

Sd/- Muhammad Afzal Lone, J. Sd/- Wali Muhammad Khan, I.

SALEEM AKHTAR, I. The appellants have claimed protection of their right under Articles 19,20 and 25 on the basis of being a minority as declared by the Constitution.

They admit to be u minority in terms of the Constitution as distinguished from the Muslims. Their claims being that they should be treated equally under law like other minorities enjoying freedom of speech and expression and they should be allowed to profess, practise and propagate? their religion. The first claim is covered by Articles 19 and 25 while the second one is based on Article 20.

2. Law permits reasonable classification and distinction far the same class of persons, but it should be more down reasonable distinctions and reasonable testis.

More of the classification of the c

 As regards applicability of Article 2A, 1 reiterate the view expressed in Hakim Khan's case (PLD 1992 S.C. 595)

3. The freedom or celigion is guaranteed by Article 20 which includes the rights to profess practise and propagate. The over-riding limitation as provided by Article 20 is the saw, public order and morality. The law cannot over-ride Article 20 but has to protect the freedom of religion without transgressing bounds of morality and provided the property of the pr

5. I agree with my learned brother Shafiur Rahman J that clauses (a), (b) and (e) of section 298-C PPC do not

offend Articles 19, 20 and 260(3).

6. As regards Section 298-C clause (c) (d), in my view they will not be violative of Article 20 provided they are acted upon by the Quadian's/Ahmadis without adopting any of the Sharia-e-Islam.

7. Consequently I would dismiss C.A. No. 149/1989 and C.A.No. 150/1989 and remand C.A. No. 31-K/1988, 32-K/1988, 33-K/1988, 34-K/1988 and 35-K/1988 for retrial.

In C.A.No. 412/1992 in view of section 144(T)) the District/Resident Magistrate had no jurisdiction to enforce the order under section 144 Cr.P.C. for an unlimited period. It is therefore partly allowed to that extent.

Sd/- Saleem Akhtar, J.

ORDER OF THE COURT

The Court by majority holds that all appeals preferred are liable to be dismissed and are hereby dismissed.

The convicts in Criminal Appeals 31-K to 35-K of 1989 who are on bail shall be taken into custody forthwith and they are required to undergo the remainder of the punishment awarded by the Court.

> Sd/- Abdul Oadeer Ch., I. Sd/- Muhammad Afzal Lone, I.

Sd/- Salcem Akhtar, J. Sd/- Wali Muhammad Khan, L Sd/- Shafiur Rehman, L

Announced in Chamber Islamabad Dated - 03-07-1993

. (1993 S.C.M.R 1718)





SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN 1999 Mr. Justice Saiduzaman Siddiqui

Mr. Justice Saiduzaman Siddiqui (Chief Justice)

→ Mr. Justice Irshad Hassan Khan
→ Mr. Justice Raia Afrasiab Khan

Mr. Justice Raja Afrasiab Khan
 Mr. Justice Muhammad Bashir Jehangiri

Mr. Justice Nasir Aslam Zahid

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

Mr. Justice Saiduzzaman Siddiqui, Chief Justice Mr. Justice Irshad Hassan Khan

Mr. Justice Raia Afrasiab Khan

Mr. Justice Muhammad Bashir Jehangiri

Mr. Justice Nasir Aslam Zahid

Civil Review Petitions No. 102. 103, 108 of 1993 and Criminal Review Petitions No.2 to 5 of 1994

(On Review of the judgment of this Court dated 3rd JuJy, 1993 in Civil Appeals No. 149, 150 of 1989, 412 of 1992 and Criminal Appeals No.31-K to 34-K of 1988)

Mujibur Rehman Dard,

:

two others, Mirza Khurshid Ahmad and another(in CRP, 102,103,108 of 1993)

and

Zaheeruddin, Rafi Ahmad, Abdul Majid and Abdur Rehman (in Crl. Review Petitions No.2 to 5 of 1993 respectively) ...Petitioners

Versus

Pakistan through Secretary, Law and Justice and others ...Respondents

486

For the petitioners: Nemo

For the respondent No.4 Mr. M. Ismail Oureshi, Sr. ASC (in CRP No. 108/93) Mr. S.A.A. Iafri, AOR. Raja Hag Nawaz.

For the Complainant. ASC. (in Cr. R.P. 2/94)

Date of hearing: 8th November ,1999.

ORDER The Petitioners and their counsel called absent. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

08.11.1999

Islamabad.

Petition dismissed as withdrawn. (Not reported)



قاد ما نبول کے نفر مدعقا نداووان کی مرکز میول کے بارے ش ایک صدی سے ملی اور عملی طور یہ بہت پکھ ما جا عا عد عد الركايل اورسائل، كي محى جرئ عد شاف والع والأل و يرايين، الكول مناظر درمائية، برجكة وينون كوكلست قاش بوني محركمال وعنائي بي كفيس مائية .. ووصوف ايك الارث الكات بات بین کرسرف" قادیاتی" سے بین اورد مگرتمام غیرقادیاتی جوفے ۔ان حالات میں ضرورت اس امری تلی کہ كونَى غير حانه داراداره جهال مسلمان اورقار باني دولول ايناايتام وقف يلاروك لوك، فلا كم وكاست ادر بلاخوف وخط

ال كري اوران وولون فرياقون ير برطرح كى بدر جرح موج كدوده كا دودها دود مانى كا بافي مومات 7 متر 1974ء میں بھی کی فتر بار ایس نے قاد بانی اورانا دوری عاصت کے سر براہوں بر قاد بانی ما کد کے والے سے جرح کرتے ہوئے 13 روز کی طوعل ہجٹ کے بعد متنظہ طور پر افیان غیر مسلم اقلیت قرار و با تھا۔ قاد باغول

نے یار ایسنت کے متلقہ آئی فیصلہ واللیم کرنے سے الکار کرد یادور برابرائی ٹالون شکن سرگرمیاں جاری دھیں۔ پھر حكومت نے 18 د اندن كوشعار اسماى استعال كرتے سے باد ركنے سے ليے 26 اور الله 1984 وكواكي صدار لي آرة ينس جاري كياجس كى روين كونى قاد يانى غود كومسلمان شيس كورسكا اورندى است غديب كي تعلق كرسكان ي تا دیا اول نے اسلام دشمن طاقوں کے ساتھ ش کراس آرؤیشس کے خلاف بوری و نیامی شور جایا۔ پھر تا و یادوں نے

اس آرا بنس کو وفاقی شرق مدانت، حالت مانی کورنس اور سریم کورٹ شی چینج کما جہاں اولی مدانوں کے جا صاحبان نے متختہ طور مراس آرڈ پنٹس کو ندموف درسعت قرارو یا بکدائوں قربان رسالت کے مرتک ہوئے ،قرآن جید و کل ملیب میں تحریف کرنے ، شعائر اسلامی استعمال کرنے ، مسلمانوں کی ول آزاری کرنے ، آئین و قانون تشکی ارنے ، اپنے غیرب کی تبلغ کرنے ، جلے واجای منعقد کرنے ، لا پی تقتیم کرنے سے بخی ہے ووک وہا۔ اعلیٰ مدالوں كے بيتاريكي اور في برح فيط جاد باغوں كي اسلام اور ياكتان كے خلاف كھاؤني سازهوں كائمل احاط لرت جيں۔ 5ء پانيوں كا اصل جيرہ ب نجاب موتا ہے تو تا تا تل يقين دامنا ئيں سامنے آتی جيں۔ بيتاريخ ساز اور جرائت منداند نصلے ہیں جنہیں تحریر کرتے ہوئے فاعل نے صاحبان کے فلمشیر صدیقی اور دروفاروقی کاروب دهار کئے۔ پیدشفاف تھیلے ہی اور چیوٹی عدالتوں کے ججوب سیاست دا توں ، دکیلوں ، محافیوں ، دانشوروں ، قانون کے ظلما داور طاہ کرام کے لیے ایک راہ جما کتاب کا کام وس محے۔ زرنظر کتاب عمل موجود قادیا نہیں کے خااف آنام عدائق فیط نباید فون اور قانونی بنیادوں برصاور کے مجھے ہیں چنیس با صفے کے بعد زمرف مخترقا دیا تیت ک بارے میں بے مدمعلومات من میں بلکہ یہ برمسلمان کے ایمان کوایک تی جا بھی پیشتے میں معروف ساار جناب المنظمة في الناجان رودفيملول كوروب كرك إي " الني قاديانية في الي وي" كي سودت عن سفيانول كو گرانقذ رتحذ دیاہے جس بر وہ ممارک مادیک ستحق ہیں۔ یہ کماپ ایک تاریخی دستاویزے ہے ہے ہم کھر اور لاہم بر کی جس



Mr. Mohammad Mateen Khalid have written a lot in the post and now has comolidated all the limportant between the post and now has comolidated all the limportant judgments of high courts and Aper. Courts of Pakistran for his book entitled "ADMINISTAL IN THE SENSO FLAX" which is undoubtedly a great ashievement and will prove to be a model collection in the legal intury of Pakistran. For this laborious devoted effort of the author, I shall rightly attribute qualitative good Americant him not an entitusation him to the companishment of the patients of the companishment of t

Muhammad Tahir Sultan Khokhar Advoonte High Court Chairman, Khatm-e-Nabuwwat Lawyers Form, Lahore

Ilm-o-Irfan Publishers Al-Hamd Market 40-Urdu Bazar, Lahore Ph. 042-7352332-7232336-7223584

PB: U42-7352332-7232356-7223584 www.imortarpublishers.com E-melt.chnorffirepublishers@bottesil.com